pointed out by Thackeray in the case of Mr. Deuceace, that after a given number of repetitions (which may be easily calculated) the original stake will have swollen to the size of the National Debt. Fortunately there is a limit to the number of times that a Túrki verb can double its tenses; but still it reaches a figure which sounds most formidable to those who do not know that they can acquire it by a process of multiplication, and are not bound to add each unit separately to the mass of their knowledge.*

With all these possible combinations before him, the Túrk of the East appears to construct his words on each occasion from the elements at his disposal (as a compositor sets up type), rather than to employ readymade or stereotyped forms. He accumulates affix upon affix until he has completed his meaning, instead of looking about him for a single word to which that meaning is already assigned. $\dagger$ Hence his belief that his language is arbitrary and dependent only on his own will (notwithstanding: the fact that he really, though unconsciously, works on distinct and simple principles), and hence also the fact that to him each element of his words retains its separate vitality and meaning. When a Frenchman says "vous êtes," he has ordinarily no notion that in the termination "-tes" he is repeating the pronoun "vous" in another form. But an Eastern T'urk is perfectly aware of the meaning of the termination in the words chursiz, " ye are," kelghaningiz, " ye have done" (' your doing exists'), and will not hesitate to use the same pronouns in other applications (as siz-gabirdim" I gave to you," or even superfluously prefixed to the verb, as siz $d u r s i z, "$ ye are" ; and so also ât-ingiz, " your horse").

As has been justly pointed out by Prof. Max Müller, among nomad families and tribes the tendency to adopt peculiarities and corruptions of language is constantly being neutralized by meetings and by the necessities of intercourse with other families or tribes among whom no such peculiarities, or different ones, have sprung up; while these meetings do

[^0]not usually last long enough to allow of the growth of peculiarities common to the whole nation. When people, however, settle down in communities and towns, a certain number of expressions become worn down, as it were, by daily use, and in such a state of society these corrup- . tions would be likely to become fixed and permanent. In this process the rationale of the various formations becomes less evident ; the elements of the words are so fused together as to become indistinguishable; from want of recognizable examples men cease to put together unconsciously each word as they want it, and begin to use only those to whose sound they have become accustomed, and which are as it were ready-made. Thus the language loses in its richness of perhaps superabundant forms. It leaves the fluid and enters the solid state.

Even in Central Asia such a process has begun in the towns and villages. Who would recognize in the short word wopti the compound tense bol-up-ir-d-i, " it had become." Yet a native of Khokand, who will use the former in conversation, will spell it out at the full length of the latter if he has occasion to write it. He has not yet lost his sense of the full force of every one of the five elements that build it up. To a stranger who knew that the infinitive was bolmáq (or even wolmáq), the pluperfect wopti would seem a most irregular form, and would be no guide in forming the pluperfects of other verbs.

The Yárkandi (who lives further East) has not proceeded so far in his corruption of the word. He contents himself with shortening it into bolupti (showing greater respect for the root). So aparado (or, as the Andijânis say: aparade) is used, where the true form is al-ip-bár-a tur-ur, lit. "having taken (he) going is standing" (viz., " he is taking away"). The intermediate steps are alip-bára-trur, then alip-bàra-dur, then ap-báradur, and then apara-dur ; the corruption going on independently in the several members of the word, converting alip into ap, fusing the initial $b$ of bár with the preceding $p$, and turning turur fimally into do or de. So also the imperative of another compound verb is shortened from al-ip-kel to apke or even akké. And thus some words travel West, from the desert their birthplace, leaving a letter behind them in each country where they halt, but bearing the scars indelible on their bodies.

In extending itself towards Europe the Turkish tongue seems to approach the inflectional stage of development. Even in such forms as wopte, apke, and do (for turur), the root itself, the very sanctuary of an agglutinative language, has been invaded. But, moreover, in Western Turkish the affixes or terminations have become so far blended with the verb that their origin has been lost sight of. Some Grammarians in their analysis have mistaken, for instance, the pronominal affixes for parts of
an auxiliary verb. Thus kilrum is by them supposed to be formed from the participle kilur and the word im "I am" (which is in reality no verb, but a pronoun). M. de Rémusat, in his most learned and interesting researches on the Tartar Languages, sees an anomaly in the fact that, while both in Osmanli and in Ouigour (defined as "encore actuellement la langue des habitants des villes depuis Khasigar jusqu'à Kamoul") there exist the tenses, kilur-um and kil-dum formed respectively with two tenses of the substantive verb, viz., im (um), "I am", and idum (-dum), "I was" ; yet this verb only exists in Osmanli, and not in Ouigour. In other words, that tenses in a primitive Turkish language, (Ouigour) are formed by means of another verb which only exists in a later dialect (the Osmanli).
"Pourquoi n'y retrouve-t-on pas plutôt le radical Ouigour erdi, ou dour, ou dourour? Quelle cause peut avoir introduit un élément étranger dans la conjugasion des verbes, la partie la plus intime de la grammaire? Ce fait peu connu, si j'avais réussi à en bien exposer toutes les circonstances, offrirait, ce me semble, un problême philologique assez curieux à résoudre."

The answer is interesting and illustrates the progress of language. The seeming problem results from the degree to which forms, clear enough in Eastern Túrki, have become corrupted and obscured in Western Turkish. Taking the Past Tense, idum, \&c., first, this certainly exists in Ouigour, where it is written erdim (ايوديّم)). The modern Kàshghari supplies the missing link by writing this word as above erdim or irdim and pronouncing it idim. Thus the Ouigour "radical" or auxiliary erdi which M. de Rémusat desired, is really present in the tense ' Fildum', 'Fildi', under its later form 'idum', 'idl', whose absence from Ouigour he laments.

Next taking the Present Tense Kilar-um, formed with a supposed Pres. Tense of the Verb Substantive, viz. im "I am", \&c.- the following comparison with the corresponding Kàshghar (so called Ouigour) or more primitive tense will solve the problem, or rather will show that there is none :-

## Osmanle.

$\begin{aligned} \text { Participle. } & \text { Supposed Verb } \\ & \text { Substantive. }\end{aligned}$

| S. | 1st. | kelur | um |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| " 2nd. | kelur | sen |  |
| ". 3rd. | kelur | - |  |
| Pl. | 1st. | kelur | $i z$ |
| " 2nd. | kelur | siz |  |
| " 3rd. | kelur | lar |  |

## Primitive.

 Kashailar (Ouigour.) Participle. Affixed Pronouns.| kelur | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{man}$ (=I) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| kelur | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{san}$ (=thou) |
| kelur | $\ldots$ | - |
| kelur | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{miz}$ (=we) |
| kelur | $\ldots$ | $\operatorname{siz}$ (=ye) |
| kelur | $\ldots$ | lar (=they) |

It is plain that the Osmanli terminations are merely survivals of the primitive affixed pronouns.*

In no case can it be allowed that such a series of dissimilar syllables is really one tense, or that they are parts of the verb "to be." $\dagger$ An examination of the Yárkand and Kàshghar dialects accounts for them in another way ; and even were this not the case, analogy is against such irregularities of form in a Turkish verb, no sign even of a common root being apparent in the different persons.

Thus, if the Ouigour (Kàshghar) form of Turkish does not possess such a Verb Substantive as im "I am" (as M. de Rémusat justly says), so neither does the Osmanli. There is no element in these Osmanli tenses which does not exist independently in the so-called Ouigour or Eastern Túrkistání. Though I have taken Rémusat's words as my text (because they themselves suggest the comparison with a more primitive dialect), yet it would seem that many Grammarians are under the same misapprehension with regard to the supposed Osmanli substantive verb. The pronominal affixes have become so blended in the verbs, as almost to lose all trace of their origin, and what is this but a long step towards inflection.

Thus in the varied dialects of that wide-spread tongue which is spoken over 70 Degrees of Longitude, extending from under the shadow of the Great Wall of China and the head waters of the Yellow River almost to the shores of the Adriatic, we see a whole volume in the history of language unrolled before us. Nearly every stage in the development of speech, between the monosyallabism of China at one end and the highly developed inflectionalism of Europe at the other, can be studied in the dialects spoken by that Turkish race which forms a link between the extreme East and the extreme West of the Old World.

It is hoped that an account, however imperfect, of the more Easterly or primitive form of this tongue will not be without interest to students.

In the following pages it will be noted that the Perfect Participle has been written with a $p$, whereas in the Extracts it will be found to end in $a \varphi(b)$. But it must be remembered that the Túrki writers

* If it be urged that besides the use of the syllables $i m$, sen, \&cc., as verb-terminations, they are also used with substantives and adjectives, \&c., in the sense of the verb "to be" ; this may be paralleled by the Eastern Túrki usage by which pronouns are affixed (without any verb) to substantives and adjectives and other pronouns, and yet do not cease to be pronouns: e. g. kichik-man "I (am) small"; Tirrk-san, "thou (art) a Túrk ;" shu-man, "I (am) he". The verb "to be" is simply 'sous-entendu" in these cases.
+ The present tense of irmak "to be" would be ira-man or irur-man, irur-san, \&c. This tense is found in old books.
are very chary of wasting more "muqtas" than they can help, and make but seldom a difference in writing (and sometimes in speaking) between $\because$ and $ب$ and between 『 and . The sound given to the final letter of the Perfect Participle is distinctly that of $p$, as may moreover be discovered from the fact that it hardens the dentals which follow it (in affixes), e. g., bolu(p)-ti, and not bolu(b)-di, as would be the case according to the Rules of Phonetic Variation (which see) if the final consonant were soft.

Again, it will be seen that I have written aüt-Ti, ket-Ti, whereas in Túrki manuscripts these words are often written aït-Di, Feet-di. This latter spelling is due to a recollection of the origin of the formation (viz., the presence of the auxiliary $i r d i$ or $i d i$ in a shortened form), but the pronunciation is in fact that of a double $t$; as in English the word written as "cupboard" is pronounced "cubboard." The Túrki writers, however, very frequently recognise this pronunciation by merely putting a "tashdîd" over the $t$ instead of preserving the $d$ in the second place ( $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ for ${ }^{\sim}$ ).

## CHAPTER I.

## THELETTERS.

The letters employed in writing by the inhabitants of Eastern Turkistán are the same as those in general use among Muhammadan nations, viz., those of the Arabic alphabet. It is needless to describe their system of writing at any length since it is known to all Oriental scholars ; only the peculiarities of pronunciation, form, or use, will be noted here.
$1 \mathrm{~A}, \hat{a}$ in the beginning of words is often pronounced very broad (like aw) ; as in $\hat{a} t=$ horse (pronounced awt or ot).
In other parts of a word, if preceding several consonants together, it is also often pronounced broad.
Ex. : bâshqa $=$ other (pronounced boshqa).
In other cases, however, it resembles the $\dot{a}$ in father.
The short pronunciation of $a$ is that of our words ordinary, oriental, America, \&c.

In some words the $a$ is pronounced like the English $a$ in 'hand', 'than', \&c.
Ex.: Yarkand, pronounced something between that spelling and ' Yerkend;' at, 'name', pronounced like the English word 'at' (almost ett).
$N . B$.-As the people of Eastern Turkistán are rather uncertain in their use of the long vowels in writing, the use of them in short syllables being common and not implying any lengthening of the sound, in the following pages the broad mark ( $\dot{a}, \dot{e}, i$ and $\dot{u}$ ) will only be introduced when the sound is long, and not invariably wherever long vowels are used.
Ex.: باشاشماقاق will be written báshlamáq (pronounced almost boshlamock). Although there are three 'alifs', yet only the first and third are pronounced long or broad. So also with the other vowels.
ب B \& P , often interchanged in writing, and often confounded in pronunciation.
© T, the ordinary oriental, or soft European sound.
て 『 J \& Ch, often interchanged; when preceding consonants, they both of them tend towards the pronunciation of the French ' $j$ '; hence in that position they are often confounded with one another and with sh.
Ex. : 'ichku' = goat, almost like 'ishku' (and, vice versâ, the word tap. shurdi has been found written tapjurdi).
Before vowels the distinction is better kept up.
Ex. : chiqmàq, jabduq.
$₹ \mathrm{H}$, a harsh guttural aspirate, but yet distinct from the following letter.
The Eastern Turkistánís put below it the mark usually denoting the other oriental $h$.
$\dot{\tau} K h$, the German ch (as in machen, not as in ich).
s $D$, the ordinary sound.
ر $R$, do. But the Yárkandís often swallow it altogether when it precedes another consonant, and sometimes in that case omit it even in writing.

Ex. : arpa $=$ barley, pronounced $a p a$; irdi, irmas, pronounced and often written idi, imas.
; $Z$, the sound as in 'zeal.'
$S$, the ordinary sound. The Túrkís write this letter with three dots reversed beneath the letter.
ش $S h$, the sound of the French $c h$, or the German sch, or of sh in the English word shall. (See remarks under J.)
$\varepsilon$ the oriental letter ' 'ain', a sound inexplicable in writing.
$\dot{\varepsilon} G 7 h$, the oriental letter 'ghain', resembling in sound the Parisian ' $r$ grassayé', or the Northumberland 'burr', sometimes interchanged with,$q$ (see Chapter II, "Phonetic changes of Consonants").
e $F$, often interchanged in pronunciation, and even in writing, with $P$; as 'Fädshall' for 'Pádshall'; 'farwall' for 'parwah', and vice versá, 'pursat' for 'fursat'.
ق $Q$, pronounced far back in the throat with a kind of choking effort. The Yárkand pronunciation of it is rougher than that of the Persians. Sometimes interchangeable with $\dot{\varepsilon}$ gh (see "Phonetic Changes").
s $K$, the ordinary sound, as in the English word ' Keep'.
$3 G$, the ordinary sound, as in get, never as in gin. K and $G$ are sometimes interchangeable (see "Phonetic changes"), and in manuscript the second stroke is generally omitted.
K ng, is pronounced as in English, but the $g$ is not separately or distinctly sounded even before a vowel. The pronunciation is that of "hanger", not of "unger".
$\int L$, the ordinary sound.
f $M$, do.

- $N$, do.
, $W$ or $O$ or $U$. As a consonant, the same as the English $w$. As a vowel, there are four varieties of pronunciation, not distinguished by any difference in the vernacular writing, viz. :-
$O$, as in bone.
$\ddot{O}$, (German sound). Ex. : Rön (day) rhymes with G. schön, but is pronounced a little shorter.
U, (English oo). Ex. : bu, (this) pronounced boo.
$\ddot{U}$, (French u) or German $\ddot{u}$ or $u e$. Ex. : iilmak (to die) : the sound of $\ddot{i}$ in German 'über'.
\& $H$, the common aspirate.
$\varepsilon \Psi$ or $E$ or $I$. As a consonant, pronounced like the English $y$; but the Yárkandís often give it a slight sound of the French $j$ : as yüz (side), pronounced ' $j u ̈ z$ ' (French $j$ ), and iké (two), pronounced ijké. As a vowel, either long $\grave{e}$ (the French sound in "père", the same as the English diphthong ay), or short as in then; or else $i$ (either short as in 'bit,' or long as in 'machine').


## THE VOWEL MARKS.

The Eastern Turkistání written language possesses, though it seldom uses, the ordinary Oriental vowel marks. The entire system of spelling is
in theory that of the Arabic alphabet ; but as this system is a foreign adaptation, and not a home-growth (for the Eastern Túrki existed both in a spoken and in a written form before the introduction of Arabic into the country), we find many traces of imperfect fitting between the language and the writing that conveys it.

Even in books we meet with the same word spelt in different manners ; but more especially is the divergence apparent in the want of distinction between the sounds represented by the short vowel marks "zabar" ( - ), "zer" (一), and "pesh" $(-)$, and by the long vowels " alif" ( 1 ), "ye" (ي), and "wáo" (و) respectively. These latter are often pronounced short, e. g.: دئ pronounced din (from) as though written (ي, while in Persian it would be pronounced din (faith). The "pesh" over a consonant is often pronounced like an $i:$ e. g., , (tooth).

The Yárkandís on the whole seem to use the oriental vowels much like we use our own. That is, they rather ignore the system of vowelsounds as inherent in consonants, and consider a written vowel almost necessary to complete a syllable, whether long or short.*

## CHAPTER II.

## PHONETIC VARIATION.

The Root of a Verb never changes in any formation; nor does any Substantive $\dagger$ or Adjective. But there are numerous syllables capable of being affixed, whose vowels and consonants vary with those of the word they are attached to, according to certain general rules which are not without exceptions.

A somewhat similar fact in European languages (as regards the consonants) is the change of the Latin prefix $a d$ into ac in the word accept, into at in the word attain, and into af in the word affix, \&e.

> * I have found خابار خبار spelt, \&c.

+ Excepting when a final $q$ or $k$ softens into a $g h$ or $g$ respectively before an affix. See p. 256.

The guiding principle of the Túrki variation seems to be this: that the mouth and throat having assumed a certain shape for the pronunciation of certain sounds, it is difficult in the compass of the same word to shift the position of the organs; or, when a hard breath is coming out, to change it suddenly into a soft breathing; and vice versá.

Thus, if the throat has been put into the half choking state necessary to pronounce the 'ghain' $(g h)$ or the 'qáf' ( $q$ ), and another guttural comes immediately afterwards, it is difficult to prevent this being sounded with the same choking effort (or, in other words, it is difficult to shift forward suddenly the place of utterance) ; and vice versâ.

Thus it will be found comparatively easy to pronounce sarigh-qul (where two choking gutturals follow one another) ; but a difficulty will be found in trying to say consecutively reg qum (the Persian and the Túrki words respectively for ' sand'), where the contact between the root of the tongue and the back part of the palate (for the $g$ ) has to be shifted suddenly back into the throat for the $q$; and still more difficult to give the proper sounds to each of the so-called gutturals in the following compound : aq kupruk (" white bridge"), where the initial $\%$ will almost inevitably retain some of the choking $q$ sound, unless a fresh breath be taken.

An effort is required, and this the Túrkis avoid.
Thus, (a) Gutturals (so called) of either class, which may be roughly designated as common [ $k$ and $g]$, and true (choking) [ $q$ and $g k]$, when contained in a root tend to bring any guttural contained in an affix into the same class.

So again, when one has pronounced the syllable yet, it is easier to complete the word with a $t$ than with a $d$. Thus yet-di becomes changed into yet-ti, for the same reason that cup-board has become cubboard in English pronunciation. So pit-gan becomes pit-kan.*

On the other hand after the liquids $r$ and $l$, which only require a soft utterance, it would require a fresh effort of the breath to bring out the hard sounds of $k, q$ or $t$, so $g, g h$ or $d$ are used.

Ex. : bár-ghan " going"; leel-di "he came".
Thus, (b) Hard consonants at the end of the root tend to Tarden the utterance of the guttural or dental of the affix, and vice versat.

The principle is the same in the case of the vowels. When the tone of a word, as it were, is in $u(o o)$, say, the Túrkis continue that sound, or one complementary to it, in certain of the affixes.

[^1]Ex. : ur-dum not ur-dinn
tösh-lök not tösh-lik.
Such are the principles on which the practice seems to rest. The following are generally the rules by which these principles work ; but they muist be considered rather as tendencies than as rigid laws, and they only act where no other consideration interferes.

It is not all affixes that are liable to variation. Some seem to partake of the unchangeable nature of the roots. The particular affixes which are liable to phonetic variation will be pointed out in the following pages.

## PHONETIC VARIATIONS OF CONSONANTS.

Final Letter of Root
(Simple or Compound).

Consequent inttlal of Affix.
Guttural.

| Usually after $t, k, g, n$, in body of Root. | Usually after $b, p, c h, c l, s, m$ $l, y, q$, in body of Root. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ordinary. | choking. |  |
|  | gh | d Soft |
| or | or |  |
|  | q | t Hard |

$[N . B$.-If the root ends with either of the consonants with which the affix may begin, there is simply a re-duplication.

> Ex. : tig-gan not tig-Tean.]

Ex. 1: sakla-ghan, sakla-di, from sullcla-mak = to take care of.
Kel-gan, kel-di, from kel-mak $=$ to come.
qel-ghan, qel-di, from qel-mùq $=$ to do.
tut-ma-ghan, from tut-màq $=$ to seize.
kön-g $a$, " to a day", yol-gh $a$ " to a road".
Ex. 2: ishit-kan, ishit-ti, from ishit-mak = to hear.
ket-kan, ket-te, from ket-mak = to go.
Kech-kan, kech-ti, from kech-mak = to pass over.
qàch-qan, qàch-ti, from qàch-màq $=$ to flee.
tish-k $a$, " to a tooth", yàs $h-\mathrm{q} \alpha$, , to an age".
K ㅈ

Thus, in the above examples the final letter $l$ of the root kel determines that the initial letter of the participial affix shall be a soft guttural ( $g$ or $g h$ ) rather than a hard one ( $k$ or $q$ ); while the $k$ of the same $k e l$ determines which of the two soft gutturals should be chosen, viz., the ordinary one $g$, and not the true (choking) one $g h$.

On the other hand, in the example qel-ghan, while the letter $l$ again compels the choice of a soft guttural, the other root-consonant $q$ necessitates the adoption of the true (choking) soft guttural $g h$ rather than of the ordinary one.

In ket-kan again, we have the hard guttural induced by the final $t$ of the root, while the other root-consonant $\%$ being ordinary, determines that the hard guttural used in the affix shall be of the same class.

It will be seen that there is a cross division of the gutturals ; 1st, into soft ( $g$ and $g h$ ), and hard ( $\%$ and $q$ ) ; 2nd, into ordinary ( $g$ and $k$ ), and true (choking) ( $g h$ and $q$ ).

Thus the rules given above may be re-stated in other words, viz., that the former of these cross divisions is the one affected by the final letter of the roots, while the latter division is that over which the other letters of the root bear rule.

In the dentals there is only a simple division into soft and hard ( $d$ and $t$ ), affected only by the final letter of the root.

Any word ending in a $k$ or $q$ softens the final letter into $g$ or $g h$ respectively before an affix beginning with a vowel (and sometimes even before consonants). Ex. Kunglak "a coat", chaukan kunglag-i" a young woman's coat"; sariq " yellow", Sarigh-chopan (the name of a place).

It may be added that the letter $q$ seems to have a certain affinity to the broad sound of $\dot{a}$, and the letter $k$ to its short sound (almost like an $e$
 "mock," while Slo (mak) is pronounced as in "MacAndrew" (almost mec).

On the other hand, the vowel $e$ seems to take its broad sound with the $k$, and its short sound with the $q: e: g: k e l-m a k$ " to come" (proñounced almost "cail-mec") ; and qel-màq "to do" (pronounced almost" killmock").

## PHONETIC VARIATION OF VOWELS.

1. Whenever the affix begins with a Vowel and the Root ends with one, the latter prevails.

Ex. : achku' $m$ ( achku $=$ key, and ' $m$ possessive affix of 1 st Persoñ).
$N$. B.-With a final diphthong there is no elision ; the chief vowel
(see Rule 2) induces the corresponding vowel for the affix. Ex. : 'tiii-iup'.
2. In all other cases the affix assumes a vowel corresponding with the chief Root vowel, as follows:-

| Root | Vowel or Diphttrong. | Corresponding Vowel for Affix. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a ai | .... $i$ |
| 2. | $e$ | .. $i$ |
| 3. | $i$ | $i$ |
| 4. | 0 | 2 |
| 5. | $\ddot{0}$ | $\ddot{0}$ |
| 6. | $u$ | u |
| 7. | ii | ii |
| 8. | Oï | 26 |
| 9. | $u \ddot{ }$ | . |

Ex. (1). tila-dim [tila (verb-root) and d'm (affix of 1st person Past)].
(5). kör-döm [Kör (verb-root) and d'm (affix of 1st person Past)].
(1). tàp-ip [tàp (verb-root) and ' $p$ (affix Perf. Participle)].
(2). kel-ip [Kel (verb-root) and ' $p$ (affix Perf. Participle)].
(4). soq-up [soq (verb-root) and ' $p$ (affix Perf. Participle)].
(7). tuii -düm [tiui (verb-root) and d'm (affix of 1st person Past)].
(2.) ket-ing [ket (verb-root) and 'ng (affix 2nd person)].
(6.) $q u \mathrm{~m}-l u q\left[q u m=\right.$ sand, $l^{\prime} q$ (substantival affix) $]$.
(1). bàsh-lik $[b a ̀ s h=h e a d, ~ l ' l e(s u b s t a n t i v a l ~ a f f i x)] . ~$
(6.) yük-iung $[y i u k=b a g g a g e, ' n g ~(a f f i x ~ 2 n d ~ p e r s o n)] . ~$
(4.) yòl-nung [yòl = road, $n$ 'ng (affix genitive case)].
(6.) àzuq-umiz $[\grave{a} z u q=$ food, ' $\operatorname{miz}$ (possessive affix 1st pers. Plural)].
(1.) gunàh-imiz [gunàlh = sin, 'miz (possessive affix 1st pers. Plural)].

If there is more than one affix, each is affected by the preceding one as if this were the Root (both as to consonants and vowels).
Ex. 1: 'tut-ma-ghan'; 'tut-al-ghan', (without the affix ma or al interposed, the last affix would take the form tut-kan). So yört-öng with the affix lar interposed becomes yört-lar-ing, not -öng.
2. 'bil-mang' ('bil-ma-'ng') ; 'kel-tur-up' ('Kel-tur-' $p$ ') (Cf, biling, kel-ip). Often the first affix has itself been affected by the Root. Ex. : 'bil-in-ip', 'tut-ush-up.'

## CHAPTER III.

## THE SUBSTANTIVE.

The Plural of Túrki words is formed by affixing the syllable lar (which does not vary phonetically) ; and whenever this syllable occurs with a Substantive, it comes immediately after the root or radical portion of the word, which root is in every case inalterable.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Ex. }: a t-l a r & =\text { horses (from } a t=a \text { horse) } . \\
a t a-l a r & =\text { fathers (from } a t a=a \text { father) } .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are no Articles in Túrki Grammar.
There is no difference of Gevder in Túrki.
Besides the above, two other sets of attached syllables or affixes are taken by the Substantive :-
( 1 ). The first set is that of the Possessive Terminations. They are affixed to Substantives, \&c., governing other substantives or pronouns in the genitive case, in the manner that will be seen below.

| Singular. | Possessi <br> Affini |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1st person | $m \dagger$ |
| 2nd $\quad "$ | 'ng |
| 3rd $\quad$, | $i$ or $s i$ |


| rat. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st person | 'miz | $u \ddot{-}{ }^{\prime} m i z=$ our house $;{ }^{\prime}$ at-imiz $=$ our horse. <br> (Vowel variable.) |
| 2nd | 'ngiz or 'nglar | $q i z-i n g i z=$ your daughter $;$ yört-önglar <br> $=$ your country. (Vowel variable.) |
| 3 rd | $i$ or si | yört- $i=$ their country. (Same as 3rd person Singular.) |

* Except by the softening of a final guttural $k$ or $q$ into $g$ or $g h$ before an affix. See p. 256, at bottom.
$\dagger$ The apostrophe marks the place of the variable vowel in the affixes; 'm therefore is a general form to express $i m, u m, \ddot{m}, \& \in c$.

As before mentioned, the Plural affix of the noun, where employed, precedes these (as well as any other) affixes.

Ex. : att-lar-im = my horses.
yört-lar-ing $=$ thy countries $[$ to be distinguished from yört-önglar $=$ your
(Pl.) country.]
yört-lar-inglar or yört-lar-ingiz $=$ your countries.
Again :-yol- $i=$ his (her, its or their) road.
yol-lar $-i=$ his (her, its or their) roads.
These Possessive Affixes are merely subsidiary to the regular Possessive Pronouns (or Nouns in the genitive, in the case of the 3rd person), which, as in European languages, precede the governing Nouns. This will be further treated of in the Chapter on Pronouns.
(b). The second set of Affixes that may be attached to Nouns answer the purpose accomplished by declensional inflections and by prepositions in the classical and modern languages of Europe. For the sake of clearness they will be here classed under the ordinary declensional headings or cases; though these Affixes have not yet grown into the words they are attached to, as in the inflectional languages, but remain separate Postpositions.

| Cases. | Substantive. | . Post-positions. | Evalish. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominative | $\hat{a} t$ |  | a horse. |
| Genitive | ${ }^{\text {at }}$ | ning [nung or nang]* | of a horse. |
| Dative | át | gha [qa, ka or $\mathrm{ga} a] \dagger$ | to a horse. |
| Accusative | át $\quad$ \{ | $\left\{\begin{array}{cc}n & \text { (Possessive) } \\ n i\end{array}\right.$ | 's horse. <br> a horse. |
| Locative | ât | da | in (or at) a horse. |
| Ablative | àt | $\operatorname{din} \text { [or dan] }$ <br> birla or bilan | from a horse. with a horse. |

\&c., \&c.
N. B.-The Possessive form of the Accusative is employed as a Possessive Affix of the 3rd person only.

Ex. : Pàdsháh-ning ât-in alip-kel, Bring the king's horse.
Any of these Post-positions (Sec. b.) may be agglomerated on to the end of any of the Possessive Affixes (Sec. a.) going through the whole declension with each of the latter.
Ex. : yol-um-nung, yol-um-ga, yol-um-ni, yol-um-da, \&fc., át-lar-imiz-din, \&c. road my of, road my to, road my (acc.), road my in horse (pl.) our from
The order in which the Affixes treated of in this Chapter take their

[^2]places (when present) after the Noun, is as follows: 1st, N,oun ; 2nd, Plural Affix ; 3rd, Possessive Affix ; 4th, Post-position.

Ex.: iii-lar-i-ning $=$ of his houses.
(Noun) (Pl. Aff.) Poss. (Post-pos.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { qiz-lar- ingiz-- } g a=\text { to your daughters. } \\
& \text { (Noun) (Pl. Aff.) } \quad \text { (Poss. Aff.) } \quad \text { (Post-pos.). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Sometimes the Genitive post-position ning is omitted, and only the Possessive affix of the other noun retained, $i$. e., two nouns are placed in apposition, the latter of them in the possessed form of the 3rd person ; this is done when the compound is a common one in frequent use, as in English "house-door", door-key", " sun-light."

Ex. nàs liutu-si = "a snuff-box" (for nàs-ning lkutu-si):
Qol kap- $i=$ "a hand-cover" (i. e. glove).
Yuz-bàsh-i = a centurion, lit. " the head of a hundred."
Note.-Derivation of the declensional Affixes of the Gentitive and Accusatite. The affix of the Genitive may be represented (as has been seen above) by the formula n'ng, which becomes ning, mung, \&c., according to the rowels of the word that it is affixed to. Now I imagine that this may be originally the same as the substantive neng, which in the ancient form of Túrki called Uighur means " thing" or "property." [See Vámbéry's "Uigurische Sprachmonumente", Vocabulary, p. 208.] In order to express the idea of the genitive, e.g., to say "the Chief's horse", the Túrkis would find the mere apposition of the word Bèg "Chief", by the side of the word áti, "the horse (his horse)", insufficient to discriminate between possessor and possessed; so they would label off the owner by the affixing of the word "neng", meaning " property".

Thus they would say "Bèg neng áti", which would mean "Chief property the horse". Here "Chief-property" or "Chief's property" would be the general description of the class of things to be denoted, amongst which one thing is afterwards more particularly designated by saying "the horse". Thus we first get the genus (genitive), and then the particular individual in that genus. [See Max Müller's "Lectures on Language," Vol. I, p. 114, Fifth Edition ".....casus generalis, the general case, or rather the case which expresses the gemus or kind. This is the real power of the genitive.' $]$

A more uncertain derivation is that of the Accusative affix ni. The same syllable also forms the Túrki word for " that" or " what (that which)". Thus at-ni mindi may perhaps be really "horse that-which he rode"; nanni yedur, " bread that-which he is eating", i. e., " he rode a horse", " he is eating bread". The affix $n i$ thus would point out the object of the verb; its subject being left undistinguished, as it is indicated by the affix of the verb itself.

Possessite Affis, 3rd Person. It has been seen above that the Possessive Affixes corresponding to the several persons are as follows :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1 \text { st yol-um } & =\text { my road. } \\
\text { 2nd yol-ung } & =\text { thy road. } \\
\text { 3rd yol-i } & =\text { his road. }
\end{array}
$$

In the Accusative, however, as we have also seen, there is another Possessive Affix of the 3rd Person.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1st yer-im-ni }=\mathrm{my} \text { land (acc.), or yol-um-ni }=\text { my road (acc.) } \\
& \text { 2nd yer-ing-ni }=\text { thy land (acc.), yol-ung-ni }=\text { thy road (acc.) } \\
& \text { 3rd yer-in } \quad=\text { his land (acc.), yol-un } \quad=\text { his road (acc.) }
\end{aligned}
$$ as well as yer-i-ni, yol-i-ni.

It seems that this same Possessive Affix of the 3rd person was formerly used in all the oblique cases (not the accusative only). The following expressions have been found in an old verse :-

Turkistán-ning yer-in-da lohâli imas erân-lar.
Har bir qulach yer-in-da yàtur mardán eran-lar.
"In the land of Turkistán there is no lack of heroes.
In every fathom of its soil there lie heroic men."
Thus in old Turki the Possessive Affixes (at least for the oblique cases) ran thus:-

a usage not yet quite obsolete in Eastern Turkistán and which seems to have been generally retained in the more Western dialects.

## CHAPTER IV.

## THE ADJECTIVE.

The Turki Adjective does not change at all, either for the different cases or for gender or number.

Further details regarding it will be found under the heads of "Formation" and "Syntax".

The Comparative of Adjectives (and Adverbs) is obtained by adding the affix rak, ràq or rák :

> Ex. : obdán = good; obdán-rák = better.

The Superlative is generally formed by inserting the object of comparison (or at least the word hamah = "all") in the genitive and putting the adjective* into the possessed form (3rd person).

* This adjective thus becomes for the nonce a substantive: "the best" = "the one who is best."

Ex. : Aluhammad-ning din-i hamah dîn-lar-ning ulugh-i = Muhammad's Faith (is) the great (est) of all Faiths.

Bu hamah-si-ning chung- $i=$ (lit.) "This (is) the big(gest) of all of them"; or as we should say: "This is the biggest".
Hamalh àt-lar-ning yakhshi-si alip-Fel = (lit.) "Bring the best of all the horses, i. e. "Bring the best horse."
The Diminutive is formed by the affix ghana (both the gha and the first $a$ being subject to Phonetic variation).

Ex.: Kichik-たina $=$ tiny little.
Thush-ghana $=$ rather happy.

## THE PRONOUN.

The Personal Pronouns are, in the Singular : man $=\mathrm{I}$, san (or sen) $=$ thou, $u l=$ he, she or it ; and in the Plural : biz (or bizlar) $=$ we, siz (or sizlar) $=$ you, ular (for ul-lar) $=$ they.
$N . B$.-As, in polite conversation, the forms $b i z$ and siz are often used with reference to a single person (like our word your), the other forms bizlar, sizlar are employed, when there might be a doubt, to denote plurality.

These pronouns receive the affixes of the different cases exactly in the same way as substantives do [Substs. Sec. b.]

Ex.: Nons. man ............ I
GEN. man-ning or maning ...... of me
Dлт. man-ga ...... to me
Acc. man-ni or mani ...... me
Loc. man-da ...... in me
Abi. man-din ...... from me, \&ce., and the others in like manner.
However, the 3rd Person Singular changes the $l$ into an $n$ before the Gen., Dat., and Loe. affixes, and before the Abl. affix din, viz., un-ning or uning, un-ga, um-ni or uni, un-da, un-din (instead of ul-ning, ul-ga, \&c.). Before birla and some other post-positions, it takes the Gen. form viz., uning birla $=$ " with him (in the unity of him)."*

In the oblique cases an is often used for un ; as aning instead of uning.
The Possessive Pronouns are simply the Personal Pronouns with the genitive affix, viz. -

Maning $=$ my ; saning $=$ thy; uning or aning $=$ his or her; biz-ning or biz-lar-ning $=$ our; siz-ning or siz-lar-ning $=$ your ; ular-ning $=$ their.

* In speaking of persons, the genitive of this pronoun is generally used before any of the post-positions, e. g., uning-ga = to him (instead of un-ga) ; uning-din for un-din [not with the Acc. post-pos., however]. Compare Vámbéry's " Uigurische Sprach-monumente", p. 35, where a similar rule is mentioned as prevailing in the ancient tongue of Uigurs. The same also sometimes takes place with man and san (I and thou).

We have already seen (Subst., Sec. a) that there is a set of possessive terminations or affixes of each person applicable to nouns. These possessive terminations are not generally of themselves sufficient to take the place of the ordinary possessive pronoun (or noun in the genitive). These also are frequently used and come before the noun, as in the following Table:

## Possessive

Pronoun.
Noun Termination.


Ex.: maning ât-im; saning yer-ing ; tàgh-ning yol-i; biz-ning uii-'miz; me of horsemy thee of placethy mountain of road its us of house our siz-ning qiz-ingiz ; ular-ning yört-i. you of daughter your them of country their.

As before, the post-positions of the various cases may be affixed to these compounds as may be necessary.

Ex. : maning ât-lar-im-din = from my horses.
me of horses my from
Demonstrative Pronouns : $u l$ or $u=$ that there (or he); shul, or shu $=$ that here ; $b u l$ or $b u=$ this ; shu-bu or $u s h-b u=$ this very, \&c. These, when used before a substantive, are indeclinable, as adjectives. But when used alone, they receive the usual affixes of case and number, like a Noun or a Personal Pronoun.

In the oblique cases of the Singular, the $l$ of $u l$, shul and $b u l$ changes into an $n$ (which vanishes before another $n$ ); while the $b$ of $b u l$ becomes $m$ in those cases.

## Singular.

## Plural.

Ex. : Nom. shul or shu bul or bu Nom. shu-lar bu-lar Gen. shu'-ning mu'-ning Gen. shu-lar-ning bu-lar-ning Dat. shun-ga mun-ga Dat. shu-lar-ga bu-lar-ga Acc. shu'-ni mu'-ni Acc. shu-lar-ni bu-lar-ni \&c. \&c. \&c. \&c.

Adjectiral Pronouns. Under this head may be classed the compounds formed by other Pronouns and the affixes $d a k$, dàq or dàgh and cha. The former of these is the same as the affix dite (in common use), and implies " likeness." The second, cha, expresses " amount" or "extent."

* This blank represents a noun.

Exs. of the former: mun-dàgh = this-like. shun-dàgh= that-like, such.
Exs. of the latter : mun-cha $=$ this amount, this much. shun-ga-cha $=$ to that amount, so much.
and combined : an-dàgh-cha $=$ to an amount similar to that.
Used as Adjectives, these are indeclinable ; but they are also sometimes employed alone, in which case they take the usual post-positions. [They are also employed as Adverbs.]

The particle Fi or $g i$ is used almost as a Pronoun. It answers to the Hindustání "walla." Thus maning- $-k i=$ mine, Bàdshà $h_{-n i n g-~}^{k} i=$ the King's. It therefore takes the place of some noun which is understood between the speakers. It receives the usual post-positions ; for instance, if a horse is in question, one may say "Kim-ning-KT-ga mindi" = whose did he ride? "maning-кा-ni urdi" = he struck mine. The English word " one" most nearly expresses it : " the one belonging to me," \&c.*

We shall see in the Syntas that this (or its parallel forms gi or ghi) takes the place of a relative pronoun in subordinate sentences.

Interrogative Profouns are kim = who?; ni=what? The latter with the addition of the interrogative affix $m u$ or $m a$, makes $n i-m a=$ what? ; with cha it makes ni-cha = how much? ; with the Persian chand it makes ni-chand = how many?

Again a number of these words are derived from an obsolete qä̈ $=$ which. Thus, with the possessive affix, qä̈-si $=$ which or who (of them): $q u ̈ \ddot{c}-d a ̀ q=$ what like ?

Probably as corruptions of these have arisen the forms $q$ àn-dàgh $=$ what like? and qàn-cha = how much ?

Several adverbs also are derived from $n i$ and $q u ̈ i$.
All these interrogative pronouns (excepting $n i$ ) take the usual affixes.
Ex. : qü̈-si-'мriz " which of us"
nima-NI aïtti " what said he?"
Indefintte Pronouns are Kim-ersa $\dagger=$ some one $; n i m$ '-ersa $\dagger=$ something, also ni-ers $\dagger \dagger=$ something ; ni-chand $=$ a certain number; ; $i s h i=$ somebody, also used substantively for "a person ;" hama ( P ) and hama-si $=$ all, or the whole (of it or them).

* This also is an old Uïgur form. See Vámbéry's "Uigur. Sprach-monumente", p. 35.
+ Perhaps compounded with irsa "may be" [the Potential of irmak]; thus kim-ersa would be "who (ever it) may be," ni-crsa "what (ever it) may be." See Kudatku Bilik, Introd., hargiz kim irsa. . qelmaï-turur " whosoever he may be...he does not make" (' qui que ce soit...ne fait.')

Reflective Pronoun : $\ddot{z} z=$ self, is used instead of the Demonstrative or Possessive Pronoun when this pronoun refers to the person or thing which is the subject of the sentence [like the use of khud in Persian, and áp, apna in Hindústání]. The Reflective Pronoun is affected by the possessive affix of each person, and by the post-positions, where necessary; and if it is governed in the genitive by a noun, that noun takes the possessive affix of the same person :

Ex. : öz-öm-ning át-im = my own horse. self my of horse my
öz-öngiz-ning yört-lar-ingiz-ga $=$ to your own countries. self your of country (pl.) your to
Sometimes the possessive termination and the genitive post-position are omitted from the $\ddot{z}$.

Ex. : öz ikhtiyar-im = my own choice, for öz-öm-ning ikhtiyar-im.
When used merely like the word "self" in English, it takes the possessive terminations before the post-positions (if any) just like a noun.

Ex. : $\ddot{z-o ̈ m}=$ myself ; $\ddot{z z-o ̈ m-n i n g ~}=$ of myself, $\ddot{z}-o ̈ m-g a=$ to myself, \&c.
 \&c.

[Note.-The $\ddot{z} \boldsymbol{z}$ being thus treated exactly like a substantive, suggests the possibility of its being merely a contraction of yiuz = face ; viz. "my face" for "myself."]

## CHAPTER V. <br> THE VERB.

All verbs in Túrki, both primary and derivative ones, active or passive, \&c., are conjugated on precisely the same model. One might cut out the radical part of any verbal form, and substitute that of any other verb for it, and, with the exception of trifling phonetic changes, the conjugational frame-work would fit on to the new as well as it did on the original verbroot.

The radical portion of the verb suffers no internal alteration whatever. The conjugation is entirely effected by various affixes which convey the necessary changes of application.

The foundation of the system is the verb-root, from which are formed, by addition, several verbal adjectives and substantives which take the place of participles, and refer to the various times or modes in which action can take place.

We will take as an example the verb signifying "to do," of which the root is QEL.

Starple Root: qel; expresses the bare idea of doing.
Present Partictple: qel $\alpha$; answers to our own Pres. Part. "doing" [where the root ends in a vowel this Participle adds an $i$ : as Ishla, Ishlaï; OQU, OQUi.]

Participle of continulivce: qelár or qelar. (See p. 297, note $\dagger$.) This Participle seems to indicate a continuance or non-completion in the action of the verb, and is used, as will be seen, for all tenses requiring such a meaning.

Perfect Particlple: qelip; here the vowel of the affix varies phonetically in different verbs, and the general expression for the Perf. Part. affix would be ' $p$, the apostrophe being replaced by the proper vowel in each case (see "Phon: Var. of Vowels"). This Participle implies the completion of the action.

Indefintte Participle: Qelghàn [The gh changes in different verbs into $q, \%$ or $g$. See "Phon. Var. of Consonants".] This Participle may properly be called Indefinite, both because it refers to no particular time for the action, and also because its application is not confined to either agent or object. In fact it may mean either the "person doing" (the doer), or the "act of doing," or the " thing done."

Potentlal Participle : qelsa " potential doing." [The voweì does not alter, but is always a.]

Future Participle: qelghu. [The gh changes as above.] This Participle only survives in composition with certain affixes which give rise to forms in modern use, of which the syllable " ghur," \&c., supplies the future element. Thus with " diq," which implies probability, we get a
[Participle of Probability : qeeghu-diq. This means " likely to do at a future moment."

Partictiple of Fitness: Again, with the affix luq (liq) implying quality, we have Qexghu-luq, which means " possessed of a do-able quality," i. e., "fit or proper to do, or to be done."]

These forms in themselves merely indicate a "coming to pass" in a general manner at the several times (or tenses) respectively, without connection expressed with any person or thing, either as subject or object. Even in this naked form, however, some of them may be used in making statements, where there cannot be much doubt about the application, especially in the 3rd person.

But usually, to give life to these dead forms, we require the pronouns. The addition of these at once suffices to convert a Participle* into a definite statement of fact, while at the same time they connect it with determinate subjects. Thus qela merely means "doing". But add the pronoun signifying " I," and you get the definite statement qela-man $=\mathrm{I}$ (am) doing.

The Personal Pronouns are used as affixes applied to such of the so called Participles as are Verbal Adjectives, chiefly for the tenses denoting present or future action. But the Possessive Pronominal Affixes (attached to the Verbal Substantives) give either a past sense (since a completed action is most essentially the property of the person who has done it) ; $\dagger$ or else a sense either of Duty or of Intention, e. g., "It is thine to do," i. e., " do thou," or "it is my (intention) to do," i. e., "I mean to do."

To exemplify these formations we will first take two isolated tenses of auxiliary verbs, the first of which is used in a present sense, and the other in a past. These tenses will also be useful hereafter in the conjugation of a complete verb.

1st. DUR (or tURur) is probably a part of the verb tUR-màq, meaning "to stand ;" the Indefinite Participle is Durghan for turur-ghan. There is also a form DUR-mish.
[ $N . B$.-The form dur having, as it were, lost its independence, and become a mere auxiliary, meaning hardly more than " is" (see $N . B$. p. 276) ; the original verb tur-mak (Pres. tura-man, \&c.) is sometimes brought in as a fresh auxiliary expressing " permanence."]
$2 n d$. Ird' (or Id ') is the Past of a defective verb tr-mak, meaning "to be," of which the Indefinite Participle is irkan or IFan, and the Potential Participle is Irsa or Isa. It also possesses a form Ir-mish or Im ish. The rest are absent, with the exception of a Continuative Participle IR $u r^{\circ}$ found in old books.

## Present Auxiliary.

Here the simple Personal Pronoun is affixed for each person (except the 3rd) :
S. 1. Dur-man $\ddagger=$ (lit.) I stand.
2. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dur-san }\end{aligned}=" \quad$ thou standest.
3. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dur }\end{aligned}="$ (he) stands.

* Or any other Adjective \&c., (see p. 296).
+ Thus answering to the tenses formed with the auxiliary "to have" in modern European languages.
$\ddagger$ The presence of the pronoun as a termination of the verb does not prevent its being used before the verb also, as the subject or nominative, e. g., man dur-man="I stand" or "I am."

Pl. 1. Dur-miz*= (lit.) we stand
2. Dur-siz $=$ " ye stand
3. Dur-lar $=, "$ (they) stnad
or Duk-miz (dignified form used in Aksu, \&c.)
DUK-siz.
Duk-lar.
N. B. It will be noticed that when the Pers. Pronouns are thus used as Tense-endings the Pronouns of the 3rd pers. are omitted. In the Singular the stem stands alone for the 3rd pers., and in the Plural, the mere affix of Plurality is added.

## Past Auxilifiry

with the Possessive Pronominal affix of each person added :

[This word is pronounced idim, \&c., dropping the $r$.]
There is another auxiliary verb bol-màq (root boL) $=$ to become or be. Its Continve. Participle is bolàr or bolur, \&c. Its Potential Participle is, in regular form, boLsa. Also note a defective verb in the 3rd person singular, viz., " bár" $=$ there is.

We have thus as materials out of which to form the Verb-Conjugation, on the one hand 7 Stem-elements (Root and Participles, see above), and on the other 10 co-efficient elements :-

* Note that biz takes the form miz when used as a verbal termination. This is a beginning of the process by which the Osmanli personal tense-terminations have become differentiated from their originals, the Personal Pronouns.
$\dagger$ This ' $k$ is an abnormal form used instead of the possessive affix of the 1st person plural 'miz. Now this is the only one of the six persons whose possessive affix cannot easily be distinguished from its affixed Personal Pronoun; and this probably led to the substitution of another form for the former in the case of verbs, to avoid confusion in the first person plural, between tenses ending with the Personal Pronoun and those ending with the Possessive Pronoun.

1. The Personal Pronouns man, \&c. 6. The Aux. Tense imish-man,* \&c.
2. The Possessive Affixes, im, \&c. 7. The Aux. Tense durmish-man,* \&c.
3. The Auxiliary Tense, dur-man, \&c, 8. The Aux. Tense ikan-man,* \&c.
4. The Aux. Tense bolàr-man, \&c. 9. The Aux. Tense $i r s a ' m, \dagger$ \&c.
5. The Aux. Tense $i d-i m$, \&c. 10. The Aux. Tense bolsa'm, $\dagger$ \&c.

Out of these simple materials, by adding each of the latter set in succession to each of the former (with certain omissions), almost the whole conjugation of every verb (with its seeming intricacies) is formed, as we shall now see.

* These are formed in the model of dur-man, \&c., (with Pers. Pron.).
$\dagger$ These are formed in the model of $i d-i m$, \&c., (with the Possessive Affixes).

SCHEME OF THE TURKI

|  | Tenses of the Root: Qel | Tenses of the Present Participle: Qela | Tenses of the Contin- uative Participle. Qelàr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. With the Personal Pronouns. |  | The Simple Present tense. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Qela-man, \&c., }=\mathrm{I} \\ & \text { do, \&c. } \end{aligned}$ | The Future-Present Tense. <br> Qecàr-man, \&c., $=\mathrm{I}$ am about doing, \&c. |
| 2. With the Possessive Affixes. | Imperative. Qel-ing (2nd person) = do thou. |  |  |
| 3. With the Auxiliary der-man. |  | Compound Future Present Tense. Qela-dur-man, \&c. = I am about doing. |  |
| 4. With the Auxiliary bolar-man. |  |  |  |
| 5. With the Auxiliary $i d-i m$. | Definite Past Tense. Qex-'d-im, \&c., $=\mathrm{I}$ did. |  | Habitual or Imperfect Tense. <br> QELàr-id-im, \&c., = $=\mathbf{I}$ was doing (used to do). |
| 6. With the Auxiliary inzish-man. |  | Hearsay Present Tense. <br> Qela-imish-man, \&c., = I am understood to do. | Hearsay Future-Present Tense. <br> QeLàr-imish-man, \&c., $=I$ am understood to be about doing. |
| 7. With the Auxiliary dur-mishman. |  | IIearsay Compound Present Tense. Qela-durmish-man, = I am understood to be doing. | . |
| 8. With the Auxiliary ikan-man. | - |  | Fiture-Present Indefinite Tense. <br> Qelàr-ikan-man, \&c., = I may be about doing. |
| 9. With the Auxiliary irsa'm. |  |  | Future-Present Potential. <br> QeLàr- $i r$ rsa'm, \&c., $=\mathrm{I}$ might beabout doing. |
| 10. With the Auxiliary bolsa'm. |  |  |  |

## VERB CONJUGATION.


N. B.-In the preceding Scheme the stem-elements occupy each its own vertical column, while the co-efficient elements run across the sheet, each in its own horizontal line. Only the 1st persons of the Tenses are given to save space.

## SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE

OF THE
TURKI VERB CONJUGATION.


## CONJUGATION OF THE VERB,

```
with ANALYSIS.
```


## I. Personal Pronouns-

First applying the Personal Pronouns to each of the Participles in turn, we get:
(a) with Present Participle-

## The Siniple Present Teise.

1. Qel $\alpha-$ man $=\mathrm{I}$ do. $\quad$ 1. Qel $\alpha-m i z=$ we do.
2. QEL $\alpha-$-san $=$ thou doest.
3. $\mathrm{QEL}-$-siz $=$ ye do.
4. (Caret, see Comp. Pr. Tense.)
5. (Caret, see Comp. Tense.)
*This is a Simple Present Tense;
(b) with Continuative Participle-

QELár-man or QELur-man $=(l i t)$.I (am) continuously doing.
This refers obviously both to the present moment and also to those immediately before and after it. In practice it has obtained special reference to the latter, like our English "I am going to do," and this Tense may therefore be called the Future Present: .

1. QELàr-man or QELàr-man " I am doing" or "about doing."
2. QELàr-san "thou art doing"" or" about doing."
3. QELàr " he is doing" or " about doing."
4. QELàr-miz "we are doing"" or "about doing."
5. QELàr-siz "ye are doing"" or "about doing."
6. QELàr-lar " they are doing" or " about doing."
(c) with Perfect Participle-

## The Perfect Tense.

1. QELip-man "I have done" ; (lit.) "I (have) completely done."
2. QELip-san "thou hast done."
3. (Caret, see Compound Perfect Tense.) $\dagger$
4. QELip-miz "we have done."
5. Qelip-siz " ye haye dome."
6. (Caret, see Compound Perfect Tense.) $\dagger$

Here there is no doubt about what the meaning must be. The tense is therefore a simple Perfect;
(d.) with Indefintite Participle-

* The 3rd pers. S. and Pl. being wanting here, the corresponding persons of the Compound Present Tense are made to supply their place.
$\dagger$ The 3rd persons of the Compound Perfect Tense supply the place of the lacking. ones in this Tense.

Qelghan-man, \&c., (lit.) "I (was at some time or other.) a doer." This is simply a general statement, and would be used in answer to the question: "Have you ever done so and so?" It would not be used to specify any particular action. It may be called

## The 1st Indefinite Past Tfise.

1. QELghan-man "I have done."
2. QELghen-san "thou hast done."
3. QELghan " he has done."
4. QELghan-miz " we have done."
5. QELghan-siz " ye have done."
6. QELghan-lar "they have done,"
(e.) with the Participle of Probability-

## The Probable Future Tease.

1. QElghu-daq-man or Qelghu-diq-man,*" I am likely to do."
2. QELghu-daq-san " thou art likely to do."
3. (Carct, see Compound Probable Future Tense.)
4. QELghu-daq-miz "we are likely to do."
5. Qelghu-daq-siz "ye are likely to do."
6. (Caret, see Compound Tense.)
II. Having thus applied the Personal Pronouns all round, we proceed to do the same with Possessive Affixes, whose vowels (1st and 2nd Persons) vary phonetically according to the prevailing vowel of the root, as in the case of substantives.
Possessite Affites-
(a.) with the Root-

QEL-ing $=$ doing (is) thine $=$ it is thy business to do; QEL-ing-lar $=$ doing (is) yours, it is your business to do. This is therefore simply an Imperative, viz., "do thou" and "do ye." In this sense of course the 1st and 3rd Persons are not used. There is another Imperative form Qed-ghil or QEL-ghin ; and, as in most languages, also a forcible Imperative, being the shortest possible form of the verb, viz., the Root : QEL $=$ do.

## The Inperative.

2nd S. $\begin{cases}\text { QEL-ing " } & \text { do thou." } \\ \text { QEL-ghil } & \text { do. } \\ \text { QEL-ghin } & \text { do. }\end{cases}$
2nd Pl. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Qex-ingiz " do ye." } \\ \text { QEL-inglar do. }\end{array}\right.$
(b.) with the Indefintte Participhe (and the auxiliary 'bár') :

* The 3rd pers. of the Compound Tense supply the lacking ones of this.


## The 2nd Indefinite Past Tense.

1. Qelghan-im bàr. "I have done."
2. QELghan-ing bàr "thou hast done."
3. Qelghan-i bàr "he had done."
4. QELghan-imiz bàr "we have done."
5. QeLghan-ingiz bàr " ye have done."
6. Qexghan-ilar bàr "they have done."

Bearing in mind that the Indefinite Participle qelghan has among other meanings, that of "the action of doing," we can see very plainly the

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}
$$

origin and intention of this formation. For 'qelghan-im-bár, must mean literally : " ${ }^{2}$ y action of doing exists" ; and it can be said to exist as the property of the doer by having been done by him. Hence the statement is equivalent to saying : "I have done."* Butall the circumstances connected with the doing remain vague. The utmost that is predicated is that the action has not been omitted. Here it is to be noted that the possessive affix $i m$ changes for the several persons of the Tense while the auxiliary bàr remains throughout in the 3rd pers. The reason is obvious on inspection. The Tense is merely a sentence, of which bàr is the verb, while the subject takes successively the possessive form of the several persons. Thus the tense is literally a sentence with a varying subject. "My doing is," "thy doing is," "his doing is", \&c.
This forms a 2 nd Indefinite Past Tense.
(c). with the Potentill Partictple:

This would mean "the power of doing (is) mine," i. e., "I may," or " might, do." It is also used in relating a story sometimes, as an Historic tense : E. g., Kelsam, hèch Kishi yoq idi=" (when) I came there was nobody (there)." The poss. terminations of the 3rd pers. are omitted. In the Singular the stem stands alone ; and in the Plural, the mere affix of Plurality is added.

## The Present Potential.

1. QELsct-'m "I may do."
2. QELsa-'ng " thou mayest do."
3. Qelsa "he may do."
4. Qelsa'q "we may do."
5. QEL.sa-'ngiz " ye may do."
6. Qels $\alpha$-lar "they may do."
(d.) with the Future Participle:
[^3]QELghu-'m-dur (or bàr) \&c. This is of course, literally, "my future doing exists (stands)" (for qelglu, it will be remembered, is the Future Participle) ; and as one may be said to make a future action one's own by intending it, this comes to mean: "I iutend to do." [See latter remarks on (b).] This may be called

## The Intentional Future Tense.

1. Qetghu-'m-dur or bàr "I intend to do."
2. QELghu-'ng-tlur" "thou intendest to do."
3. QELghu-si-duu" "he intend to do."
4. Qelghu-'miz-dur " we intend to do."
5. Qex.ghu-'ngiz-dur" "yc intend to do."
6. QEL-ghu-si-lar-duw "they intend to do."

Having exhausted the usual combinations of the simple pronominal affixes with the several participial elements of the Verb, and formed thereby nine Tenses, viz., a Simple Present, a Future Present, a Probable Future, a Perfect, an Imperative, two Indefinite Pasts, a Present Potential and an Intentional Future; we now have recourse to the auxiliaries.

## III. Auxidiary : dur-

First, the Present Auxiliary dun.
[N. B.-This is perhaps a contraction from the verb Turmìq" to stand," which would make its Continuative Participle turar and its FuturePresent тurur-man, which may have become shortened into тrur-man, and then made into dur-man. What gives colour to this supposition is that tURur-man has been found employed as an auxiliary in the place usually filled by dur-man. And this auxiliary must be a Future Present, for the form of a simple Present would be ctura-man, and not chur-man.]

Be this as it may, the auxiliary dur is used in a sense implying " to stand" or "be in a condition..." like the Italian " sta benc," "sta male" (" he stands well," \&c., for "he is well.") In some comnections (as with the Indef. Participle, \&c.) it implies merely probability or presumption (and thus Futurity), in which sense it may be compared with our "I stand to win" (see qelghan-dhor-man, bár-durman, \&o.). Thus we have:
(a.) with Present Participle-

QEL $\alpha$-dur-man,* $\& c .=I$ stand doing or to do, I am in the condition of doing ; or, as we should express it, "I am doing;" or "about doing."

## The Compound Future Present Tense.

1. QEL $\alpha$-dur-man "I am about doing."
2. QEL $\alpha-$ dur-san " thou art about doing."
3. QELa-dur "he is about doing."
*Pronounced in Yrarkand and Kíshghar " aela-doman," "—dosan," "-do," \&ce., and in Khotan "-toman," \&c.
4. QEL $a$-dur-miz "we are about doing."
5. QEL $\alpha$-dur-siz " ye are about doing."
6. QELa-dur-lar " they are about doing."

This therefore is a Compound Future Present ; (see remarks on qelàrman).
with the Perfect Participie-
(b.) QELip-dur-man,** \&c., $=$ " I am in the condition of completely having done" ; or, "I have done."

This is

## The Comipound Perfect Tense.

1. QELip-dur-man "I have done."
2. Qexip-dur-san "thou hast done."
3. QELip-dur " he has done."
4. QELip-dur-miz " we have done."
5. QELip-dur-siz " ye have done."
6. QELip-dur-lar "they have done."
(c) with the Indefintite Partictple-

QELghan-dur-man, \&c.* $=$ " I am in the condition of being indefinitely the doer." (For the Indefinite Participle has the meaning of "the doer" amongst others, and this is the only one here applicable.) This combination might be rendered "I stand as or for, the doer," and is used in the sense of "I must have done," or "I have probably done." See remarks under Dur. It may be called

## The Presuarptite Past Tense.

1. QELghan-dur-man "I must have done."
2. QELghan-dur-san "thou must have done."
3. QELghan-dur " he must have done."
4. QELghan-dur-miz " we must have done."
5. QELghan-dur-siz " ye must have done."
6. QELghan-dur-lar "they must have done."
(d.) with the Participle of Probability-

## The Compound Probable Future Tense.

1. QELghu-diq-dur-man "I am (or stand) likely to do."
2. QEEyluc-diq-dur-san "thou art likely to do."
3. QELghu-diq-dur " he is likély to do.
4. QELghu-diq-dur-miz " we are likely to do."
5. QELghu-diq-dur-siz " ye are likely to do."
6. QEeghtu-diq-dur-lar " they are likely to do."

* Pronounced in Yárkand and Káshghar "Qelipp" and "oelghan-doman," "-dosann," "-do," \&c., and in Khotan "-toman," \&c.
IV. The auxiliary verb bol-màq in the Future-Present Tense when applied to the Past Participle of a Verb also gives a Tense :
(a) QEL-ip bolàr-man, or bolur-man \&c. $=$ " I shall have done," lit. "I shall be or become (in the condition of) having done."

This is the Future Perfect Tense.
V. Next we take the Past Auxiliary irdi or idi, and apply it to the several radical elements.
Auxilitary : idi
(a) with the Roor-
 ing to the Rules of Phonetic Variation.)
[ N. B.-But it is possible that we ought rather to consider this tense as formed directly in each verb by the addition of a $d$; as $i r$ - $d$-im itself was formed from the root of irmak and the Possessive Affix, \&c. But if so, we can still trace this form no further back, beyond knowing that the $d$ must confer a kind of substantival meaning* to allow of the application of a Possessive affix, by which combination a Past sense is acquired (see 123

3
explanation of qelghan-im.) In this case qel-d-inz would be literally "my 21
action of doing (exists)," and thus, "I have done."]
At any rate the form qel-dim, \&c., is used in the sense of "I did," \&c., in relating particular occurrences. It may be called

## The Definite Past Tense.

1. QEL-d-im "I did"
2. QEL-d-ing "thou didst"
3. QEL-d-i "he did"
4. QEL-d-iq "we did"
5. QEL-d-ingiz " ye did"
6. Qel-d-ilar "they did"

## (b.) with the Partictple of Continuance-

QELàr-idine or 'dim, or qELur-idim = I was continuously doing. This may be used of an Habitual action, "I used to do," but more usually it applies only to a particular Past time, and predicates the incompletion of the action at that time. In other words it is our Imperfect "I was doing."

By dropping the final $r$ and the initial $i$, and hardening the $d$ (to avoid confusion with other tenses), the Yàrkandis get qelàttim, which is the word in vulgar use instead of qelàr-idim.

[^4]
## The Imperfect or Habitual Tense.

1. QELàr-id-im (qelàttim) "I was doing" or "used to do"
2. QELàr-id-ing (qelàtting) "thou wert doing"
3. QELàrr-id-i (qelàtti)" he was doing."
4. QELàr-id-ik (qelàttiq)" we were doing."
5. QELàr-id-ingiz (qelàttingiz) " ye were doing."
6. QELàr-id-ilar (qelàttilar) "they were doing."
(c.) with the Perfect Participle-

QELip-id-im, (Yárkand pronunciation qeliptim,) \&c., = I was (in the position of) having completely done; i.e., I had done.

The Pluperfect Tense.

1. QELip-id-im "I had done."
or-tim
2. QELip-id-ing "thou hadst done."
-ting
3. Qelip-id-i " he has done."
-ti
4. QELip-id-ik " we had done." -tiq
5. Qexip-id-ingiz " ye had done." -tingiz
6. QELip-id-ilar " they had done." -tilar

This therefore is a Pluperfect. It would refer to a special act, while the next Tense would be employed in a more general sense.
E. g. "I had read his letter just before he arrived" would be rendered by .... oqu'p-idim; but "I had read Tủrki before ever I went to Turkistàn" would be rendered by .... oqu-ghàn-idim.
(d.) with the Indefinite Participle-

QELghan-id-im, \&c., $=I$ was (in the position of) being a past doer, (viz. a person who had done). This throws back the doing before the time referred to, but that doing is itself indefinite. Such a shade of meaning has no exactly corresponding expression in English, but roughly it may also be translated: "I had done," or "I had been doing" and the Tense may be called

## The Indefinite Pluperfect.

1. QELghan-id-im "I had done."
2. QELghan-id-ing "thou hadst done."
3. QElghan-id-i " he had done."
4. Qelghan-id-ik "we had done."
5. Qrighan-id-ingiz " ye had done."
6. QELghan-id-ilar "they had done."
(e). with the Potential Participle-

QELsc-id-im, \&ce. This would be "the power of doing was mine," i. e., "I might have done" or (with agar, "if") " had I done." This is

## Tite 1st Past Potential.

1. QELsa-id-im "I might have done."
2. QELsa-id-ing "thou mightest have done."
3. QELs $\alpha$-id-i " he might have done."
4. QELs $\alpha-$-id-ik " we might have done."
5. QELsa-id-ingiz " ye might have done."
6. Qetsa-id-ilar " they might have done."
(f.) with the Future Participle of Fitness-

QEL-ghu-Tuq id-im, \&c. Qclghu-luq meaning "fit to do," this tense may be translated: "I was fit to do," or "I was to do." It is

## Tife Preterite Future Tense.

1. QEecghu-luq-id-im "I was to do" or " be done."
2. QELghu-luq-id-ing " thou wert to do" or " be done."
3. Qexghu-luq-id-i "he was to do" or " be done."
4. QELghu-luq-id-ik "we were to do" or " be done."
5. QELghu-luq-id-ingiz " ye were to do" or " be done."
6. QELghu-luq-id-ilar " they were to do" or "be done."

Sometimes the auxiliaries dur and $i d i$ are accumulated one on the top of the other: e. g. qeld-dur-idim ( $=\mathrm{I}$ was about doing), and qelip-durillim ( $=\mathrm{I}$ was in a continuous condition of having done, or, I had been doing).

Before leaving the Auxiliary idim we must take notice of the Tenses formed with its derivatory form " irmish" or "imish," and its Indefinite Participle ikan, which, when affixed to certain parts of the Verb and conjugated by affixed Pronouns, reduces their statements to mere probabilities, or makes them conditional.
VI. To express probability, or facts not positively known to the speaker (English "It is understood or believed that," \&cc.,), there is a whole series of tenses in 'mish.

The Auxiliury (imisit) makes
(a). with the Present Participle-

## The Hearsar Present Tense.

1. QEL $\alpha$-imish-man "I am understood to do."
2. QEL $\alpha$-imish-san " thou art understood to do."
3. QEL $\alpha$-imish " he is understood to do."
4. QELA-imish-miz " we are understood to do."
5. QEL $($-imish-siz " ye are understood to do."
6. QELa-imish-lar "they are understood to do."
(b.) with the Continuative Participle-

## The Hearsay Future-Present Tense.

1. QELàr-imish-man "I am understood to be about doing."
2. QELàr-imish-san "thou art understood to be about doing."
3. QELàr-imish " he is understood to be about doing," \&c.
(c.) with the Perfect Participle-

## The Hearsay Perfect Tense.

1. QELip-imish (or' mish) -man "I am understood to have done."
2. QELip-imish-san "thou art understood to have done."
3. QELip-imish " he is understood to have done," \&c.
(d). with the Indefinite Participle-

## Tife Hearsay Past Tense.

1. QELghan-imish-man "I am understood to havo done (at some time or other)."
2. QELghan-imish-san "thou art understood to have done."
3. QELghan-imish "he is understood to have done," \&c.
VII. The auxiliary dur has a similar form ;

Tife Auxilitary (durmisif) makes
(a.) with the Present Participle-

## The Hearsay Compound Present Tense.

1. QELL -durmish-man*" I am understood to be doing. (continuously understood to do.)"
2. QEL $\alpha$-durmish-san "thou art understood to be doing."
3. QELL $a$-durmish "he is understood to be doing" \&c.
(b). with the Perfect Participle-

## The Hearsay Compound Perfect Tense.

1. QEI $i p$-durmish-man "I am (continuously) understood to havo done."
2. QELip-durmish-san "thou art understood to have done."
3. QELip-durmish "he is understood to have done" \&c.

It must be understood that although the first person of these tenses is given for the form's sake, yet it is of rare occurrence, the 2nd and 3rd persons being more often used ; for a man is generally not in much uncertainty about facts connected with himself, and does not depend on hearsay for information regarding them.
VIII. We next have the Auxiliary Indefinite Participle ikan or ikin.

[^5]Auxiliary Indefinite Participle " ikan" makes
(a.) with the Future- Present-

QELàr-ikan-man. This is used in asking a question, or in making a statement qualified by "if" or " when".
[ $N$. B.-This Tense and the 3 following are as it were, supererogatory, for the "ikan" might be omitted without making much difference in the sense. There is just the difference between the English: "What may you be doing ?" and "What are you doing ?"]

The above may therefore be translated: "I may be doing or about doing," and the tense may be called

## The Future Present Indefinite Tense.

1. QELàr-ikan-man "I may be about doing."
2. QELàr-ikan-san "thou mayest be about doing."
3. QeLàr-ikan "he may be about doing" \&c.
(b.) with the Perfect-

QELip-ikan-man, \&c. Here the introduction of the Participle ikan, reduces the positive affirmation of the Perfect into a mere presumption or rumour. The above compound word may be translated: "I may or must have done" or " completed doing." We may call it

## The Presumptive Perfect Tense.

1. Qelip-ikan-man " I may or must have done."
2. QELip-ikan-san " thou mayest or must have done."
3. Qelip-ikan "he may or must have done" \&c.
(c.) with the Ivdefintte Participle-

QELghan-ilan-man, \&c. This is similar to the last with the difference of the indefiniteness inherent in the Participle qelghan. The meaning is "I may or must (at some time or other) have done," and we may call it

## The Presumptive Indefinite Past Tense.

1. QElghan-ikan-man "I may or must have done."
2. QELghan-ikan-san "thou mayest or must have done."
3. Qeighan-ikan "he may or must have done," \&c.*
(d.) with the Future Participle of Fitness-

QeLghu-luq-ikan-man, \&c. The Participle qelghu-luq means, as will be remembered, "fit or proper to do." The verbal expression derived from it takes in the Present the indefinite auxiliary form in $i k a n$. It thus means "I am to do," \&c.

[^6]1. QELghu-luq-ikan-man "I am to do" or "ought to do" or " be done."
2. QExghu-luq-ikan-san "thou art to do," \&c.
3. QELghu-luq-ikan "he is to do" \&c.

The Auxiliaries in the Potential form give us fresh Tenses. Thus $i r$-mak in the Potential is $i r$-sa, and we may take
IX. The Potential Auxiliary ' ir-sa'
(a.) with the Continuative Participle-

QELàr-ir-sa-'m, \&c. [(lit.) the possibility of continuous doing may be mine.] This would evidently mean "I may be (continuously) doing" or " about doing." But the form qel-sa'm is more commonly used.

This Tense may be called
The Future-Present Potential.

1. QELàr-irsa' m " I might be about doing."
2. QELàr-irsa-'ng "thou mightest be about doing."
3. QELàr-irsa " he might be about doing" \&c.
X. The Potential Auxilitary 'bol-sa' makes
(a.) with the Indefinite Participle-

QeLghan-bol-sa'm, \&c. Literally, "the action of doing may become mine," $i$. $e$., "I may have done" [see explanation of qel-ghan-in bár]. This may be called

## The Indefinite Past Potential.

1. QELghan-bolsa-'m "I may have done."
2. QEighan-bolsa-'ng "thou mayest have done."
3. QELghan-bolsa "he may have done" \&c.
(b.) with the Future Participle of Probability-

Qelghu-diq bol-sa'm, \&c. Literally "the probability of future doing may become mine," i.e., "I may be likely to do." This is

## The Future Potential.

1. QELghu-diq-bolsa-'m "I may be likely to do."
2. QELghu-diq-bolsa-'ng " thou mayest be likely to do."
3. QEL $g h u$-diq-bolsa " he may be likely to do" \&c.

This completes that part of the Turki Verb Conjugation which is effected simply by the apposition of the 10 co-efficient elements respectively to the several stem-elements. But there is also a set of Tenses formed from the Root by means of some special co-efficients which are not applicable to any of the other stem-elements (the Participles). Some of these Tenses are defective. They are:
(a.) An Optative for the 3rd Person, which seems to be connected in a certain measure with the Potential form. This is QEL-sun*=let him do ; and in the Plural qei-sun-lar [pronounced in Eastern Turkistán : QEL-silla]= let them do. This latter is used as a polite form of address to an equal or superior [conf. the German 'thuen Sie.'"]
(b.) There is another Optatite defective Tense with only the 1st Persons Sing. and Plur in -aï, and -ali or -aliq (alik). Together we get:
(b.) 1. QeL-ä̈ "I will do" or "let me do."
(a.) 3. Qel-sun "let him do."
(b.) 1. QeL-ali or QEL-aliq "we will do" or " let us do."
(a.) 3. Qet-sun-lar (qelsilla) " let them do" or " be they (you)
pleased to do."
(c.) The tense formed by affixing the syllable " ghaï" (whose guttural varies Phonetically) to the root of the verb, has a similar meaning but is complete in all its persons:

The Root
with the Affix dilit : qel-ghaï-man, $\dagger=\mathrm{I}$ will do, or let me do. This may be called

## Tife Optatite Future.

1. QEL-ghcoiz-man "I will do" or" "let me do."
2. QEL-ghaï-san" thou wilt do" \&c.
3. QEL-ghaï "he will do" \&c.
4. QEL-ghä̈-miz " we will do" \&c.
5. QEL-ghä̈-siz "ye will do" \&c.
6. QEL-ghaï-lar" they will do." \&c.

We next have to notice some tenses which could not be brought into the simple Scheme of the Verb, because they are, as it were, quantities raised to the 3rd power, being formed by the application of a co-efficient element to a compound consisting in itself of stem and co-efficient,

They are:
(a.) Qelsa'm-idi, \&c. This, being formed by the application of

* Perhaps this is a corrupted survival of the old Turkish Possessive Affix of the 3rd person (see at end of "Substantives"). Thus qelsun would stand for qel-
 meaning of qel-sa'n would have been "the power-of-doing (is) his," thus "let him do."
+ This form, from the meaning attached to it, would seem to be conneeted with the Future Partieiple in $g h u$.
the aux. 3rd pers. Past tense, to the several persons of the Pres. Potential of the Verb, means literally: "my power of doing existed." "Thy power ... existed," \&c. It is therefore equivalent to the 1st Past Potential, QELsa-id-im "I might have done." Its possessive affix ' $m$ changes for the several persons of the tense, while the aux. idi remains in the 3 rd pers. throughout, like the bàr and the dur of the 2nd Indef. Past and of the Intentional Future. It is


## Tife 2nd Past Potential Tense.

1. QELSa-'m-idi "I might have done."
2. QELsca-'ng-idi " thou mightest have done."
3. QElsa-idi " he might have done."
4. QELsct-' $q$-icil " we might have done."
5. QELsa-'ngiz-idi " ye might have done."
6. QELsa-'lar-idi "they might have done."
(b). QEL-dim-irsa, \&c. This is the 3rd p. of the aux. potential " $i r s a$ " added to the several persons of the simple Past. (See Remark, on 2nd Indef. Past.) The literal meaning is "my past doing may exist," i. e., "I may have done." But this form seems to be generally used in the simple sense of qeldim=" I did," with the $i r$-sa added to give a very slightly potential sense, as after the word "when." This is

## Tife 3rd Past Potential.

1. QEL-dim-irsa" I may have done."
2. QEL-ding-irsa " thou mayest have done.".
3. Qex-di-irsa" he may have done."
(c.) Qel-dim-ikan. Here, in the same way, the 3rd pers. of the aux. indef. "ikan" is tacked on to the several persons of the Simple Past of the Verb. The literal meaning is "my past doing indefinitely exists." This has simply the meaning "I did," but is used in asking a question or in making a statement qualified by "if" or "when."

Ex. : tüniu-gön nima ish qel-ding ikan =" yesterday what mayest thou have done."

This is therefore

## The 3rd Indefintte Past Tense.

1. QEL-dim-ikan "I may have done."
2. QEL-ding-ikan "thou mayest have done."
3. QEL-di-ilcan "he may have done" \&c.
(d.) QELa-dur-ikan-man, \&c. Here the Indefinite ikan is interposed before the pronouns of the Compound Pres. Tense. This Tense has simply the meaning of "I am about doing;" but is used in the same way as the last.

Ex. : nima-ish qela-dur-ikinn-san-" What mayest thou be doing ;"

This tense is the Compound Future-Present Indefinite, but the simple Fut. Pres. Indef. is more used :

The Compound Future Present Indefinite Tense.

1. QEL $\alpha-d u r$-ikan-man " I may be doing."
2. QEL $\alpha-d u r-$-ikan-san "thou mayest be doing."
3. QELa-dur-ikan "he may be doing" \&c.
(e.) Qeld-dur id-im. Here the aux. Past Tense $i d i$ is added to the Compound Future Present qeld-dur-. As this latter means: " (I am) standing (or in a continuous condition of) doing," the above compound is "I was in the continuous condition of doing" hence " I was about doing." This may be called

## The Future Present Preterite.

1. QELL $\alpha$-dur $i d$-im "I was about doing."
2. QEL $\alpha-d$ lur $i l$-ing " thou wast about doing."
3. QELa-dur $i d-\mathrm{l}$ " he was about doing."
\&c.
\&e.
(f). Qelip-dur id-im. As qelip-dur means" (I am) standing (or in the continuous condition of) having done," the above compound means "I was in the continuous condition of having done" or "I had done continuously", hence " I had been doing."

In another way, if we remember that qelip idim is the Pluperfect " I had done", it will at once be seen that the interposition of dur "standing", as qelip-dur-idim, must give it a continuative sense: "I had been doing." This is

## Tife Continuative Pluperfect.

1. QELip-dur-id-im "I had been doing."
2. QELip-dur-id-ing " thou hadst been doing."
3. QELip-dur-id-i " he had been doing."
\&c.
\&c.
There remain a few forms which, although capable of being conjugated through all the persons and possessing specific meanings, yet being formed from Verbal forms other than the regular stem-elements, or by means of attached words other than the regular co-efficient elements, had better be kept distinct from the regular Tenses, as Verbal Expressions.
(a.) Qelish-im bár, \&c. Changing the Possessive affix for each person. The form qelish meaning "the doing" (see below in "Verbal Substantives") bár being the impersonal verb "is," the above expression is literally : "The doing (of so and so) is mine," i.e., "It is mine to do" or I have to do."
4. QElish-im bâr, " I have to do."
5. QELish-ing-bâr " thou hast to do."
6. Qetish-i-bâr" he has to do."
7. QELish-imiz-bâr " we have to do."
8. QELish-ingiz-bâ " ye have to do."
9. QELish-ilar-bâr " they have to do."
[ $N . B .-A s$ the form-_'m-bàr means "I have," the parallel is complete with "aimerai" (aimer ai) \&c. See Max Müller's Science of Language, Vol. 1, p. 258, Fifth Edition.]
(b.) QEL-màq-chi bol-dum, \&c. This is the noun of the Agent (see "Formation of Nouns") derived from the Infinitive by the addition of the affix chi, with the Past of the verb bol-madq (to become). The literal meaning therefore is : "I have become the doer" or "the one who has to do." As it may be presumed that a person only becomes so of his own free will, this expression may be translated : I have agreed to do ;" and in this sense it is commonly used.
10. QELmàq-chi-boldum " I have agreed to do."
11. QELmadq-chi-boldung " thou hast agreed to do."
12. QELmàq-chi-boldi " he has agreed to do."
13. QELmàq-chi-bolduq " we" \&c.
14. QELmàq-chi-boldungiz " ye" \&c.
15. QELmàq-chi-boldilar " they" \&c.

Other expressions formed similarly from other tenses of the verb bolmàq may also be used, but they are less common, and will, moreover, suggest themselves.
(c.) QELa-durghan* bol-dum, \&c. This compound qela-durghan (see below: "Verbal Adjectives") means: "about to do." Hence the present expression is equivalent to : "I have become about to do." There is more action of the will expressed here than in the last [for QEL-màq-chi is only " one who has do," whereas qela-durghan means "about to do (actually)"]. Therefore while the previous form is only used in the sense of "I have agreed to do," the present expression implies active choice, viz., "I have determined" or " formed the resolution to do."

1. QELa-durghan (qelatqan) boldum "I have determined to do."
2. QELa-durghan-boldung "thou" \&c.
3. QELa-durghan-boldi "he" \&c.
4. QELa-durghan bolduq " we" \&e.
5. QELa-durghan boldungiz " ye" \&c.
6. QELa-durghan boldilar" they" \&e.
[^7]Other tenses of bol-màq are used with this ; also durman and idim: e.g.
(d.) 1. QEL(d-durghan (qelàtqàn) id-im "I was to do."
2. QELa-durghan-il-ing " thou wert to do."
3. QELa-durghan-id-i " he was to do."•
\&e.
(c.) 1. QELa-durghan (qełàtqìn) dur-man "I am about doing."
2. QEL $\alpha-d$ dughan dur-san " thou art about doing."
3. QELa-durghan dur" he is about doing."
\&c.
(f.) QELsa-'m botur ikan, \&c. (The Possessive affix of the qelsa changing for the different persons). This expression is very difficult to put into a representative English form ; but it shows how distinctly the Eastern Turki language keeps up the recollection of the origin of its verbal forms, and how little these have sunk into consolidated inflections. For here (after the manner of several previous tenses)* the form qelsa'm, so far from being looked upon merely as the 1st Person Singular of the Present Potential, is distinctly a Substantive in the possessed form (of the 1st Person Singular), and it is used as the subject or nominative of another verb (bolur) in the 3rd Person. By changing the Possessive affix of the " qelsca" we should get fresh compound substantives, each of which would again form the subject of the verb bolur. Such a series of expressions as these may perhaps be looked upon as a Tense in Turki ; but they are simply sentences in` a certain form with a nominative governing the possessive pronouns of the several persons successively [as in English one might say: my dog runs, thy dog runs, his dog runs, \&c.]*

The literal meaning of the expression, however, (as regards the 1st Person Singular), may be said to be as follows :-

| QELsa | ' $m$ | bolur |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the power of doing | mine | about to become |

or, as it is used in the interrogative usually with qü̈dàgh (how), we may render it : " [How] may my choice of action be about to come into play?" or in other words : [How] shall my doing be ?" i. c., " [How] shall I act" or "should I act?" And so with the other persons, Singular and Plural; the verb "bolur-ikan" remaining all through in the 3rd Person Singular. When used not interrogatively, this expression denotes obligation, as "I should" or "ought to...."

1. QELsa-'m bolur ikan "I ought to" or " should do."
2. QELsa-'ng bolur ikan "thou" \&c.
3. QELsa bolur ikan "he" \&c.
[^8]1. QELsa-'q bolur ikan " we" \&e.
2. QELsa-'ngiz bolur ikan " ye" \&c.
3. Qelsa-'lar bolur ikan "they" \&c.
(g.) QETsa-'m bolur idt, \&c. This is the same expression as the last with a Past sense. It means : "should I have done" or " I ought to have done."
4. QELsa-'m bolur idi "I ought to have done."
5. Qelsa-'ng bolur idi "thou" \&c.
6. QELsa bolur idi "he" "de.
(h.) QELsa-' $m$ kirak, \&c. The impersonal kirale means "it is necessary." The meaning of this expression is therefore (literally) " my potential doing is necessary," i. e., " it is necessary that I should do," or "I must do."
7. QELsa-'m kirak" "I must do."
8. QELsa-'ng Firak "thou" \&c.
9. QELsa kirak "he" \&c.
(i.) QExigh-liq-man, \&c. QELigh is a Verbal Substantive (see 'Formation of Substs.') meaning "the condition of doing ;" the termination liq makes it into an adjective " possessing the condition of doing" or "possessed of the qualification of having or being done." The pronoun affixed makes it into a statement, viz., "I am possessed of the qualification of having or being done." It therefore expresses the attainment of a certain state or condition, and not a mere evanescent action.
10. QELigh-liq-man " I am in a done or doing state."
11. QELigh-liq-san " thou art" \&c.
\&c..*
N. B.-It will have been noticed in the case of the Possessive affixes used as tense terminations: 1st, that where these are followed by the verb substantive làr or dur, the 1st person plural keeps the ordinary possessive affix, 'miz, instead of the verbal one of the same person, ' $q$ or ' $k$ (see first note, p. 268). The 2nd Indef. Past and the Intentional Future are examples of this. 2 nd , that when the stem terminates in a vowel, as QEL-ghu, the possessive affix of the 3rd person is si instead of $i$, according to the Rule (See "Substantives, p. 258.") The Potential is an exception. There the affix of the 3rd pers. is simply omitted.
[^9]
## VERBAL SUBSTANTIVES.

Under this head will be elassed those forms whieh, although represented by Gerunds, Infinitives, \&e., in European languages, yet in Turki are real substantives formed from the verb-root, and are treated as such :
(a.) Qelàr or qelar ; the Continuative. This is governed by postpositions just like any other substantive :

Ex.: Qelar-da="in doing" or "when about to do" (lit." in the continuance of doing.'")

Oqur-ghea maïl qelur-san=thou wilt ehoose to read, (lit. thou wilt make inelination to the reading).
(b.) QELghan ; the Indefinite. This is governed by post-positions, and also by Possessive affixes. When used for the object, (i.e. passively), it would be rendered in English by a relative elause :

Ex.: bilghan-ing=" that whieh thou knowest," lit. " (thing) known of thee."

When referring to the action, it would be rendered in a variety of ways in English, aecording to the sense:

Ex.: Qelghan uchun="beeause of doing" or " of having done." BoLghan-din-kin=" after having beeome"; lit. "after the beeoming."
Bowghán-sari=" in the measure of becoming" or "as fast as it becomes."
U" L ghán-imiz beh-râq dur=" it is better for us to die ;" lit. "our having died, or being dead, is better."
In this sense, although a substantive, yet it governs the same ease as the simple verb, as do all substantives formed from verbs.

Ex.: yer-ga $\operatorname{kirgan-in}=$ " for me to enter the earth," lit. "my entry to the earth."
(c). Qexish*; the Definite [its vowel is subjeet to Phonetic change.] This only expresses the action, and never the object. It takes postpositions and Possessive affixes, and governs the same eases as the parent verb :

Ex. : Oqu'sh-um-din=(lit.) " from my reading".
Siz-lar-ni chirda-'sh-da=" in calling you," lit. " in the calling (of) you."

Wajúdi-gha QELish-ing = "thy coming into existence."
Siz-ni ish qeLdurush-gha tàqut-im yoq $=$ "I have (there is of me) no power to make you work," lit. "to the making."
(d). Qelmáq; the so-called Infinitive also is a substantive taking

* This form is perhaps connected with ish "work" or "deed" or "act." Thus qel-ish ( $P$ for qel-màq-ning ish-i) "the act of doing;"
postopositions and Possessive affixes ; but always with a Present or Paulo-post-Future sense. Its negative qelmas also takes affixes.

Ex. : Qelmáq-uchun=" in order to do ;" lit. " because of the (P. p. F.) doing."

Saning dinn-gha kirmak-ing-ni kl'ahlä̈-dur-man="I wish thee to enter the Faith ;" lit. " thy entry (acc.) into the Faith I desire."
(e.) Qelghu-luq. The Participle of Fitness may be used as a substantive with post-positions and Possessive affixes.

Ex.: siz-lar har-nima de-sa-'nglar de-'nglar, man QELghu-luq-um-ni qelár-man=" say what you may say, I shall do what I have to do (ace)."

Here Qel-ghu-luq-um is "what I have to do," i.e. " what is proper for me to do."
( $f$ ) Qeligh. This is more a Derivative than a verbal substantive [see "Formation of Substantives"] ; but it requires to find a place here on account of the adjective obtained from it.* It has always a concrete sense.

Ex. : ülchak=" scales" from ülcha-mak=to weigh.
$u ̈ l u k="$ a corpse" from $\ddot{u l}$-mak=" to die."

## VERBAL ADJECTIVES AND ADVERBS.

Under this head also are included forms which are not represented in the same way in European languages, but exhibit their real structure very plainly in Turki. They are of course undeclined, as is the Turki rule for adjectives.
(a). Qexghali; from Qel, the root, and an affix ghali which may probably be broken up into ghu the Future-Participle affix, (or? gha the Dative Post-position), and li $\dagger$ for $l i q$, adjectival affix. Thus Qelghc-li would be, literally, 'qualified to or for (future) doing,' or "in the manner for doing." In common use it means "for" or "in order, $\ddagger$ to do."

Ex. : Körgali leel-di=" he came in order to see ;" lit. "he came qualified to see."

Bolghali una-ma-di $=$ "he consented not to become" or "in such a manner as to become."

* In some verbs this form gives a verbal adjective, from whieh a verbal substantive is formed by adding lik, reversing the usual course.
† See Vambéry's " Uïgurische Sprach-monumente," p. 39.
$\ddagger$ With this English expression in fact it corresponds pretty fairly; " in order" is really only a eompound adjeetive, "arranged" "orderly": e.g. " his affairs are in order" ; or a compound adverb: e. g. "arrange yourselves in order." Here the adverb may be still further compounded by adding the purpose: " arrange yourselves in order for marching (in marching order)" or "in order to mareh." Or again "go singly in order for passing through the gate" or "in order to pass through the gate." Thus the English expression "in order to-" (with an infinitive) is merely a compound adverb, like the Turki form in ghati.

Bárghati buyur-dum $=$ "I commanded (him) to go"; viz. "I commanded (him) in order that he should go" or "so that he should go."
(b). Qelip. The Perfect Participle. This when used in subordinate sentences may be considered as a verbal-adjective qualifying the subject of the chief sentence.

Ex. : bu Fáfir-ni ü̈qu-si-din UIGitat-ip (man) imân-gha targhíb qel-ä̈ $="(\mathrm{I})$ having awakened this infidel from his sleep, should persuade him towards the Faith."

Here "having awakened," \&c., is obviously the qualification of "I," which is the subject of the main verb "should persuade."

This is the chief form in which a verb enters into composition with another verb. See "Compound Verbs."

This Participle is also used in a Passive sense : e. g. sinip ketti, lit. "it has gone broken" (tùt gayá, H.) But sinip birdi "he broke (it)" (tor điyá, H.). Again,

Dozalk Dep yer-" the place called Hell."
This passive use is less common.
(c.) Qeit-ghach, the Preterite. A similar account may be given of this (see above, first para. of (b.) Qelip). The meaning is : "after having done."
(d). Qela-qela. The Reduplicated Present Participle. This denotes " continued doing" or "repeated doing."

Ex. : Sultân shikár qELa-qELa Artüsh-ga yetti=" The Sultán, Thunting as the went, arrived at Artüsh."

Qelmai, the Negative Present=" not doing" i.e., " without doing."
(e). Qexghìn. This indefinite (Past or Present) form does duty also as an adjective ; and here again it may apply either to the agent or the object (direct or indirect). Of course as an adjective it is indeclinable :

Ex. : ishittan söz=the speech heard (pass.). alghàn kishi=the person taking, or, who has taken (act.).
It may be itself joined to a noun or pronoup, which may be either its subject or object.

Ex. : man-QElghan ish=the work that I do (lit. the me-done work). shalûd-BoLghàn yer-da=in the place where (so and so) became a martyr (lit. in the martyr-becoming place).
( $f$ ). Qesa-durgluan. The adjective of Paulo-post Futurity; (see remarks, p. 276).

Ex. : erti Keta-durghan Hajji=the pilgrim who is going to start tomorrow (lit. the to-morrow-about-to-start pilgrim).
but-Khàna ITA-durghan yer-da=in the place where the idol-temple was (or is) to be built (lit. in the temple-about-to-build (be-built) place).
It will be seen that this, like all the forms of the Indefinite in ghane,
is applicable to either subject or object (direct or indirect). -i. e., is used either actively or passively.

Negative: QeLmä̈-durghan.
(g). Qelghu-luq,-with a Future Passive meaning:

Ex. : Qeeghur-luq ish=a work that has to be done.
(h). Qelghu-diq is active and applies to the agent or subject : Ex: : ssuàbi-ni тapqu-diq ish=a work likely to obtain merit.
(i) Qeligh-liq, conveying the sense of a condition or state [see - Verbal Expressions];

Ex. : rüsHük-liiq àsh*=food ready cooked. qauhar-birla arä̈sh qELigh-liq=ornamented with jewels.

## THE NEGATIVE.

The Negative of Verbs is formed by the syllables ' $m a$ ' ' maï' or ' mas.' ' $M a$ ' is used in the Tenses of the Root, and in the Perfect, Indefinite, Potential and Future Participles, and the Optative ; it precedes all the conjugational affixes. $\dagger$

Ex. : Qex-ma or Qel-ma-'ng $\ddagger=$ " do not ;" Qex-ma-dim " I did not."
(Perf. Parf.) QEL-ma' $p \ddagger i d i$, " he had not done."
(Indef. Part.) Qed-ma-ghan-miz, " we have not done."
(Pot. Part.) qel-ma-sa'm, " I may not do."
(Fut. Part.) QEL-mu-gluu-diq, " not likely to do," \&c.
(Optative) QEL-ma'" " let me not do."
' $M I \ddot{\prime}$ ' is used for the Present Participle and its Tenses ; or rather, the negative root qelma (see last rule) being formed, it comes under the rule (p. 28) by which " where the root ends in a vowel the Present Participle adds an $i$."

Ex. : qEL-mä̈-man "I do not"; qEL-mä̈-durman, "I am not about doing."
' Mas' is used for the Continuative Participle in ur or ar.
Ex. : Qelàr-man, " I am about doing."
QELmas-man, "I am not about doing."
Also for the Infinitive : qeLmas=" not to do."
The Defective Auxiliary "irmak" has a negative "irmas" or "imas" which does duty instead of " dur" when a negative sense is required. [There is thus a negative Future-Present Tense of $i r m a k$, but no affirmative in common use.§]

* It will be observed that püsh-ük is the same form as qcl-igh, the $i g h$ being changed into ül by double phonetic change, viz. of vowel and of consonant.
$\dagger$ That is, it comes immediately after the Root, or after the compound formed of Root plus the modifying. Affixes in the Secondary Forms of a Verb (which see, post).
$\ddagger$ The Affixes beginning with vowels lose their own vowels after that of the negative syllable, which prevails. (See "Rules of Phonetic Change of Vowels").
§ Irur is antiquated.


## Ex. : Kichili dur-man, " I am small." <br> ₹ichik imăs-man, "I am not small."

This form imŭs is also sometimes used in compound Tenses, instead of the inserted syllable, to make a negative.

The defective verb irmale seems to have no negative form for the past tense ; but the negative Future-Present imas is used, prefixed to the (affirmative) Past Tense of the same verb.

Ex. : Qexghan imas idilar for Qel-ma-ghan idilar. "They had not been doing."

Tàalhir qexghu-luk imas, (for QEL-ma-ghu-luq dur)" delay is not to be made."
The impersonal verb bâr or bâr-dur," there is", "it is," has its negative yoq or yoq-dur.

## THE INTERROGATIVE

is expressed by adding $m u$ (vulg. $m a$ ) after the verb. This syllable generally follows the last of the affixes of the verb; but in the Tenses of the Present and Future-Present Participle, the interrogative is often used in the middle of the word, in the shape of a mere letter $m$ added to the Present Participle.

Ex. : Qelding mu " didst thou do ?" [regular form].
Qela-m'-san, " dost thou do ?" [Present-Part. form].
Qeimaï-m'-san " dost thou not do ?" [do].
The particle $m u$ repeated, stands for English "whethè ...... or ......"
E. g. ma'lúm bol-maï-dur kàfir-mu Nusulmàn-mu = "It is not known whether unbelievers or Musulmans."

With the common form $i k a n$ or $i k i n$ (Indef. Part., or 3rd pers. of Indef. Past Tense, of irmak " to be") the interrogative syllable is prefixed instead of being suffixed, thus m'ikin $=$ "is (it) ?" " may (it) be ?" This compound is used, instead of the final interrogative mu, wherever ikun would be used in the positive. It expresses more of hesitancy between two opinions than the simple $m u$, owing to the peculiar indefiniteness of $i k a n$ :

Ex. bu maning-ki rr'Ikin =" may this be mine ?" (bu maning-たi bar mu-would be simply"is this mine ?"). Ul kishi kela-dur m’ıkin.*= "will that person come (or will he not, I wonder)?" Ul kishi kela-n" dur would be simply "will that person come?"

See also "Syntax" §§ 10 and 16 for other examples.

* This however may be said to be merely the Interrogative form of the Comp. Fut. Pres. Indef. Tense. Thus Kela-dur-ikan = "he may be about coming" ; Kela-dur-m'iKan (like Qela-m' $\operatorname{san}$ in form) $=$ " may he be about to come"? The effect is the same however.


## THE COMPOUND PASSIVE.

The addition of the Auxiliary verb bolmàq, "to become," to the Past Participle of the Transitive verb, forms a Passive, which may be conjugated through all the tenses of the verb " bolmàq."

Ex. : oqu'p-bolmàq, " to be read."
oqu'p-bolghan, " read." (Indef. Past Participle.)
oqu' $p$-bolàr, "it is about being read."
\&c.
$\& c$.
For the Simple Passive see the Chapter on "Secondary Forms of the Verb."

We may now say a few words about the Impersonal Auxiliary above-mentioned-"bâr". This must be carefully distinguished from the verb bârmàq, " to go," which enjoys a complete conjugation.
$B d r$, (pronounced in Yarkand, bâd) the Impersonal Auxiliary, means "there is."" It is also used to connect the predicate with its subject affirmatively, or rather to affirm the existence of the subject as connected with that particular predicate, in the same way that yoq denies its existence in that connection.

In that sense it sometimes has the pronouns of the 1st and 2nd Persons affixed to it. It is also sometimes prefixed to the Auxiliaries durman, idim, and ikan, and conjugated by their means as follows:-

Present.

1. Bar-man $\dagger$ or Bar-durman, $\ddagger$ " I exist" or " I am."
2. Bar-san or Bar-dursan, "thou existest," \&c.
3. Bar or Bar-dur " he exists," \&c.
4. Bar-miz or Bar-durmiz, "we exist," \&c.
5. Bar-siz or Bar-dursiz, "ye exist," \&c.
6. Bar or Bar-durlar, "they exist," \&c. Indefintite-Past.
7. Bar-ikan-man, "I am" or Bar-id-im, " I was." " may be."
8. Bar-ikan-san, "thou art," \&c. Bar-id-ing, "thou wert."
9. Bar-ikan "he is," \&c. Bar-id-i, "he was."
10. Bar-ikan-miz "we are," \&c. Bar-id-ik, "we were."
11. Bar-ikan-siz, " ye are," \&c. Bar-id-ingiz, " ye were."
12. Bar-ikan-lar, "they are," \&c. Bar-id-ilar," they were."

* Primarily it means " existence," but used verbally in the Turki manner it means "there is."
$\dagger$ The present of bar-miq, "to go," would be bara-man, \&c.
$\ddagger$ The tense in dur is used, when the fact is merely presumed, to moan "there must be," "there probably is" (H. howega). See remarks, p. 276.

In the Negative, the word yoq would simply take the place of the word bár throughout.

The Auxiliary bár is made to supply the place of the verb "to have" which does not exist in Turki, (see Syntax, § 13). It may be used also as a verbal adjective or noun : e. g., ahl-im bár $\ddot{i i}-d a=$ in the house where my wife is (lit. "my wife-being house in);" bàr-i-ni tuttilar = "they took what there was of his" [lit. "the existent (things) of him"].

The Indefinite verb-tense $i k a n$ is the most usual copula in ordinary sentences.

Ex. Bu àt chung ikan = "this horse is big."
Finally any adjective or substantive or pronoun can be prefixed to the Personal Pronouns without any copula*: e. g., ussaik man, "I (an) thirsty ;" kichik san, "thou (art) small ;" $\ddot{i}-$-lla miz $\uparrow$, we (are) at home ;" Tajik-lar-din siz mu, " (are) you of the Tâjik tribe ?" yoq, biz Turk-lardin miz, "no, we (are) of the Turks" (i.e., of Turkish race). The verb substantive is simply sous-entendu, or perhaps its necessity is not felt in a primitive language, as neither is it in the language of children, e. g., " mo good", " you horse", " me coachman".

## CHAPTER VI.

## SECONDARY FORMS OFTHE VERB.

The Secondary Forms are produced by certain Affixes added to the Root, conveying some modification of the Primary verb.

These Affixes may be super-imposed one on the other as required.
The new Verb thus formed is conjugated just as the Primary. Verb, taking the compound of Root plus modifying Affix or Affixes, as a new Root.

## I.-Causatives.

The Turki Causative form gives to a Transitive Verb a Causative sense, while an Intransitive one becomes Transitive. This will be obvious from an example or two:
(a). The first form of the Causative is that which applies to Verbs

* A similar practice in Osmanli Turkish (where the abbreviated forms of the pronouns adopted as tense-terminations, are thus used) has misled Grammarians into the belief that the said abbreviated terminational pronouns form a tense of the Verb Substantive. See p. 246 (text and first note).
$\dagger$ Note that it is the verbal or terminational form of the Personal Pronouns that is thus used (miz not biz), as in Osmanli.
ending with a vowel, or diphthong (or sometimes to those with the liquids $r$ and $l$ ) by affixing a $t$.*

Ex: Ishla-mak = to work.
Ishla-t-mak = to cause to work.
Tuga-mate $=$ to come to an end, $i . e$. to finish (intrans.).
Trua-t-mak $=$ to cause to come to an end, $i$. e. to finish (trans.).
$I b a ́ r-m a k=$ to send : $i b a \hat{r}-t-m a k=$ to cause to send.
(b). The second form of Causative is that which affixes ur, $\dagger$ tur or $d u r, \ddagger$ or shur (in which the Vowel is constant).

Ex. : püsh-mak $=$ to be in a cooked state [neuter, not passive].
püsh-ür-mak $=$ to cause to be in a cooked state, $i$. $e$., to cook. (Trans.)
Kel-mak $=$ to come. (Intrans.)
kel-tur-mak $=$ to cause to come, i.e., to bring. (Trans.)
bil-mak = to know; bil-dur-mak = to cause to know, $i$. e., to inform.
tàp-mà $q=$ to receive ; tàp-shur-màq $=$ to cause to receive, i. $e$., to entrust to.
[N. B.-In the cases of tuga-mak, püsh-mak, \&c., the English language treats the Turki Causative ( piish-ür-mak, " to cook," \&c.,) as the Primary Verb, and the Turki Primary (piish-nak, " to be cooked,") \&c. as the passive of the same. For we should consider the idea ("to cook,") to come before the idea "to be in a cooked state." But the Turki language takes the latter as its primary idea, putting it in the form of a primary (Turki) verb; while it obtains the sense of our simple Active verb by adopting' the form of the Causative from what is, in our mouths, a Passive (to cause to be cooked $=$ to cook). This train of thought may sometimes help to account for what may seem to be a non-conformity botween the Turki verbal form and its English expression.]
(c). The third form affixes $k u r$ or $k u z$, the $\neq$ and its vowel changing sometimes according to the Phonetic Rules.

Ex. : yet-màq = to reach.
yet-qur-màq or yet-quz-madq $=$ to cause to reach.
qel-màq $=$ to do $; q e l-g h u z-m a ́ q=$ to cause to do.
$k_{i r}-m a k=$ to enter $;$ lir - giz-mak $=$ to cause to enter.

* Probably a vestige of the verb it-mak "to make." Thus ishla-t-mak quasi ishla-it-mak "to make to work."
+ Such Verbs as have their Causative in ur always have their Continuative Participle in ir not $u$ 'to avoid confusion. Ex. ichmaq "to drink ;" Continuative Participle ichar ; Causative form ich-ur-màq.
$\ddagger$ According to the Rules of Phonctic Variation for Consonants (the vowel does not change).


## II.-The Passive.

(a). The first form of the Passive is obtained by affixing ' $l$, il or $u l$ (according to the Phonetic Rules, which see) to the Root. If the Root ends in a vowel, that of the Passive Affix disappears.

Ex. : àch-màq "to open ;" àch-il-màq" to be opened." tari-mak " to sow ;" tari-'l-mak " to be sown." oqu-màq " to read; oqu-'l-màq " to be read." tut-mak "to seize;" tut-ul-mak" to be seized."
$N$. B.-It seems probable that the origin of this formation was the addition of the Auxiliary bol-màq, " to become," to the Perfect Participle of another verb. The combination still survives with a passive significatinn, as tutup-bol-màq, "to be seized" [See" Verbs, Compound Passive."] This might easily be corrupted to tutubul-màq* by the elision of $p$ before $b$ and Phonetic change in the Vowel ; and thence the step would not be far to tutul-màq,* slurring over the $b$ between two vowels.
(b). In cases where the Root of the Verb ends in $l$, the Affix of the Passive is changed into ' $n$, in or $u n$ to avoid the clashing of two $l$ s.

Ex. : bil-mak $=$ to know ; bil-in-mak $=$ to be known (not bil-ilmak).
[N. B.-Sometimes a Passive is formed from an Intransitive Primary Verb in the regular form, but its meaning is taken from the Causative.
E. g., ajra-mak (intransitive) "to be in a divided state." Passive ajra-'l-mak, "to be divided." This is, in point of meaning, the Passive of ajra-'t-mak," to divide" or "to cause to be in a divided state" (Caus.) ; but in form it is the Passive of the intransitive Primary Verb ajra-mak which of course by rights could have no Passive].

## III.-The Reflective.

This is formed precisely as the last [Passive (b)], but can be applied not only to Verbs whose root ends in $l$, but to all whose sense will bear it. Its meaning is directly 앙 indirectly Reflective; but this sometimes gives rise to special meanings, whose exact connection cannot at first sight be traced.

Ex. : $u r-m a ̀ q=$ to strike $; u r-u n-m a ̀ q=$ to strike one's self against; (sp.) to brush against.
tolgha-màq $=$ to twist; tolgha-'n-màq $=$ to twist one's self, (sp.) to writhe.
oqu-màq $=$ to read $;$ oqu-' $n-m \grave{a} q=$ to read to one's self.

[^10]chàq-màq $=$ to strike a light; chàq-in-màq $=$ to strike a light of itself ; (sp.) to lighten (used of the Lightning).
aya-mak $=$ to withdraw (trans.) $;$ aya-' $n-m a k=$ to shrink (withdraw one's self).
[N. B.-There are some Primary Verbs in the Reflective form, or at least of which no Primary form exists; this having probably become superfluous in point of meaning, as for example, with tuga-mak, " to come to an end," and tuga-'n-mak, " to finish itself;" one of these is obviously superfluous, and might be dropped. Even when the real Primary form has become obsolete, the Secondary Verbs are formed as if from it, and not from the Reflective form.

Ex. : örga-'n-mak = to learn, (to put into one's own mind).
makes $\ddot{r} r a-{ }^{-} t-m a k=$ to cause to learn, or to teach $m a k$ (obsolete) $=$ (others), (to put into another's mind). (to have in mind). and not örga-'n-dur-mak.]

## IV.-The Verb of Rectprocity or Compantonship.

This form affixes 'sh, ish or ush* (according to the Phonetic Rule) to the Verb (root or compound). The sense conveyed is that the action is reciprocal between several persons, if the Primary Verb will bear this sense; otherwise it means that the action is performed by several together. Like some of the other secondary forms, this sometimes assumes a special restricted sense, which in English is expressed by a separate word.

Ex. : üs-mak "to butt;" us-ush-mak "to butt at one-another;
tut-mùq " to seize ;" tut-ush-màq " to seize one-another", (specially) "to wrestle ;"
oqu-màq " to read ;" oqu-'sh-màq " to read in company."
ur-un-màq " to brush against." ur-un-ush-màq " to brush against one another."

## V.-The Verb of Possibidity. $\dagger$

To express the power to do or suffer, the Turki language employs no separate Verb (as "I can............") but adds an affix àl to the Verb. This affix remains intact, never changing phonetically as others do.

Ex. : bàr-màq, " to go ;" bàr-àl-màq, " to be able to go."
àch-màq, " to open ;" àch-àl-màq, " to be able to open."
tut-màq, " to seize ;" tut-àl-màq, " to be able to seize."
sàt-màq," to sell ;" sàt-àl-màq," to be able to sell."

* Perhaps connected with ish "a companion."
$\dagger$ The Osmanli Turkish seems to have no form of Possibility, only that of Impossibility in ama or emc. This is obviously a relic of the Eastern or primitive affix of Possibility combined with that of Negation : e.g., (Osm.) yáz-ama-di for (East. T.) yàz$a l-m a-d i$ "he was not able to write."
[ $N . B$.-It may be said that this form is simply the apposition of the Verb àl-màq, " to take," to the Primary Verb. This is probably the origin of the formation ; in fact it is sometimes found written separately with the Pres. Participle of the principal Verb followed by the tense of the Auxiliary àl-màq ; e. g. qela-àlmas $=$ " cannot make"; but, in speaking it has got worn down into a mere formal affix instead of an independent Verb.

Thus the form bàr'-àlmàq, tut'-à̀màq, \&c. may be considered a mere corruption or running together of the full compound: bàra-àlmàq, tutaàlmàq, \&ce.]

Where the Present Participle does not end in $a$ but in $i$ (in consequence of the Root ending in a vowel, see "Verbs, Pres. Participle", page 28) no elision takes place between the final $i$ of the verb, and the initial $a$ of the auxy. This helps to distinguish this form from the Passive in these cases:*

Ex. : [Primary Form] ajra-mak $=$ to be in a state of division.
[Passive Form] ajra-'l-mak = to be divided.
[Form of Possib.] ajrä̈-àl-màk $=$ to be able to be in' a state of division.
(Prim.) $o q u-m a ̀ q=$ to read.
(Pass.) oqu-'l-màq $=$ to be read.
(Poss.) oquï-àl-màq $=$ to be able to read.
Not only one but two or more of these modifying affixes may be used in the same Compound Verb, as will be seen below.

The order in which they should be applied to the Root, when several come together, depends chiefly on the meaning intended to be given. But there seem to be two general rules, viz, that the negative affix when used shall come last (before the conjugational ones), while usually the affix of Possilility comes next before the negative (if any), or otherwise last of all the modifying syllables.

$\begin{array}{llllllll}8 & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 3\end{array}$ $=\mathrm{I}$ am not able to cause (them), to be given to one-another.
With the exception of these two modifying affixes (viz., those of Possibility and of Negation), the order of the others is dictated by the meaning intended. For instance :

Bir-il-dur-mak is the Causative Form (in dur) of a Passive Verb

[^11](in $i$ ) obtained from the Root bir. It therefore must mean " to cause to be given."

Again, bIR-dur-ul-mak is the Passive (in $u l$ ) of the Causative Form (in dur) of the Verb bir-mak. Thus its sense is: "To be caused to give."
[ N. B.-With an Intransitive Verb only one of these two forms would be possible. For with кee-mak, "to come," кet-tw-un-mak would be the Passive of the Causative Form, and would mean "to be caused to come," i. e., "to be brought." But the alternative form KEL-in-tur-mak would be the Causative of a Passive Verb кel-in-mak, and such a Verb is logical! $y$ impossible, since кel-male " to come," can have no Passive (though it is true a Passive form of certain Intransitive Verbs is used impersonally in a special sense ; see "Syntax.")]

Thus each of these affixes modifies the combination preceding it, while the resulting meaning is again modified by the next succeeding affix. Each, moreover, may recur (in its varying forms) in the same combination.

Ex. : кir-giz-il-dur-ul-mak=" to be caused to be made to enter", i.e $e$ "to be caused to be brought in," where the Causative Affix appears under the forms of $g i z$ and dur, and the Passive under those of $i l$ and $u l$.

It will be understood that such complex forms are rare. Still, while generally making use of simple ones, the Turkis reserve to themselves the right of employing the longest compounds, and occasionally exercise the right.
[ N. B.-It will be seen from this that the Turki Verb is capable of a vast number of different forms. There are, as we have seen, five Secondary Root forms besides the Compound Passive. The number of Permutations these are capable of is of course enormous. Many of these would be impossible logically (though not formally), while many would be mere repetitions in point of meaning.

But leaving out the Affix of Possibility (which generally comes last), it may be safely stated that with each of the five remaining forms taken successively as the first affix, at least five combinations can be made, conveying each a distinguishable meaning, which would be recognised by any educated native of Turkistan.

This would give us $5 \times 5$, viz., 25 compound forms, to each of which could be added the Affix of Possibility, making up 50. But each of these 50 could be used in the negative ; so that there are not less than 100 Com pound Roots or Bases.

Or the number may be estimated in this way. Prof. Max Müller, by the application in Western Turkish merely of the Causative, Reflective and Reciprocative Affixes, obtains 12 Secondary forms. For the Eastern Turki, if we attach to each of these the Affix of Possibility, we raise the number of forms to 24 , each of which can be put in the Negative, making 48.

Now all but the simplest of these are susceptible of a different order in the affixes (giving a difference of meaning, see above). Moreover, affixes may recur in the same combination (see preceding page). If we allow that these methods double the number of basal forms, we shall be well within the mark, and so we may fairly admit the figure 100 given above.

Now there are some 44 complete Tenses (or conjugated expressions), and 4 Tenses with only 4 personal forms apiece. Thus we get 280 Tensepersons applicable to each of the 100 Compound Roots or Bases, besides verbal substantives and adjectives. Multiplying the two sums together we see that the number of intelligible forms which a single Turki Primary Transitive Verb-root is capable of giving rise to, is not less than 28,000 .

Prof. Max Müller states that "each Verb in Greek, if conjugated through all its voices, tenses, moods, and persons, yields, together with its participles, about 1,300 forms" (Max Müller Lectures on the Science of Language, 5th Ed., Vol., I, p. 305.)

## CHAPTER VII. ADVERBS, POST-POSITIONS, CONJUNCTIONS, NUMERALS.

## THE ADVERB.

Many Adjectives are used as Adverbs without alteration.
Of course it would be superfluous to give a long list of Adverbs, which would be found again in the Vocabulary. But there are certain groups of Compounds which call for notice :
(a). First, a large number are formed by means of the Locative Affix $d a=$ "at" or " in ;" also din $=$ " from," and $g a$ or $k e a=$ " to :"
$q u \ddot{u}-d a$ or $q a ̀ n-d a=$ in what (place)? where?
$u n-d a=$ in that (place), there.
$u^{\prime}-y e r-d a=$ in that place.
$u z a-s i-d a=$ on the top of it.
$t u b a n-d a=$ at the bottom.
ara-si-din $=$ from the midst of it.
nim'-ish-ka \&c. = wherefore? (lit.) to what work?
It will be observed that these are merely Pronouns or Substantives in the Locative, Dative, or Ablative cases.
(b). The Affix dik, dàq or dàgh gives rise to some Adverbs of similitude.

$$
\begin{gathered}
q \grave{a} \text { ï-dì } q=\text { what like ? how ? } \\
\text { mum-dàgh }=\text { like this, thus. } \\
\text { shun-dăgh }=\text { like that, so. } \\
\text { \&c. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Other Compound Adverbs can be formed in the same way by means of the Affix dàq or clik with various Substantives or Pronouns.
(c). Another set is formed by affixing cha, which implies "extent" or " amount."
qàn-cha $=$ how much?
mun-cha $=$ this much.
shun-cha $=$ that much.
ni-cha = how much?
(d). Sometimes this Affix cha is preceded by the Dative Post-position: $a n-g a-c h a=$ "to that extent" or " so far as that".
kachan-ga-cha $=$ " to what extent of time ?" i. e., " till when ?"
Thus the Compound Affix gha-cha comes to mean, in point of place "so far as," and in point of time "until." It answers to the Compound Verbal Affix ghunz-cha. This is written in Kàshghar ghu-cha, which would derive it from the Future Participle is $g$ ghu; or it may be the termination of the Indefinite Past Participle " ghan" with the same affix "chu," and thus QEL-ghan-cha would be literally "to the extent of the (indefinite) "doing," which sense agrees well enough with the common use of this form viz., " whilst doing" or "than doing."

Neg. QEL-ma-glunn-cha,="whilst not doing," i. e. "until (he or it \&cc.) does."

Ex. : mulaqùt BoL-ma-ghun-cha = until a visit was paid, (lit. "whilst not paid").

Saning din-ing-gha KIR-ghun-cha $=$ than entering into thy Faith.
(e). The Substantive yàn " side" forms several Adverbs :
qü̈-yìn-gha $=$ to which side?
qü̈-y ${ }^{\text {and }}$-da $=$ in what direction?
$u-y i ̀ n-d i n$ or $u-y{ }^{2} n-i-d i n=$ from that direction.
bu-yàn-da or bu-yìn-i-da $=$ in this direction.
$(f)$. Next comes a group of which the derivation is less plain, but the connection in sense and form evident.
biri $=$ on this side.
nuri $=$ on that side.
$i c h l a c r i=$ inside $[i c h r a=$ chtto (also found) $]$.
tàshqari $=$ outside.
îlgari $=$ before.
yoquri $=$ above.
utra or utru $=$ opposite, facing.
N. B.-It will be observed that the sense of all these is locative while the terminations are two : the simple ari or $i r i$, and the fuller Fari or $g a r i$ It is probable from analogy that some word indicating " place" or "locality" must be the origin of these endings. That word must be found in tho
simpler as well as in the fuller forms. Hence it may be inferred that the $k$ ( $q$ or $g$ ) of some of them is not a part of the word we are seeking. Looking then simply at ari or $i r i$, where we are to find the meaning " locality," we are reminded at once of yer-i="its place." I venture to suggest, therefore, that biri may be a corruption of bu-yeri ( $=$ this place $)$, and nari of an-yeri or ' $n$-yeri ( $=$ that place).

As for the others, ichkari, \&c., it will be observed that ich is a Substantive (see Vocabulary) meaning "the interior." Tàsh or tish means "the outside." Again it may be gathered by comparing the Chaghatai word ilaï "before" with the Uigur word ilik (? il-lik) "first," that there must once have been a word il meaning "front," which would be the origin of $i l-$ gari. Lastly, as there is an Uigur Verb yoq-la-màq $=$ "to be elevated," it may be gathered that yoq as a root conveys the idea of height, whence yoqari.

It will be observed that such of these compounds as take the simpler form without $k$, begin with Pronouns, while those which take a $k$, \&c., before the element ari begin with Substantives. Now an Adjectival Pronoun can be prefixed to a Substantive without intermediation. But a Substantive cannot be so attached to another Substantive. The regular formation in such a case is to affix the particle $g i$ or $k i$ [see p. 279 (b)] to the former, making it into an Adjective of Relation. Now this is exactly what we find in these words. Wherever the first element is a Substantive, we find a $\%$ introduced between the two parts of the word. This confirms my supposition that the final element is a Substantive. If we wished to combine the Substantive ich "interior" with the Substantive yor or yeri" "place" according to rule-we should say $i c h-7 i z-y e r i=$ "the inward place", "the inside" (ich-ki being an Adjective of Relation meaning "inward") a form easily contracted to ich-k'ari. And so, tàsh-q'ari for tàsh-qi-yeri" the out-
 up-side." (Utra is probably from a Participle of $u t$-mak "to cross", and not from a Substantive.)

But it may be said that these words (if formed as I have suggested) are mere Compound Substantives, and not Adverbs. This is so true that they are often treated exactly like Substantives. They are not only declined by means of Post-positions (e. g., îlgari-da, tàshqari-gha, \&c.), but they are also frequently put in the "possessed" form, and govern the genitive of the object, e. g. uii-ning ichlaari-si-gha, "to the inside of the house" ; maning ilgari-'m-da, " at the front of me."

Vámbéry thinks that these forms may be divided into a mark of the dative $g a$, and a final syllable ra or re (indicating a direction towards according to Schott)*. I have ventured to offer another solution above, which I * Quoted by Vámbéry, "Chagataische Sprachstudien," p. 20, note.
will further support by remarking that the treatment of these forms as Substantives (see last para.) quite accords with the supposition that they are for-

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}6 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6\end{array}$ "to its inner place of the house," and maning ilgari-'m-da wouldbe (lit.)
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1\end{array}$
"at my front place of me."
But such expressions are hardly consistent with the supposition that $i c h k a r i$ is made up of $i c h$ the "interior" plus ka "to" (Dat.), plus ri (a $\begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 \quad 3\end{array}$ Post-position supposed to imply direction towards). For iü-ning ich-Fa-ri-si$5 \quad \begin{array}{lllll}5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1\end{array}$
gla would then be (lit.) "to its towards to interior of the house" with 3 Post-positions (two of them identical) agglomerated on the top of one another, and only broken by a Possessive Affix detached from any Noun. And maning il-ga-ri-'m-da would be on the same system (lit.) "at my towards to front of me."

With regard to such forms as quït-ruc "backwards," üz-ra up \&c. (to which may be added ich-ra "inside") quoted by Prof. Vámbéry to prove that the syllable ra is separate from the (supposed) Dative termination $\mathcal{k} a$, and does not form part with it of a single element kari or gari, this conclusion is doubtless correct, as moreover the examples biri and nari show. Prof. Vámbéry and I are agreed in thinking that the $K$ element is distinct from the $r$ element. What they each represent, is a different question. If the latter meant " direction towards," the addition of the former with much the same sėnse, (viz. $k a=$ to) would be superfluous ; and still more so, on this supposition, would be a second apposition of the same affix in the expression tàshquri-gha, \&c.

Moreover in the words above quoted of Eastern Turkistani, I cannot trace any signification of "direction towards." In that dialect they all apply to "rest in a place" (e. g. Tàshqari is the name of the outer courtyard of a house, and ichkari that of the inner or women's court), as moreover can be gathered from the fact that to express motion the dative post-position is added ; e. g. tàshqari gha " to the outside," " outward" ; while it is quite correct to say ichlkari ulturadur " he is sitting inside," tàshquri turdi " he stood outside," "* which would be abnormal if those words implied motion or direction towards. As for the forms $i c h-r a, ~ i \ddot{z}-r \cdot(\alpha, \& c$. (from the substantives $i c h=$ interior and $\ddot{u z a=}$ surface) where we should expect to find the particle ki following the initial element, it is probable that in the more Westerly dialects (for they are not common in Eastern Turkistán), the substantive yeri, having already been contracted to $r a$ or $r i$, has further

[^12]sunk into a mere post-position, so that its substantival origin and the consequent necessity for the relative particle, $k i$, after other substantives attached to it, may have been forgotten.

Thus it is only when governed by Post-positions, as " da", " gha" or "rin" (expressed or understood), that such forms can be considered as Adverbs, although these Post-positions are often suppressed as understood.

When themselves governing Nouns or Pronouns, they of course become Compound Post-positions, as in the instances given above in the Note.
(g). Another group is formed with the Affix lash, implying " direction" or "inclination towards."
yoqari-lash $=$ upwards.
tuban-lash = downwards.
ura-lush $=$ sloping upwards.
ara-lash $=$ mixedly, i. e., middle-wards.

## POST-POSITIONS.

These may be divided into two classes, Simple and Compound.
The Siniple Post-positions are mere affixes attached to the words governed by them, and forming what may be called (for the sake of comparison with the inflectional languages) various cases, Dative, Locative, \&c.

The Simple Post-positions are : da "at" or "in," din "from," gha, $q^{a}, g a$ or $k a$ " to," ning " of," $n i$ (sign of accusative absent in English), tirla or lilan " with," siz " without," uchun " for," " because of," \&c., \&c.

The Conrpound Post-positions are really Substantives, which are themselves affected by the simple Post-positions, e. g., Icir-i-dia "inside," [lit.] "in its interior;" Üzs-si-gha "a'top," (lit.) "to its top." These are sometimes applied directly to a substantive. But they also frequently require other Post-positions to connect them with the words they govern, e. g.,-din kiv (lit.) "after from ... ;"—ning üza-si-da " $\Delta$ 'tor of -.."

When they are used with the genitive of the noun governed, the sense is made definite.

Ex. : Tágh-ning Üza-si-da $=$ on the top of the mountain.
Tágh-ning тиbax-i-da $=$ at the foot of the mountain.
When din is used instead of ning, or when the two Substantives are merely placed in apposition without any Post-position between, the sense becomes indefinite (especially in the latter case).

Ex. : Tágh-Üza-si-da $=$ on the mountain-tops.
Tágh-din-TUBAN-da $=$ below the mountains.
The following is a list of the more usual forms ; it being remembered that all can employ ning in the definite sense before them, while such as here have din prefixed, use that post-position for the indefinite (the others being merely placed in apposition when the sense is indefinite) :-


All these declinable Substantives admit also of the Possessive Affixes,
 thy side."

The Compound ghacha (gha "to," cha" the extent of ;" see Adverbs) is used as a Post-position meaning " till" or " as far as."

Ex.: Tiz-ghacha = as far as the knee; Kâshqar-ghachco = as far as Kashghar; bâsh-tokhi-ghacha $=$ till first cock, (i. e., till cock-crow).

## CONJUNCTIONS.

There are not many Conjunctions in Turki. It borrows them frequently from Persian and Arabic.

The home-born ones are Fim " that," takhi " still", " notwithstanding", imdi " then", \&c., and the compound an-din- $k i n n$ "afterwards", \&c.

## NUMERALS

The Cardinal numbers are :
bir $=$ one.
$i k i=$ two .
öch $=$ three.
tört $=$ four.
besh $=$ five.
alti $=$ six.
yette $=$ seven.
sakiz= eight.
toqoz= nine.
$u n=$ ten.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { yigarma } & =\text { twenty. } \\
\text { otöz } & =\text { thirty. } \\
\text { qirq } & =\text { forty. } \\
\text { illiq } & =\text { fifty. } \\
\text { altmish } & \\
\text { oratmish } & =\text { sixty. } \\
\text { yetmish } & =\text { seventy. } \\
\text { sakzan } & =\text { eighty. } \\
\text { toqzan } & =\text { ninety. } \\
\text { yüz } & \text { a hundred. } \\
\text { ming } & \text { a thousand. }
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { tuman }=\text { ten thousand. }
$$

The intermediate numbers are formed by simple apposition, the multiples of ten coming first, as : un-bir = "eleven," ötöz-besh="thirty-five"; tört-yüz sakzan-öch $=$ " four hundred and eighty-three"; bir ming iki yüz toqzan $=$ " one thousand two hundred and ninety." It will be seen that to express multiples of a number the smaller factor comes first, as above : tört-yïz $=$ "four hundred".

There is a class of Substantives derived from the cardinal numbers, which had better be noticed in this place.
bir-ao or bir-aïlan =one by itself, " a one."
$i k '$ 'ao or $i k^{\prime}$-aillan=a two, a pair, both.
\&c., \&c.
[ $N . B$. -It is probably by a contraction of the former that we get the Post-position bir-lan, birla or bilan = together with, "in one." See Kudatku Bilik, Introd.-aning imsâli bir-ailin " with their proverbs."]

Nest we have the Numeral Adjectives or Ordinal Numbers. These are :

| bir-inchi | $=$ first. |
| ---: | :--- |
| iki-'nchi | $=$ second. |
| öch-önchi | $=$ third. |
| tört-önchi | $=$ fourth. |
| besh-inchi | $=$ fifth. |
| alti-'nchi | $=$ sixth. |
| yetti-nchi | $=$ seventh. |
| sakiz-inchi | $=$ eighth. |
| toqoz-unchi | $=$ ninth. |
| un-unchi | $=$ tenth. |


| yigarma-'nchi | $=$ twentieth. |
| :--- | :--- |
| otöz-unchi | $=$ thirtieth. |
| qirq-inchi | $=$ fortieth. |
| illiq-inchi | $=$ fiftieth. |
| atmish_-inchi | $=$ sixtieth. |
| yetmish_inchi | $=$ seventieth. |
| sakzan-inchi | $=$ eightieth. |
| toqzan-inchi | $=$ ninetieth. |
| yïz-ïnchi | $=$ hundredth. |
| ming-inchi | $=$ thousandth. |

[ $N . B$.-The termination is often written and pronounced $j i$. The ordinal affix is only applied to the last term of a compound number as : bir yüz qirq-sakiz-inchi $=$ one hundred and forty-eighth.]

There are also some Numeral Adverbs formed by the additional of ' $n$. As $b i r-i n i k i-{ }^{\prime} n=$ by ones and by twos.
N. B.-Perhaps a contraction of bir-din iki-din, which form also exists with the same meaning.

Bir-ar, (no ik-ar), öch-ar, tört-ar \&c.,=" singly," " by threes,"" by fours," \&c.
"Once," "twice," \&c., are formed with qàtim "time" as, iki-qàtinu " twice," besh-qàtim " five times," \&c.

## THE TURKI YEAR-CYCLE

Consists of twelve solar years, each named after some animal in a fixed order, as follows :-

1. Sachkan "The Mouse."
2. $O \ddot{Z}$ "The Ox."
3. Bárs "The Leopard."
4. Taushqun "The Hare."
"The Fish or Dragon."
5. Ilan "The Serpent."
6. $A t$
7. Qoï
8. Maïmun
9. Tokhi
10. It
11. Tung7uz "The Hog."

The present year (1874-75) is the year of the "Dog." The year begins and ends in the spring, and is divided into twelve ' mansions,' in each of which the Sun spends a month (the twelve Signs of the Zodiac). These are known only by their Arabic names at the present day.

The above chronological arrangement of solar years is purely Turkish (or perhaps Tartar in the extended sense). The Muhammadan lunar years and months are in more common use now ; the solar ones being only employed in calculations of age and in matters where it may be a question of the season.

Each entire cycle is called a " muchal."

CHAPTER VIII. DERIVATION OF WORDS.

## Formation or Derivation of Substantives.

(a). A noun of the Agent is formed by adding the affix chi to the Future Participle or to the Infinitive form of a Verb :

Ex.: QEL-ghu-chi $=$ a docr.
BIR-matechi $=$ a giver.
(b). A Noun of Profession is formed by affixing chi to the name of the object used, or of the matter dealt with :

Ex. : miltek-chi = a musketeer.
$z a k \dot{a} t-c h i=$ a Custom's official.
(c). An Abstract Noun is formed by the addition of lik or $7 u \%$ (with Phonetic variation both of Vowel and Consonant) to an Adjective or Adverb, or even to another Substantive :

Ex. : Sarigh-liq = yellow-ness.
Tola-lik = much-ness.
Ustàd-lik = art (from ustàd "artificer," ァ.).
Gum-r"àh-lik $=$ perdition (from gum-ràh, "lost road," s.).
Also to an Infinitive, or the Verbal Adverb in "ghuncha." ültür-maた-lik $=$ slaughter (from $\ddot{\imath l}$ tü̈r-mak" to kill)." ishit-kuncho-lik $=$ worth-hearing-ness.
(d). A Noun of Origin is formed by adding lik (or luk) to the name of a country or place:

Ex. : Khoqand-liq=a man of Khokand, a Khokandi.
(e). A Noun of Connection, by adding chan to any word to denote continuous or frequent connection :

Ex. : aghrik-chan = one who is constantly ill, an invalid.
salla-chan=one who always wears a turban (salla).
( $f$ ). A Noun of Ffllow-silip is made by affixing dìsh or làsh (answering to our "_fellow")"

Ex. : àtù-clàsh = a brother by the same father (àtà).
qursàq-ctàsh $=$ a twin (lit. "womb-fellow").
àsh-dàsh or tabùq-dàsh=a meal-fellow, or one who is a companion at a meal.
ma7tab-clash=school-fellow, (ma7tab, "school," ^.).
(g). A Verbal Substantive is formed by affixing $k, q, g$ or $g h$ to the Root, supplying the proper vowel when necessary, before it. The meaning is either the action of the Verb, or the result of that action :

Ex. : тÜZ-ïk=an institution (from tüz-mak).
TITRA-' $=$ a trembling (from titra-mak " to tremble").
[ N. B.-That this Substantive is derived from the Verb, and not vice versâ, is shown by the fact that the verbal form derived from tüzüik would be tüzülc-la-mak, and not tüz-mak, \&c. (see "Formation of Verbs").]

## DERIVATION OF ADJECTIVES.

(a). The Adjective of Likeness is formed by affixing dite (which is subject to Phonetic variations of the guttural) to a Substantive :

Ex. : qoï-diq $=$ "sheep-like" (from qoï "a sheep").
(b), The Adjective of Relation is formed by the addition of the particle $g i$ or $7 i$ to Substantive, Adjective, Adverb or Participle (see pp. 26 and 77) :

Ex. : $k i \hat{\imath} n-g i=$ " following," " last" (from $7 \hat{\imath} n=$ rear) ; yetkan-yi=" arriving'," or " which has arrived."
(c). The Adjective of Quality is formed by affixing lik (or luk) (subject to Phonetic variation of guttural) to a Substantive or Participle :

Ex. : Dada-lik haqq =" a father's right" (fatherly right).
Käfiristìn-lik=" infidel" (said of a whole country) ; from Kàfiristàn $=$ " a land of infidels".
(d). A Verbal Adjective is formed by adding $\not \approx$ or $q$ to a Verb Root (supplying a vowel before it when necessary).

Ex. : aghri-q=ill, from aghri-maq= to be ill.
to' $q=$ satisfied, from toi-maq = to be content. $t \ddot{u} z-\ddot{u} \hbar=$ right, in order, (see p. $53(f)$ and note).
(e). An Adjective of Habit is formed by adding one of the affixes Kak, qàq or chak to the root of a Verb:

Ex. : $\ddot{o c h}-q \grave{a} q="$ flying about much ;" from $\ddot{o} c h-m \grave{a} q="$ to fly." $q u \gtrdot q-q \grave{a} q="$ fearing much ;" from quiq-màq = to fear. irin-chak=" lazy ;" from irin-mak=" to procrastinate."
( $f$ ). An Adjective or Adverb of FasHion or Mode by adding cha:
Ex. : mazlùm-cha chappan=a woman's robe. Turk-cha min-mak=to ride as a Turk.

## COMPOUND AND DERIVATIVE VERBS.

(a). The commonest compound is the Perfect Participle of one Verb prefixed to another Verb which completes the meaning, and which is conjugated as may be required.

Ex. : sàt-ip àlmàq= to buy, or take by purchase, (sàt-ip=sold, àlmàq $=$ to take).
àlip-bàrmàq $=$ to take away (àlip $=$ having taken ; bármàq $=$ to go), contracted in Yárkand to apàmàq.
yetip-qàlmàq= to exceed, (yetip=having reached a limit) ; $\dot{q} \grave{a} l-$ màq = to remain (over).
フсйyüp-birmak= to burn, or" give over to burning" (אüyüp = having burnt, birmak= to give).*
It will be remembered that the auxiliary bolmàq used in this way with the Perfect Participle of a Transitive Verb makes a Passive, e. g., qelip-ל̇olmàq=to be done.
(b). Another formation consists of the Present Participle of one

* Birmak "to give", qoï-màq" to put", and some others, are often reduntantly used in the second place, like the Hind. déra, \&cc.

R R

Verb before another Verb of different signification, answering to the English combination of a similar kind.

Ex. : qela-bàshlamà $q==$ to begin doing, or "to begin to do."
A Yerb is formed from a Substantive by affixing la to form the Verbroot. Verbs thus formed are numerous:

Ex. : ish-l(c-mà $q=$ to work (from ish " worlk").
básh-la-màq=to lead or to begin, "to head" (from bàsh" head").
A Verb of colour' is formed by affixing the syllable 'r to the adjective of colour, \& d .

Ex. : $\grave{a} q-a r-m a ̀ q=$ to become white.
$\ddot{O} z-g n-1 r-m \grave{a} q=$ to change colour (from $\ddot{z z-g a=o t h e r) . ~}$

CHAPTER X.
S Y N T A X.

The following are points to be noted :-

1. The nominative or subject generally comes first in a sentence, and the verb always last.

Ex. : "Hazrat Sultan shikàr-ga chiqtilar"=" The Sultan went out to hunt."

When it is desired to put emphasis upon the subject, it comes immediately before the verb.

Ex.: "Hazrat Sultàn-ga lalima tayiba-ni khwaja khazar örgattilar."
viz. "It was Khwája Khazar who taught the 'kalima tayiba' to His Highness the Sultan."

Literally: "To His Highness the Sultan the kalima-tayiba (accusative) Khwája Khazar taught."
2. The usual order of a simple sentence is :
(a). That the accusative or object shall immediately precede the verb and its adverbs or adverbial expressions, (other cases coming first) :

Ex.: "Khiaja Abu-l-Nasr Sàmàni Hazrat Sultàn-ga iman-ni shaul-yer-da örgattilar."
"Kh’ája Abu-l-Nasr Sámáni to His Highness the Sultan the faith (acc.) on-the-spot taught."
(b). Sometimes the seeming accusative forms really part of a compound verb, in which case also it immediately precedes it, but without the mark of the accusative.

Ex. : Bu qirq Kishi Hazrat-din rukhsat-àldilar. "These forty persons from His Highness took leave."
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Ex.: "Sultàn-ning dula-si liàfir-lik-da tàsh-din hum yamàn-ràq irrli." Lit. "the Sultán's father in infidelity than a stone even worse was."
8. Relative clauses in who or which, are not so expressed in Turki. They are attached to the substantive to which they refer (preceding it), and form a compound adjective or subordinate sentence with its verb in the form of a verbal adjective, generally in gan (if Present or Past)or in durghan if Future.
(a). Ex. : At-ni sat-kan kishi = The person who sells the horse.

Horse (acc.) selling person.
Erti keta-durghan hajji = The pilgrim who is going to start To-morrow about-to-go pilgrim.

## to-morrow.

If the (English) relative pronoun is in the accusative, dative, \&c., still the Turki form is similar to the above (the sign of the accusative \&c., being omitted), and the rerbal adjective may have its subject or nominative expressed before it (or understood).

Ex. : (In the Accusative) Biz qel-ginan ish-lar = The deeds which we do.
SAN KÖrgan 7 ishi $=$ the person whom thou sawest (or seest).
(In the Dative) biz basir ur-gilan nima = that to which we strike the Lit. we head- striking thing.
(In the Genitive) Man at-i-mi urgitan- adam lieldi $=$ The I horse his (acc.) having struck man came
man whose horse I struck came.
At-I ÜLgine kishi yighlä̈-dur = The person whose horse died, weeps. horse his having died person weeps.

Tüsh-ünt-da körgan shèr. Lit. "in-my-dream-seen lion." Tiz., "The lion which I saw in my dream."
"Nicifavd mil-ning bu fuzi-gha riâzat wa masmakkat bilan tapkan furzand-im." Lit. "So-many-years-on-this-side-of-with-self-denial-and-pain-obtained son-of-mine. viz., "My son, whom," \&c.
Or, conversely, the rulc may be stated thus: The Substantive in Turki to which is attached (i.c. prefixed) a verbal adjective (indefinite) in gàn, may be either the subject of the action of that verbal adjective, or its object (direct or indirect) ; and the said verbal adjective may accordingly be rendered in English, as the context may indicate, either by "who or which (does or did) e. g. satkan kishi $=$ the person who sells."

Or else by "whom or which (so-and-so does or did)"-e. g. körgan Fishi $=$ the person whom (so and so) saw, [which may also be translated "which was seen (by so and so)"].

Or else by "to whom or to which (so and so does or did)-," e. g. làsh wrghan nima $=$ the thing to which (so and so) bows the head ; \&e., \&c.

As in the first case the object may be expressed with its proper sign before the verbal adjective (e.g. $\Delta T$-NI satkan kis $\hbar i$ "the person who sells the horse") thus forming a subordinate clause in the sentence ; so also, in the latter cases, the subject may be and is generally, prefixed to the verbal adjective for clearness' sake, except when otherwise indicated sufficiently plainly. Thus: biz-qelghan ish "the deed which we do" (or "the deed done by us") ; sas liörgan kishi " the person whom thoor sawest" [sAN-NI Körgan Kishi would be "the person who saw thee."]

But in tuish-ïm-da lörgan sher" the possessive affix üm sufficiently indicates that it was I that saw the lion, so that it is unnecessary to add the subject and say "mar...körgan..." On the other hand if the lion were the seer we should say : man-NI Förgan sher" "the lion which saw me."
(b). If the subordinate clause merely predicates the existence of its subject in a certain relation, so that it has no verb except "to be," the Turki language omits the verbal adjective or participle of this verb, and supplies its place with the particle ' $g i$ ' or ' $F i$ ' to connect the clause with its subject, which comes last in order.*

Ex. : "Turkistîv-taraf-i-da-gi khalq." Lit. "Turkistán direction-in-(being) people."
viz. "The people that are in the direction of Turkistán."
(c). To express a simile, the word or the whole subordinate sentence is connected with its subject by the particle ' $d i \hbar{ }^{\prime}$ ' $=$ like.

Ex. : "Dozakit-ning-Darwâza-st-ni achqan- die aghz."
Lit. Hell of door its (aco.) having opened like mouth. viz., "a mouth as if opening the door of Hell."
Likelihood is similarly expressed:

* This syllable gi or $k i$ takes the place (in sentenees where the subordinate verb is "to be") of the indefinite Participle or verbal adjeetive of that verb. For if the translation of: "the lion whioh appeared in my dream" is tüsh-uinn-du kïröngan sher, then the translation of "the lion which is in the jungle" would naturally be "jangal-da ikan sher:" But instead of that they say: "jangal-da-yi sher:" Thus $g i$ takes the plaee of $i$ kan, and the idea is suggested that it may be a eontraction of the same. For the Yurkandís often say $i k i n$ for $i k(m$, and $I$ have found one example of this being further contracted to '\%i. [Ex. bu quï-si païghambar-ning rilh-i ' 'ki "what prophot's soul may this be ?" where the full expression would be "qaï-si païghambar-ning ruh-i ikan."] The quasi-Pronoun $k i$ (see Pronouns) points to the same derivation. Thus maning-ki is the idiomatie oxpression for "that whieh is mine ;" but it may be taken as a contraetion of maxing ikin, which would mean the same. Sce also "Derivation of Adjectives (b)" Fizh-yi, yetkan-gi, \&e., p. 279.

Take the following: Turkistin-da-gi khalq Musalinin boldi; At maning-ki, cshak saning-Fii; Kîn-gi âdam yetinadi; in eaeh of these cases the word ikin or ikan might be

Ex.: Biz-ga ham zakît-nlivg ṣuîbl-ni wîp-qu-diq* bir ish-ni Us to also customs of merit (acc.) likely-to-obtain one work (acc.) buyur-sunlar. viz., "Command to us also some work that may be likely to command.

> obtain (for us) the merit of customs (paying)."
9. A conditional sentence is usually expressed without any 'if' by putting the verb into the Potential:

Ex.: "Shư Butkhànco-ning tàm--i-ni siz qupàr-sa'ngiz."
Lit. That Idol-temple of wall (acc.) you may raise. viz., "If you raise," \&cc., or " should you raise."
10. With the adverb 'ilgari' meaning before, the verb (in the form of the Infinitive Verbal Subst.) is put in the negative:

Ex. : Ul Sultàn bu 'àlam-gha kel-mas-din nichand yil ilgart : that Sultan this world to coming not than several ycars before English-' some years before that Sultan had come into this world.'
(Cf. "avant qu'il $n e$ fut venu au monde.")
So also with the verbal affix "ghuncha" "whilst", to produce the meaning 'until.'
 visit being not whilst

> ' till a visit was paid.'
(Cf. "tant qu'une visite $n$ 'avait pas été faite.")
11. There are two ways of quoting a statement made by another person (or an opinion ascribed to him), answering to the English forms: (a) That which professes to give the very words of the speaker in inverted commas; (b) "He said that" followed by the third person (of the supposed speaker).
substituted for $k i$ or $g i$ grammatically though not idiomatically : Turkistinn-da IKAN Thkatq Jrusatmann boldi "the people that are in Turkistan have (has) become Musalmàn." At maning Ikav, eshak saning 1 KaN " the horse (is) what is mine, the ass what is thine." Kin ikan adam yetmadi "the man who is (or was) behind has not arrived." (This may be shown to be grammatically a correct form, by substituting other verbs: e. g. Tur-kistinn-da turchan likalq, "the people who stay in Turkistan"; kin quilgian addan" "the man who remains behind"; maning bolchan at "the horse which becomes mine.") So that the particle $k i$ or $g i$ in all the meanings described respectively under Pronouns, p. 232 , under Adjectives of Relation, p. 279, and as taking the place of the English relative pronoun, here p. 283-in all these applications seems to be a corruption of the Participle ikan or ikin of the verb substantive.

It must not be confounded, as some grammarians have done, with the conjunction kim (Persian kiik) used in that language and in Osmanli Turkish to supply the place of a relative Pronoun but which is really a mere "comnective" having the Personal Pronouns understood (or expressed) after it. See Forbes' Persian Gram. § 68 : e. g. $A n$ rir chuon pistah didan-Asir hama maghz "He whom I saw \&c." Lit. "He, that I saw him \&e.."

* Here tìp-qu-diq is the Participle of Probability ; so that this sentence is of the form described above under \& $8(a)$.
(a). The former is rendered in Turki by prefixing some expression, such as "Dédi" or " aïtti kim" = "he said", " he said that" (though this is sometimes omitted) and finishing with the participle "De'p" = "having said." These take the place of our inverted commas to mark the beginning' and end of the quotation.

Ex. : Atdelar Al-hanctu-l-Illah talab-im muyessar boldi, They said "Thank God (the object of) my search has-been obtainDEP.
ed," having said.
(b). The other form is rendered in Turki by putting the quotation in the words of the speaker, with this exception that the subject (or nominative) of the sentence quoted is in the accusative, as being the object of the governing verb 'he said' or' 'he thought.'

Ex. : Sant üldi dédi $=\mathrm{He}$ said that thou wert dead.
Lit. thee he died he said.
Which may be rendered, "he reported thee dead," or " he said (of) thee, he is dead."
Here "sani" (thee) is governed (in the accusative) by the verb " déci"" (he said), while the statement itself is in the actual words of the speaker, of which the above pronoun in the accusative forms the subject (unless we may suppose a nominative he understood).

Biz-ni àlur-m'ikin dep parwàh qelmä̈ $=$ without fearing lest us will-they-take having said care making not
we should take (it).
[Here the biz-ni (acc.) seems to be governed by the "dep," and not by the "parwàh qelmaï" which would govern the ablative "biz-din."]
12. The reflective pronoun $\ddot{\partial} z$ is used (like khud in Persian, or âp, apna in Hindustani) instead of a demonstrative or possessive pronoun, to fix the meaning on the subject of the sentence.

Ex. : Yáqùb öz-I-ning át-i-ni ultur-di=Ya'qub killed his (own) horse.

Yáqùb ANING àt-i-ni ultur-di would mean: Ya‘qub killed his (viz., some one else's) horse.

Again : Man sani öz-öra-ning $\ddot{i i}$-'ng-da $7 \ddot{\partial}{ }^{\prime}$ - döme $=\mathrm{I}$ saw thee in thy (own) house [here the termination $\ddot{o n g}$ leaves no doubt of the person].

But, 'Ali Ya'qub-ni öz-i-ning $\ddot{i i}-d a$. lördi, is a doubtful phrase ; for though by rights the $\ddot{o} z$ should refer to the subject of the sentence ('Ali), yet as the accusative " $Y a^{6} q u b-n i$ " comes so close before, it would probably be understood to mean: "Ali saw Ya'qub in his (Ya'qub's) own house." To save the doubt it would be better to put it as follows:

Yáqub-ni 'Ali öz-i-ning $\ddot{i} i-d a$ Kördi = Ali saw Ya'qub in his (Ali's own) house.

While to express the other meaning without leaving a doubt, the reflective pronoun would be dispensed with, and the demonstrative used, viz.
'Ali Ya'qub-ni antiva $\ddot{u} i-d a$ Kör-di $=$ Ali saw Ya‘qub in his (Ya‘qub's) house.

It is the same with the other cases (oz taking the proper possessive affix of the person) : Ex. man öz-öər-ni uricum "I struck myself."

Ya'qub öz-I-din quı'qti "Ya‘qub feared (from) himself."
13. There being no verb "to have," its sense is expressed by means of the impersonal verb bâr "there is."
(a) by putting the subject in the locative:

Ex. : man-da at bar = there is to me (i.e., "I have") a horse.
Pàdshàh-da uii bàr $=$ there is to the king a house, i. $e$., the king has a house."
(b) by putting the (English) subject in the genitive ; and the (English) object in the possessed form of the proper person:
Ex. : Ifaning át-im bár" my horse exists" or "I have a horse."
Pàlshàh-ning át-i bár "the king's horse is (or exists)," i.e., "the king has a horse."

Of course with the addition of the demonstrative pronoun the verb bàr would resume its simple meaning of "is."

Ex. Bu maning àt-im bàr = "this is my horse."
14. The passive forms of intransitive verbs are not impossible in Turki. Sometimes they are in point of meaning the passive of the causative rather than of the primary intransitive verb, which can of course have no passive logically [See "Secondary verbs, II," note at end]. But often again they take a kind of impersonal sense answering to the French form in "on." Thus with bàr-màq "to go ;" bàr-il-cli is its passive past tense, 3rd person singular. Literally it would be translated "it has been gone," which comes to mean "one has gone" " on est allé."
15. The Noun of the agent in chi governs the same case as the primary verb, as also do all other verbal forms.

Ex. : kUFFîr-NI yoq-qelghu-chi. "A destroyer (of) infidels (acc.).
16. To represent the expressions which employ the infinitive in European tongues, the Turki employs its own infinitive or other verbal nown as a noun in the accusative or other case.

Ex. : QEL-M $\mathrm{A} Q-\mathrm{NI} \quad$ lll'ahlaï-man $=\mathrm{I}$ wish to do. the doing (acc.) wish I
Yelghìn atranak gunàt dur $=$ to tell lies is a sin.
Or the form in gali may be used, answering to English "in order to."
Ex. : ültür-gali buyur-di= he commanded to kill, or, he gave orders for the killing.
àl-ylali kel-dim $=\mathrm{I}$ came (in order) to take.
17. In interrogative phrases only one syllable or word of interrogation is necessary. Thus, if the words nima (what ?), qài-si (which ?), or generally, any interrogative adverb, appears in the body of the sentence, the final interrogative mu or ma, or the dubitative m'ikin, is not required. Otherwise it is ;

Thus: xmin bâr " what is there?" but, shubu bàr ard "is it this?"
Again : Bu qÂT-SI pä̈ghambarp-ning ruhl-i ikin, "What prophet's soul may this be ?"
but: Bu fulán païghambar-ning ruh-i sr'ikin" May this be such-andsuch a prophet's soul ?"

> End of the Grammar.

## APPENDIX.

## TRANSLATIONS AND SELECTIONS.

## Yárkandi Túrki Verston of the Lord's Prayer.

Eh! bizlar-ning kölk-da-gi dàda-'miz,
Oh us of Heaven-in Father-our;

Saning at-ing ulugh bolsun;
Of thee name thy great be;
Saning pádsháhliq-ing kelsun ;
Of thee lingdom thy come ;
Saning rizâliq-ing asmân-da bolghan-dik, yer-da ham bolsun; Of thee pleasure thy Heaven-in being like earth-in also may it be ;
Biz-ning ázuq-umiz-ni har-kön yet-kuz;
Us-of food our (acc.) each day cause-to-reach;
Biz yamân-liq qelghan-lar-gha baghishla-ghan-cha gunàh-
We bad-ness docrs to forgiving-in-the-measure-of sin
imiz-ni baghishla;
our (acc.) forgive;
Gunàh-boladurghan waqt-ni kursàt-ma,
Sin about to be opportunity (acc.) present-not
Magar biz-ni yamânliq-din qut-qâz;
But us (acc.) bad-ness from protect;
Aning-uchun-kim pádsháhliq u kuchluk-lik u roshan-lik san-ga Of this because that kingdom and power and glory to thee mango dur. Amin, evermore is Amen.

## STORIES

## From Forbes' Persian Grammar translated into Turki.

1. Bir ádam Alatun-din sur-di kim: Tola yil-lar kîma-da iding, One man Plato-from asked that Many years ship-in thou wert wur daryíá-safar-i-ní qel-ding, daryá-da nima ’ajáil-lar kör-döng. and sea-voyage (acc.) thou madest sea-in what wonders sawvest-thou. Juwuìb ä̈di* 'ajab shubu ir-di lim daryỳ̀-din salámat yaqd̀-siAnswer he spoke wonder this very (thing) was that sea-from safely shore its gha yet-tim. to $I$ arrived.
2. Bir gadá bir bái-ning darwáza-si-ga bár-di wu bir-nimał One beggar one rich-man-of door his to went and something. tila-di. Di-ning ich-i-din awáz leel-di him: Aghacha üi-da yoq. asked (for). Honse of interior-its-from voice came that: Woman house-in not. Gadá de-di nán parcha-si-ni . tila'p-idim, aghacha-ni tila-ma'pBeggar said bread piece of it (aee.) asked-for I had woman (aee.) I had not asked idim kim shun-dàgh jawáb tàp-tim.
(for) that such-like answer I reeeived.
3. Bir tabîb har-waqt qabristàn-gha bár-ur bol-sa chádir-i-ni One doctor every time grave-yard to going might be sheet his (acc.) básh-i-gha yaf-in-ip (yap-in-ip) bàrur-idi. Adam-lar sur-di-lar lim: MLu-ning head his to having-wrapped-himself used-to-go. Men asked that: This of sabab-i nima. Tab̂̉ aidi: Bu qabristàn-da-ghi ïliik-lar-din uvatreason its what (is)? Doctor said: This grave-yard in being corpses from I-am-ur-man,' aning uchun-kim hama-si maning dawá-im-ni ye'p abashed, that-of beeause that all of them of me medieine my (aee.) having eaten uil-üp-dur.
have died.
4. Bir kön pádshah ughul-i bilan âu-gha bàr-di hawà issigh bolOne day a king son his with hunt to went air hot bedi; pátshah bilan shah-zádá igin-lar-i-ni bir maskharah-ning dàlucame; king with prince cloaks their (aee.) one jester of shoulder-si-gha qö̈-di: Pádshath kiil-di wu de-di: Ai mashharah san-da bir his-to placed; King smiled and said: Oh jester thee on one eshak-ning yilk-i bàr-chur. MINasľharah aidi: Balki iki eshak-ning donkey of load-his (there) is. Jester said: or rather two donkey of yilk-i bàr clur. load-their (there) is.
5. Bir duk-adam-gha de-di-lar, Kim: Tilâ-r-mut-san kim saning One crooked man to they said, that: desirest ? thou that of thee ucha-'ng tughri bol-sun, ya hamah adam-lar-ning ucha-si san-dik baek thy straight should-beeome, of all men of baek their thee like

+ Lit. "one what."
$\ddagger$ For nán-ning parcha-si-ni.
duk bol-sun-mu. Ul duk de-di, hamah kishi-lar-ning uchacrooked should becomo P That crooked (man) said : all people of back si man-Titi igri bol-sun, ul Köz-Zilan mani köra-tur-lar man their me like crooked should bccome, that eye with me (acc.) they-are-seeing I ham ular-ni $\approx \ddot{o r}-a \ddot{z}$.
also them (acc.) may-sec.

6. Bir Kön Páulshah bir sha'r-din aghri-di; jallad-ni biyurOne day King one poot from was angry; executioncr (ace.) he-
di; maning aldi-'m-da ill-tïr. Jallad qilich alip- Kel-gaordered of me presence my in kill. Executioner sword having-taken in-order-to 7i** bâr-di. Ul sha'r hízir ádam-là-gha de-di; Qilich Rel-guncha come went. That poect present men to said: Sword till-coming mani musht-la-'nglar wo wr-unglar kim Pádshath-ning Fungl-i Kihush me (ace.) belabour and beat that King of hearthis pleased bol-sun. Bu söz-din Pádshah Khush bol-up Fiult-di wu sha'r-ning may-be. This speech from ling pleased having-become he-smiled and poot of gunith-i-ni út-ti. offence his (acc.) passed over.
7. Bir ćádam ulugh martaba tàp-ti. Bir dost-i ani Kör-gàli One man great dignity received. One friend his him in-order-to-sco Kel-di. Ul ádam de-di: San Kim san wou nima ish-ka Kelcamc. That man said: Thorw who (art) thou and what business to camestding. Ul dost-i sharminda bol-di wou de-di: MLani tanu-ma'thou? That friend his abashed became and said: Mce (acc.) recognisest not m'-san. $\dagger$ MFan saning Tadîm-yi dost-ung man; san-ya' 'iza tut? thou. I of thee old (adj.) friend thy I (am); thee to condolence in-orqali Tel-dim ishit-dim Kim qàrgha bol-up-san. der-to-offer (hold) I came I heard that blind thou-hast-bccome.

## TRANSLATION OF A PASS-PORT ISSUED IN KASHGHAR.

 To
## All Road and Station officials at this time,

This notice (is given), that at this season of auspicious arrangement, Shaw Sàhib's man Rahmat-Ullah, with a horse, is going to Yárkand on service. It is necessary that you, without offering molestation or impediment $\ddagger$ at the road-stations (either) in (his) going or coming, pass him on and

[^13]$\ddagger$ Lit. "not having made molestation and impediment."
forward him. With this intent,* on the eleventh day of the Holy month Rámazán 1291, the exalted sign-manual has been impressed.
(on the reverse)

(Seal of the Amir).

## TRANSLATION OF AGREEMENT WRITTEN AT KASHGHAR.

"The date was one thousand two hundred and ninety, the nineteenth of Muharram : Maláq, the son of Qábil Baï, made a legal agreement (as follows) : that on account of contentions (with) my wife Aqlìm Bibi, I, who now agree, having been unreasonable, henceforward $\dagger$ have undertaken not to strike or beat $\ddagger$ (her) without reason ; to give (her) the necessary cost of living at the (proper) time, and have undertaken not to take any strange man into the house where my said wife is and whenever it shall be known and proved that $I$ have taken $\|$ a strange man into my house into the prosence of my wife, or have beaten \|f her without just cause, my said wife shall be free ${ }^{[ }$, if she chooses, to give to me the writer of this agreement, one** bill of divorcement separating herself."

Agreed to by both parties in Court. (Arabic).

Seal of
Sayad Ahmad
Kh'ája, Qázi in ordinary, son of
Ya‘qúb Khìn
Eshìn.

* Lit. "having said" or "signified (thus)." The usual participle, de'p, which closes a quotation.
+ Mrun-din bu-yàn; Lit. "on this side of this (time)."
$\mp$ Soquas boldim: Lit. I have become not-about-to-strike:" Soqmas is the negative of Soq-ur (Continuative Participle).
§ Ahl-imbàr uii-ga. The root of the defective verb barr is here used as a Verbal Adjective.
|| Kirgan-im, soq-qan-im, Verbal Substantives in the possessed form; lit. "my entaring," "my striking." Alip-kimak is a compound verb = "having taken, to enter" $=$ " to take into."

II Lit. "having obtained the option, if she pleases, let hor \&c."
** One out of the three which Musalman law allows before the divorce is inrevocable under ordinary circumstances.

## OPINION OF ONE OF HIS HIGHNESS THE AMIR OF KASHGHAR'S COUNSEL LEARNED IN THE LAW.

## Translation. <br> Case put:

(Whereas) by his statement (it appears) that, as was pre-ordained, a sum of thirty-three tangas was lost from the house of Sufúrgi Baï from his bed, and afterwards Sufúrgi Baï said to (his) wife Aï Khán : "If you have put away this money, thirty-three tangas, produce it, (and) I will add twenty-four tangas, and will make a cloak after your heart's desire and give (it to you) [or, will cause to be made (for you) dc.] ; (and) if (when) you have produced the money, I should not add the (other) money and give you the cloak, and should not stand to my promise, (then) be you thrice divorced." Thus he made* conditions.

## [Opinion:]

Under these circumstances, according to the terms of the Holy Law, the said Aï Bibi may not have produced the money, and Sufúrgi Baï may not have given the twenty-four tangas to the said Aï Bíbí; (but) the said Ai Bibi does not, by a breach $\dagger$ of Sufúrgi Bai's promise, become divorced three times as against $\ddagger$ Sufúrgi Baï. And God knows best. (Arabic.)
"That which depends on a condition does not come into operation in the absence of that condition." (Qází Khán.)§
"That which depends on a condition is wanting previous to the existence of the same." (Niháya.)§
"And every thing which depends on a qualification does not come into operation without the existence of the same." (Doubtful.)§


[^14]
## EXTRACTS

## From tie Tazkiratu-'l Bughra.

(Literal translation.)
Extract I.

*     * 

One day a disciple* of the holy Abu-an-nasar Sámáni inquired: "Oh; Reverend man, so much goods and wealth $\dagger$ being (in your possession), why do you (lit. they) carry on commerce?" he said: Then the holy Kh'ájah Abu-an-nasr Sámáni said: "Oh disciple, you say well; but since I have come to my wits I have never at any time set aside the traditions of the Holy Prophet of God. Therefore I do not choose to neglect them (lit. the neglecting them). When I go out on a journey (lit. in my going out to a journey) by paying customs (lit. having given customs), I cause advantage to Musalmáns; should I abandon trade I should remain excluded from the merit of paying customs."

And again he spoke: "Oh disciples, have ye not heard this story? One day the Prophet of God had sat down in the Blessed Mosque. Several indigent companions (of the Prophet) came in (lit. having entered, came). They said: 'Oh Prophet of God, other companions (of thine) (who are) rich, pay customs. To us also command some work that may obtain (for us) the merit of customs (paying), (that) we also having done that work may obtain the merit of customs (paying).' Thus they petitioned (lit. having said they made petition).
"The Holy Prophet said: ' Oh poor companions, daily the service of morning prayer having been recited, if you say thirty-three times 'Subhánallah ;' thirty-three times 'Al-hamdu-'l Illah;' thirty-three times 'AllaThu alłbar;' ten times 'La Iláha ill' Allath, wahdahu, la-sharîka latu, lahu'l-mulku wa lahu-'l-hamdu wa hua 'ala kulli shai-in qadî',' $\ddagger$ ye will obtain the merit of giving customs. (Thus) saying he commanded."
"These indigent companions remajned very happy. Some days after the rich companions having heard, they also recited (the words). These poor companions having again come into the presence of the Holy Prophet of God, said : 'Oh Prophet of God, the rich companions also having recited the prayers which we have to recite (lit. the us-to-be-recited prayers), are obtaining the merits of these also!' thus they represented.

* Here the word "diseiple" is put in the plural out of respeet, although a single one is indicated by the word bir "one." This is a very common usage. Abu-annasr seems to have lived in the 4th century after Muhammad.
+ Lit. "to that extent goods and wealth having stood."
$\ddagger$ There is no Divinity but Allah alone. He has no partner; Dominion is His, and praise is His, and His power extends over all. A.
"Then the Holy Prophet said: ' Zalika fazl-ullahi yutihi man yashà'; * that is: 'God the Exalted gives the merit of (paying) eustoms to (whatever) servant of His he ehooses (lit. to his chosen servant). I, how shall I do (anything)? Thus he answered.
"Therefore it is known that in (paying) customs there is exceeding profit. To this end I have not abandoned commerce," he said.


## Extract II.

'It is that Abu-an-nass Sámáni' said the Holy Prophet of God 'who shall acquire Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán for the Faith.'

At once the companions computed the date and wrote: 'After the Prophet of God shall have passed from the world three hundred and thirtythree years, in the land of Turkistàn, one by name the Holy Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází, a noble person, shall come into existence ; at the age of twolve he shall be acquired to the Faith.' Thus they wrote.

And again on the spot the Prophet of God spoke : "Awwalu man aslama min at-Turl:" $\dagger$

The meaning of this saying is this: as much as to say that: 'from the direction of Turkistán Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází will become a Musalmán.' $\ddagger$

So that after a certain period the Holy Kh’ájah Abu-an-nasr Sámáni came into existence in this world ; as he went on reading the revealed knowledge, he saw this saying: "Awwalu man aslama min at-Turle." " What sor't of a person may this Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán be' he said (to himself). Without having seen him he began to love him.

He looks§ at the date ; but little (time) remains to the coming into existence of the Holy Sultín. His love and friendship coming off victorious he started on a journey in seareh of the Sultán, in the direction of Turkis. tán.
*

## Extract III.

The Holy Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází entered the twelfth year of his age.|| Until that (time) the Holy Sultán was in appearance an infidel.

* Lit. "That is the grace of God which falls upon whomsoever He chooses." A.
† Lit. "The first who professed Islám among the Turks." A.
$\ddagger$ This is of course a very free rendering by the pious historian, for in the Prophet's traditional saying no name is reported.
§ Lit. "he might look," Pres. Pot. But this tense is used in an historic senso like the "historie present."
|| He appears to have been the son of a King of Káshghar of the family of the mythic $\Lambda$ frasiab.

One day the Holy Sultán went out a-hunting. Going along hunting he arrived at a place called Baqu in Lower Artiush. He looked; (and saw that) several men of pleasing appearance and of pure disposition had encamped on a grassy spot. The Holy Sultán, with his companions, started in the direction of these travellers.

Having looked, they were astonished saying: "In these cities there were not (ever) such people, such men. What people are these? Come! let us go and see." (Thus) saying they moved on.

But these travellers** wêre the Holy Kh’ájah Abu-an-nasr Sámáni (and his company). Abu-an-nasr $\dagger$ looks $\ddagger$ : several horsemen are coming. They came near. He looks (again) : it must be the Holy Satuq Bughra Khán (whose mention he had) seen in the History (of the Prophet's sayings).

On seeing (him) Abu-an-nasr said: "Thanks be to God, (the object of) my petition has been obtained," § and he rejoiced exceedingly.

Immediately he said to his servants: "The Lord of the present and future worlds, (the object of) my desire comes. Or rather, the reason of my coming to this country was because of this noble person. Oh servants ! leave the baggage open," (so) saying, with Abu-an-nasr leading, all the travellers stood up to prayer. Having finished their prayer, they came back to their places and sat down. Till that time the Sultán remained on the back of his horse.

The Sultán, astonished, said: " (These) are\| wonderful travellers; without caring for us, leaving their baggage open, they have become occupied in striking their heads on the ground."

He was (saying) these words, (when) Abí-an-nasr came seven steps of ground into the presence of the Sultán, and made a salutation. The Sultán, getting off his horse, went to meet the Kh'ájah Abú-an-nasr. The Kh'ájah invited $\Phi$ the Sultán and brought (him) with honour and respect to the place (where he was) sitting ; bringing out his best goods by pairs to the Sultán, he placed these choice things in (his) presence.

Then the Sultán with heart and soul accepted (the attentions of) the

* Kárwán is used in Central Asia to mean one of the persons eomposing what we should eall a " earavan," and not to mean the entire assemblage, as is indeed evident from the word in the text being in the plural.
$\dagger$ The long titles are omitted for brevity.
$\ddagger$ The "historic present."
§ The word "de'p" (having said), whieh comes in here, may be taken as answering to our inverted eommas.
\| $D u \pi$ for dur (respectful form).
"T Taklif qelmàq, a eommon Oriental expression for "to invite". Cf. French "donnez vous la peine d'entrer.'"

Kh'ájah. As it was in the Sultán's mind, (that) the Holy Khizr had said some one should be produced who should teach him Musalmánism,* he doubted whether this might not be the very person. He asked: "What (is your) name"? Then the Kh'ajah answered "my name is Abú-annasr Sámáni."

The Sultán recognised that this must be the person spoken of by Khizr $\dagger$ (on whom be peace). $\ddagger$ He rejoiced and said: "Oh father, whatever you may say I will agree to. But, while we sat by on horseback, you left your baggage open, without fearing lest we should take (it), and became busied in striking your heads on the ground. We know you not. Tell us the truth of these proceedings of yours." (Thus) he spoke.

Then the Kh'ájah said: "Oh, Prince, in this world there is not an abiding world. Every one will go from this world ; money, goods, riches, will not satisfy men ; but rather by reason of money and goods men become captive to Hell. And again, we all are about making a journey from this world to the next, we have made ready the provisions and accoutrements (fitted) to a person who is travelling from this world." (Thus) he answered

The Sultán having heard this speech from the Kh'ajah, a fear was produced in his heart.

He said: "Oh Kh'ajah, what dost thou say (when) about to become a Musalmán; I also will say it and become a Musalmán."

The Holy Kh'âjah said: "Repeat the Kalimah tayibah 'Láa Iláha ill' Alláh, Muuhammad Rasíl-illáh' (there is no Divinity but Alláh, Muhammad is the Messenger of Alláh), (and) the Kalimah-i-Shahádat 'Ashhadu an lá Iláha ill' Alláł, wa ashhadu amna IFuhammadan 'abduthí wca Rasìluthu' (I testify that there is no Divinity but Alláh, and I testify that Muhammad is his servant and his messenger)."

The Sultán said the 'Kalimak-i-Shatádat (the declaration of testimony) and the 'Kalimah tayibah' (the beautiful declaration).

Then he asked: "Who is the person (you) call Muhammad ?" The Holy Kh'ajah said: " (He who is) called Muhammad (is) the friend of God. God the Exalted has created this world and the next for the sake of that Muhammad. He, on the Day of Judgment, shall release sinners from

[^15]Hell, and take them to Paradise. The Farth of that Muhammad is right, and his laws (are) right; (they) are not like the laws of other Prophets. The laws of other Prophets are abrogated.* Whoever walks in the path trodden by Muhammad he shall attain his desire and wish." Thus he described the Messenger of God.

Then the Sultán said to the Kh'ajah: "Oh (thou) who showest the way to those who have lost ith, if there be any other thing to be taught (to teach) besides the declaration of testimony, teach (me); that I also having learnt (it) may busy myself about the service of God."

## Extract IV.

The Holy Sultán having called one by one the forty persons save one, (and they) having come, he said: "Oh friends, if you choose to maintain friendship with me, like me become Musalmán. The Faith of the Holy Muhammad, the Messenger of God, is the greatest of all Faiths $\ddagger$, and tho most distinguished. Into this Faith enter ye. (Thus) he exhorted (them). *

## Extract V.

The Holy Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán's (step) father§ Hárun Bughra Khán was even worse than a stone in infidelity. His army was numberless. By his sagacity he became aware that Sultán Satuq had become a Musalmán, but, moreover, in order to convince himself the better, he told no one.||

## Extract VI.

He formed the project of killing thẹ Holy Sultán. The Sultán's mother having understood this matter, complained, and said: "Oh infidel! why dost thou slay my son, obtained after so many years of mortification and pain ?" With such words she intervened. बT $^{\prime}$

* The text says (abrogated and false,' but the Musalman trans-
 as the laws of Moses and Christ are only superseded by the laws of Muhammad, but are not false in themselves! However, the word باطال has the meaning 'abolished' as well as 'false.'
+ Lit. "Oh shower (of) the road to the road-losers."
$\ddagger$ A good example of the Superlative.
§ He seems to have married Satuq's mother after the death of her former husband, Satuq's father, and beoome King in his place.
|| Lit. "With sagacity he ascertained the Sultín’s beooming a Musalmán. But, moreover, query that it may be better known, (thus) saying (to himself)," \&e.

ๆ Lit. "Desconded in the midst."

Hárun Bughra Khán's anger having arisen, he said: "Oh woman !* (your son) having deserted our faith, the friendship of Muhammad has prevailed (with him)."

She replied: "Oh infidels, try my son and observe ; if he has entered the faith of Muhammad, then kill (him)." This proposal was accepted by Hárun Bughra Khán.
$\qquad$

## Extract VII.

Hárun Bughra Khán, having cousulted with all the chiefs, said: "Let us build an idol-temple." They made ready the bricks, and sent some one to call the Sultín. He camc.

Hárun Bughra Khán said: "Oh child, we are doubtful whether you have not left our faith, and entered the faith of Muhammad." $\dagger$

The Sultán, after the manner of the infidels, took $\ddagger$ an oath. Hárun placed no confidence (in it).

He said: "Oh child, I place no confidence in this oath of yours. We are building an idol-temple. If you raise the wall of this idol-temple, I shall believe (you)."

The Holy Sultín arose in haste,§ and reflected saying (to himself) "How shall I act?" He consented, but became sad and perplexed.

## Extract VIII.

He went into the presence of the Holy Kh'ajah, and said: "Oh venerable one! Hárun Bughra Khán suspects my having become a Musalmán. In order to try me, I believe he is about building $\|$ an idol-temple. He says: 'Do you first raise the wall of that temple.' If I agree, I shall -depart from the Faith of Islám and fall into infidelity. ${ }^{\text {TI }}$ What counsel is there for me."

The Holy Kh'ajah said: "Oh child ! in order to preserve themselves**

[^16]many people have held it lawful to do forbidden acts. If in laying out the wall you lay it out with the (mental) purpose, saying (I intend this as) a mosque, certainly in the presence of God you will obtain merit, (and) you will be delivered from the evil designs of the infidels. Be not over-much afflicted." ${ }^{*}$

The Sultán Satuq having heard these words, rejoiced (and) returned home.

In the morning (when) the sun rose Hárun caused to make proclamation, saying: "Let all the troops and chiefs assemble at the place (where) the temple is to be built." All the infidels assembled at the place (where) the temple was to be built.

Hárun having sent and fetched the Holy Sultán, said: "Oh child! if you are of our faith, do the same works that we do. What we bow $\dagger$ the head to, do you also bow (your) head to. We, this day, are building a temple. Do you first, leading, place the bricks; our heart will at once $\ddagger$ become at ease."

The Holy Sultán said: "Very well," and of his own accord tucking up the front§ (skirt of his robe) to his waist, and turning up the sleeve of his fore-arm, with all his friends, (forming) the (mental) intention of (building) a mosque, lifting up the bricks by twos, he went and took and deposited them at the place for building the idol-temple.

The third time he had gone to take\| a brick, Hárun called out saying: "Oh, my child! my son! stop; more than this it is not in my power to cause your body to work. $\begin{aligned} & \text { T }\end{aligned}$ Even now there was an object of mine in my causing you to do thus. Now my heart has become at ease. Now whatsoever you may please, (that) do."

Having said (this) the whole of his troops returned and settled down.

## Extract IX.

The Sultán, having spent half the night, went with those forty persons less one into the presence of the Holy Kh'ajah.
"Oh Venerable one! ...... If you give me the advice, I will make an assault and have a hit at*** the infidels. Perhaps God the Exalted will give us victory.'......

## * Fareshán for pareshan.

† Lit. "The we-head-striking thing."
$\ddagger$ Literally, "on that (spot)."
§ Fesh for pesh.
|| Lit. "saying 'I will takc.'"
T Lit. "there is no power of mine to the causing to work your body (acc.)."
** Tig-mak means " to hit" as a bullet hits the mark, or as a horse-load hits against a rock,

## Extract X.

The Holy Kh'ájah and the Sultim, with all their friends, arose and set out.

They arrived at the palace of Hárun Bughra Khán. The Holy Kh'ájah raising his hands made a prayer: "By Thy Divine grace and favour give the infidels a deep sleep, and to the Musalmáns give victory." Thus he prayed. At that moment, in fulfilment of the Holy Kh'ajah's prayer, (God) gave to the infidels such a (deep) sleep, that one might seize one (of them) by the waist and drag him out,* (and) none of the others would be aware of it ......

*     *         * 
*     *         *             * 


## Extract XI.

The Sultán Satuq, dismounting from his horse and baring his sword, entered Hárun's palace.

He looks, Hárun Bughra Khán remains asleep. One of his servants sits attending to the lamp.

He raised his sword to cut off Hárun's head. ${ }^{+}$Again it came into his heart that the killing of an enemy in his slecp is the part of a coward. $\ddagger$ ' It is best to awaken this infidel from his sleep, and exhort him to the Faith.§'

Again he paused and said: "However much an infidel he may be, I have eaten his salt. I will respect the claim of salt."

Thus saying, he touched his leg with the point of his sword, and said : "Hárun Bughra Khán!" Awaking suddenly he looks ; (and behold) Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán, with his drawn sword presented at his head, stands there.

This infidel said: " Oh Sultán Satuq, having all this wealth, for what reason doest thou such a deed."

Then the Holy Sultán replied: "Oh infidel! The best of deeds is this, that thou do what I do. Arise, the time is short. Consider (this) opportunity (as) valuable. Say this holy profession of faith : 'there is no Divinity but Allah, Muhammad (is) the Messenger of Allah.' Repeat (it)" he said.

That luckless infidel consented not. However much the Sultán insisted, this hellish (one) consented not, guided by God to become a Musalmán.

* Lit. "Having seized by the waist of one (of them), (any one) would be likely to drag him out."
+ Lit. "Saying 'I will cut off" \&c."
$\ddagger$ Lit. "Comes out from un-manliness."
§ Lit. "That is the good (course) that having awakened this infidel from his sleep, I should make him desirous of the Faith."

The Sultán several times brandished his sword to cut off his head.* Again he considered : "I have eaten his salt, he has a father's claim," and returned his sword. Finally having brought his hundred desires to the threshold of God, (who is) beyond (all) desire, he prayed saying : " Oh great God! Thou seest the state of all creatures, (and thou hast seen) how many times I raised my sword to cut off his head, but respected his father's claims and the claim of the salt. Although there may be (this) father's claim (on me), oh God, by thy avenging attributes, cause this infidel to be swallowed in the earth." Thus he prayed.

The Holy Sultín's prayers were accepted; the earth trembled, the earth was split, and swallowed up Hárun Bughra Khán to the knees.

The Holy Sultán said: "Ob infidel, seest thou what has happened. $\uparrow$ Come! profess the Faith. $\ddagger$ I will pray for thee.§ Free thyself from this evil." Thus he exhorted.

That wretch replied: "It is better for me to enter into the earth than to enter into thy religion." "l So he consented not.
(The earth) swallowed him in up to the neck. Still he consented not. The Sultán's wrath arose. Again he prayed. The earth swallowed up Hárun Bughra Khán, and he was not.

At that auspicious moment a ray of light proceeded from the dawning of day. The Holy Sultán exclaimed: "Beat the drum of Islám in my name ; go forth and say, that it is (now) the rule of Sultín Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází. Shout loudly the invitation to prayer." Thus he issued his commands.

## Extract XII.

The Holy Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán, at the age of twelve and a half, became occupied in wars of religion. During the summer he made war on the infidels. In winter-time he performed the service and worship of God the Exalted.

The Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází until his ninety-sixth year, as far as the River Amu that is before Balkha on this side towards sun-

* "Saying, I will cut off his head."
+ Lit. "this state of affairs."
$\ddagger$ Lit. " bring faith."
§ Lit. " to thee." But "du'á" must be understood as a prayer to God, or intor" cession.
|| Lit. "my entering the earth is better, \&cc."
T Lit. "the in-front-of-Balkh river Amu."
rising* as far as the place called "Karák" on the north as far as the place called "Qarì-qurdum" $\dagger$ [sic] (the said) Sultán, having converted the infidels to Islám by his sword, established the laws and religion of the Holy Muhammad, the Messenger of God, and gave them currency.
...... They buried the Holy Sultín at a place called MIashhad in Lower Artüsh, in (the country of) Kàshqar.
...... At the time of the Holy Sultán's leaving this world, the date was four hundred and twenty-nine. $\ddagger \ldots .$.


## Extract XIII.

The King Sultín Satuq Bughra Khán Ghází had§ four sons and three daughters. The name of one (of the) daughters (was) ...... 'Ala-nur Khànem. Now 'Ala-núr Khánem was a sainted lady|| of very pure appearance and chastc disposition, of right faith and firm belief 9 ; and her story is like that of the Blessed Mary [may peace be with her]. In this wise: that when she had arrived at maturity, one night she was worshipping God the Exalted; the Holy Gabriel [on whom be peace] came and distilled a drop of light into her sacred mouth.

Fainting she lost her consciousness. Again she returned to life.
Another tradition is, that one night she was going out to the door. At the door (behold) a lion is standing. At the sight of the lion the perspiration bedewed (her brow). Her consciousness forsook her' ; again she returned to life. After many days, after many months, on the tenth of the month Ashur, on a Friday at the time of assembly for prayer, a son came into existence, of ruddy countenance, with the cyes of a gazelle.

Great and small, all remained in wonder, saying: "What manncr of an occurrence is this ?" The king in anger commanded, saying: "Ascer-- tain the truth of this matter."

The nobles, the learned, and great men, questioned 'Alanúr Kháncm. She related the circumstances one by one. The assembled sagacious and wise men, and the learned and great, full of awe, exclaimed : "It is the Holy "Alí."

[^17][^18]Deriving the lineage from that Holy personage, they named him saying: " Let his name be Sayad 'Alí Arslán* Khán.

After the Royal Prince Sayad 'Alí Arslán Khán had reached the age of seven, (they) married 'Ala-núr Khánem to Toq Buba Bughra Khán according to law. From them were born three sons; these are $\dagger$ Muhammad Arslán, Yusuf Arslán (and) Kizil Arslán Khán Pádsháh.

## Extract XIV.

It is related by tradition that the Holy Sultán Satuq Bughra Khán's eldest son Hasan Bughra Khan was king at Káshqar. At that time Juqto Rasĥ̂d and Nuqqta Rashı̂d, Jigálu-álkhalkhál $\ddagger$ of China, came with an army of thirty thousand, and beleaguered the city of Káshqar for a certain time.

In the searcity when the Musulmáns became straitened, having distributed all the provisions (of every sort), § these did not suffice, and they were reduced to the last extremity. Lest he should become\| answerable for (the deaths of) these Musulmáns, Hasan Bughra Khán (together with) Yusuf Qadîr Khán, Sayad 'Alí Arslán, with all their nobles, taking a force of forty thousand (men), issued out of the city and, drawing up their army, stood fast. The infidels also drew up their line of battle and stood.

They threw themselves on the infidels, and dispersed them. The infidels in flight weut to the town of Yangi-Hissar......

## Extract XV.

The Holy Sayad 'Alí Arslán, taking a force of ninety thousand (men), pursued the infidels. Fighting with them as they went, he joined battle with them at a place called Urtang Qara (the Black Station). Here also there were several thousands of infidels. Attacking them, he caused the blood of the infidels to flow like the River Jaïhún.** Sword and battle-axe had no effect on the Holy Prince. The infidels remained in astonishment. The Jigáluálkhalkhál said: "Sword and battle-axe have no effect at all on him ; nothing pierces him. How may (one) do (that) a sword shall pierce the

* Arslán means ' lion,' referring to the story of his birth.
$\dagger D u k$ for $d u r$ is a respectful form.
$\ddagger$ I venture to suppose that this word (unknown to those natives of Turkistán whom I have consulted) is a corrupted Chinese or Kalmák name of some dignity or office.
§ Lit. "Water and food."
|| Lit. "Saying, 'Let me not be answerable for these Musulmáns.'"
था Faràkanda for paraikanda.
** The Oxus. Lit. "From the infidels he caused to flow a Jaïhún of blood."

Holy Prince? Whoever may be likely to give intelligence (of) this, I will give (him) the equivalent of his (own) stature (in) gold." Thus he promised.

At that time there was an old man of Uját* amongst the infidels. He said : "I will accomplish this work." $\dagger$

He betook himself with guile to the army of Islàm. For several days he went to and fro in the army, (and) entered into the service of the Prince's kitchen. No one paid any attention to him.

One day (the Prince) had returned from the holy fight and sat down. He related several occurrences of the fight, and (the man of Ujait) haring praised and commended the Prince, asked a question, saying: "Oh Prince, Refuge of the World, sword and battle-axe have no effect on your Highness. What circumstance is this?"

The Holy Sayad 'Alí Arslán in his good-humour, said: " At no time does a sword or battle-axe pierce me. Except (that) when I stand up to prayer, my body becomes water; at that time if (any one) were to strike me with a reed (even) he would pierce (me)." (The old man) was going about attentive (to discover) what (was) the case and what (were) the circumstances of this magical power. He heard this speech from the gemscattering (lips) of the Holy Prince ; no one became aware of the matter.

This traitor, having gone out from the midst of the army, told (the) good news to the Jigálu-álkhalkhál of China. This infidel rejoiced and gave much gold and jewelry to this traitor.

## Extract XVI.

One day they were calling to morning prayer. The Musalmáns assembled and stood in rows. They performed the instituted rites ; and say-


The Surah§ (beginning) "Verily we have given" was in the mind of the Mullá Imám.|| But "Verily we have granted a victory""ा came to his mouth.

* I am informed by a resident of Khotan that Uját is a village near the capital of that province ; and that. in connection with the occurrence mentioned in the text, there is still a saying current applied to a disloyal or treacherous person: "He is an Ujaitliq." See note at end of this Translation. In Eastern Turkistán the word Fempîr used in the text, generally means an old woman. Perhaps it should have been translated so herc.
$\dagger$ Lit. "the work (acc.) of this."
$\ddagger$ The words "God is greatcst" with which the prayers begin.
$\S$ Chapter of the Korán. The chapter beginning thus is the $108 t h$, a short onc.
|| The Mulla who leads the prayer.
TI This is the beginning of the 48 th chapter of the Korán. The versc quoted below is the 28th-29th of the samc chapter.

The infidels were on the alert ; they considered the opportunity valuable. Entering (the field) rank on rank they sent the Musalmáns to, martyrdom ; and just as they (the worshippers) reached this verse-" and God is a sufficient witness, Muhammad is the Messenger of God"-a sword struck the sacred head of the Prince. The head was severed from the body. From the sacred head of the Prince there came a voice :
"Well, it is a careless Mullá" it said.
His proper name was "Mullá 'Alam." The nick-name "Kh’ájah Bé-gham" (careless Kh'ajah) became affixed to him.*

## Extract XVII.

The infidels urged their horses against (the Prince's) household. These (men) stood still and fought. They made over ever so many infidels to Hell. The attacking infidels finally made martyrs of them.

Then the Lady Mariam Khánem, with several (of her) maids, drawing a sigh of grief, entered the battle. They sent five and twenty infidels to Hell. The unbelievers came on to the attack. She looked at the earth (for help). The earth split; at that moment she entered the earth and disappeared. After that they slew the maids.

## Expract XVIII.

The Holy Hasan Bughra Khán Ghází, the Holy Isan Bughra Khán Ghází, the Holy Yúsuf Qadîr Khán Ghází, these Princes entered the plain. Killing infidels, they made the blood to flow (like the River) Jaïhín ; they cut (them) in pieces, and took their goods as booty.

Juqta Rashíd and Nuqta Rushíd, Jigálu-álkhalkhál of China, with a force of twelve thousand (men), fled. (The Princes) pursuing after, reached (the place) called Kuleciar. $\dagger$ There they fought for seven nights and days. Many Musalmáns became martyrs. With all this fighting, (matters) did not come right. Othman Bughra Khán, a son of the Holy Sultán, with sixty persons, met with martyr's deaths. The infidels, fighting as they went, retreated to a town of China.

*     *         *             *                 * 
* A certain "Hazrat Begam" is reverenced as a local saint at Qizil. I was much exercised at hearing this saint spoken of as a man ; whereas the title would mean "the Holy Princess." But perhaps it is the "Kh'ajah Bégham" of the text, who has been converted by local superstition into a saint, like Pilate.
$\dagger$ The last village on the winter route to Tibet, south of Yarkand.


## Extract XIX.

The Holy Yísuf Qadîr Khán Ghází and the Holy Hasan Bughra Khán Ghází came to the town of Yárkand and dwelt (there). They persuaded the people towards Islám. By the point of the tongue* they beeame Musalmáns, and professed the Faith. The people made an offering of their money and goods, their lives and bodies. They gave all of thern to the poor.

On behalf of this people (the Princes) prayed for a blessing. All the Musalmáns said 'Amen.' The prayer on behalf of these Musalmáns (converts) met with acceptance. It is said that by virtue of this prayer the city of Yárkand shall never be destroyed......

## Extract XX.

Then they tame to the place (where) the Holy Sayad Prince 'Alf Arslán Khán Ghází (had been) martyred......The Holy Sultán Hasan Bughra Khán Ghází having bared his head, and fixing† his eyes on Heaven, opened his hands $\ddagger$ and prayed. The Musalmáns said 'Amen.'

The prayer was as yet not finished when a dark whirlwind arose. It was as if the sky and the earth were about to become one.§

After a short space of time the storm passed away. The world became bright (again). They look! (and behold) sand has settled over the martyrs ; salt has settled on the infidels. For that reason they gave (to the place) the name " Qum Shahîdán" (martyrs' sand). \|
......After this (the Sultán Hasan Bughra Khán) arose with all his troops and set out for Káshqar. He went and sat on his throne. The whole people of Káshqar, weeping tear upon tear, © like the rain of early spring, made mourning (for 'Alí Arslán)......
......They say it was in the year four hundred and eighty-nine,** the tenth of the month 'Ashur, a Friday, †† (that) the Prince Sayad 'Alí Arslán.

[^19]Khán Ghází partook of the sherbet of Martyrdom, drank the purifying draught and became a martyr.

## Extract XXI.

It is related that these Princes, (namely) the Sultán Hasan Bughra Khán Ghází, the Prince Isan Bughra Khán, the Prince Husaïn Bughra Khán, and the Prince Tuisuf Qadîr Khán, sat on the throne of sovereignty for tivelve years.

In their time if they saw or heard of any one not dressed in a turban,* they used to drive an iron nail into his head. (The state of) cultivation was. such that no one would take a 'chárak' of grain (if offered) for a " pul." $\uparrow . . . .$.

## Extract XXII.

These Princes were sitting on the throne of abundance (when) it was reported to the Mauláná Sacíd of Káshqar $\ddagger$ that one hundred persons, with their three chiefs, were coming as Ambassadors from Turkistán.
"Let them enter" said the king.
Kh'ájah 'Abdu'llah of Turkistán (and) Kh'ájah Abu-Bakr of Tàshland entering kissed the earth in several places and stood up.

The king (said) : "May you not suffer fatigue or hunger! What is your condition? $\mathrm{\S}$ Ye have suffered\| privation and trouble." Thus he questioned them.

They also having made obeisance said: "Oh King, Protector of the World, the land of Turkistán has lapsed into infidelity. We have come to ask for the intercession of Your Highness." Thus they petitioned...

These Princes having gathered together a countless host beyond all reckoning, rode into Turkistán .....

When they had reached Turkistán, $\mathbb{T}$ all the people, great and small, of their own accord, came and professed obedience. They were collected together at the king's gate.

[^20]After this the Holy Sultán, having made a procession round the shrine * of the King Kh'ájah Ahmad Yasíwí, prepared food and drink, and gave (it) to his army.....

The Holy Sultán remained during that summer and winter, and conquered the whole of Turkistán and Tàshkand. The next $\dagger$ year he again rode forth......

He went through city by city, tribe by tribe, striking with his father's $\ddagger$ sword, walking in his ways, and alighting in his alighting places. Having defeated the infidels from the River Amun§ to the Sea Qulzum as far as Qurdum \|, by the gleam of his sword Islam became refulgent, and the pathway of the commandments was opened. The Faith of Islám (and) the religion of Ahmad [Muhammad] were firmly established. Up to this time several years passed. They then consulted, saying: "Let us return to the land of Káshqar. News has come that the land of Káshqar is drowned in infidelity." ${ }^{\text {of }}$

Immediately they made proclamation on proclamation saying: "Let the army be assembled." The army was assembled.

The Sultán Hasan Bughra Khán said to the Prince Yuisuf Qadîr Khán: "Go you to Madain, make petition to the Holy Imáms, saying : the land of Káshkar has gone over to infidelity we believe. We desire help from Your Holinesses." Thus make your petition, he said.

The Prince Yúsuf Qad̂̂r Khán went to Madaïn.** The King Hasan Bughra Khán came with seventy thousand men and sat down to besiege the city of Káshqar. They killed all these infidels, and made blood to flow (like the River) Jaïhún. The Musalmáns met with victory.

The infidels having brought over the people of Káshqar to their own faith, (these) had eaten the flesh of dogs, asses and pigs, (and) considered unclean snakes to be lawful (food) ; (thus) they had become and remained unrestrained infidelst $\dagger$......

* "Mazárát-lar" a double plural of respect.
$\dagger$ "Sung-qi" = "áge-walla" (Hind.)
$\ddagger$ Jifib for chaipib ; fadar for padar, P.
§ For Amu (the Oxus).
|| Qulzum means the Red Sea usually, but also any other sea or lake. Qurdum means in Turki a place where waters disappear in the soil. (Qurdum Qáq is the name given to the district where the Yárkand River disappears after stagnating in lakes and marshes.) But this Qurdum is perhaps the same as the Qara (black) Qurdum mentioned in Ext. XII, and is perhaps intended for the Kara-Koram of Chingiz-Khan (by an anachronism). However, from what comes after, it might be thought that all these places should be in Western Turkistan.

9ा The Hear-say Tense in mish.
** Identified with the old city of Ctesiphon, on the Tigris.
$\dagger \dagger$ A good instance of the favourite Turki formation of sentences.

From the side of the infidels Juqta Rashîd entered the plain. From the side of the Musalmáns the Prince Isan Bughra Khán entered the plain, and showed forth his bravery. He declared his name and lineage, and entered the battle-field. He fought in such a manner that his praise cannot be fittingly spoken.*......

## Extract XXIII.

In fine they thus relate: that these four Imáms were at Madaïn. They had gone out on a three-months' hunting excursion. The Prince Yusuf Qadir Khan standing respectfully in the Presence, made petition "Oh, Seed of the Prophet of God! we come from Káshqar. The land of Káshqar has become entirely infidel. If victory be not obtained in the time of such descendants of the Prophet as you, after this there will be no victory. If your permission be given, should there be a certain force of Islám with us, please God the Exalted, if God shows favour, having gained the victory we will come to the door way of your Holinesses."

The Holy Imám Násrus-'d-dín said: "Oh Chiefs! Quickly collect an army, and go (forth) with His Highness the Prince Yísuf; if ye should be defeated, send a despatch to us." Thus he commanded.

## Extract XXIV.

His Highness Hasan Bughrá Khán Ghází gave commandment: "Let an army be collected. No quarter is to be given to infidels."

In three days the army was collected. Taking the whole force, he went and encamped at the town of Yangi-Hissár. With its advanced posts at Altunluq (and) its rear (extending) to Urtang Qara, the infidel (army) was lying encamped. They fought for some days.......... At that time several hundred infidels, making a sortie from the mountains, began to slaughter the Musulmáns. Then Husain† Bughra Khán foaming at the mouth, (and) bellowing like a mad camel, made fierce war. He moved on, causing the blood to flow (like the River) Jaïhún. By the Heavenly decree, being struck with arrows in several places, he fell a Martyr.........

## Extract XXV.

His Highness Hasan Bughra Khán came into the plain Becoming exhausted, he raised a cl'y of grief and threw himself (on the foe). He caused the blood to flow from the infidels (like the River) Jaï-

* Lit. " that it will not come rightly into laudation."
+ Here "Isan" should probably be read instead of "Hussain."
hún. Arrows struck the Holy King in several places. A flood of blood was poured forth. Nuqta Rashîd severed the sacred head of the Holy King from his body......

After the Holy Hasan Bughra Khán Ghází had suffered martyrdom, the infidels slaughtered* all the Musalmáns. (It was) as if the Last Day had come. The light of the world became darkness......

## Extract XXVI.

His Highness Yúsuf Qadîr Khán was at Madaïn...... Hearing that Yúsuf Qádîr was coming from Madaïn with an army of a hundred thousand men, $\dagger$ Juqta Rashîd fled away with all his forces to the cities of China.

The people of Káshqar took valuables and presents, and went to meet the Holy Yusuf Qudir in the Mountains of Andijàn. They pressed the skirt of his robe to their eyes, and kissed his feet.

## Extract XXVII.

They further relate that the Prince Yúsuf Qudîr Khán took forty thousand men to $\ddagger$ obtain vengeance for his father's (death). Having besieged Khotan and fought for twenty-four years, he took the land of Khotan, and slew the Jigálu-álkhalkhál of China. (Thus) he took vengeance for his father:

He brought (away) the goods and booty of Khotan; (on) the banks of the Khán-Arik§ he bought the Seven-Villages (Yetti-Kent) of Tàzghun (at the rate of) a " chárak" || of land for one 'misqàl' of gold, and dedicated (them) to religious uses.
......He returned to Káshqar by the upper road, and encamped at a place called Qizil. It being the place proper for building a "Langar" "T in honor of the Holy Sayad Arslán Khán, he ordered a tank to be dug. Immediately they set to digging the tank. Loosening the ground with the points of

* Lit. "Caused to arrive at martyrdom."
+ Lit. "Saying, Yúsuf Qadîr is believed to be coming. .... .... ...."" (the Hearsay tense in mish).
$\ddagger$ Lit. "Saying: 'May I obtain \&c.' ". .............
§ Or "Royal canal ;" it is known by that name to this day, and is situated between Yangi-Hissár and Káshghar. Tàzghun means "stream." It is also the name of a River and district in the locality noted above.
|| A "Chárak" of land is the extent which can be sown with one " chárak" of seed. A "chárak" of grain is about $15 \frac{1}{2} 1 \mathrm{lb}$. A 'miscal' of gold is the seventh part of a "sér" ( $3 \frac{1}{4}$ rupees weight) ; at modern rates it is worth between 14 ánd 15 shillings. Land which took 1 tb of seed to sow was therefore bought for about 1 shilling.

9 A "Langar" is a traveller's rest-house connected usually with some shrine.
their arrows, each man* carried away a handful of earth. In one day, it is said, the tank was completed...... $\dagger$

## Extract XXVIII.

$\ddagger$ The Holy Imáms having seen the letter, said :
" Oh friends! a letter has come to us from the land of Káshqar. We are going in order to conquer the infidels. We have§ no other work than this."
......They started in the direction of the land of Káshqar...After some time, having made Yúsuf Qádir Khán king over the land of Káshqar, (the Holy Imáms) set off in the direction of China. Having come there they sat down before a (certain) town. The infidels remained amazed at this army. At that time Juqta Rashîd had a magician. (This man) said: "Oh my King, why are you thus distressed. Is it necessary for them to see the town in order to take it, or can they take it without seeing it? \|

Juqta Rashîd exclaimed : "Oh Vizier, what speech is this? The city stands evident to the view, © (yet) he speaks thus !"

The magician responded: "However many days that army may stay here, (so long) will I conceal the town."

Juqta Rashîd having saluted him sat down. All the infidels were glad, and beat a roll on the drum of rejoicing.

Morning came. When the Holy Imáms had concluded their prayers, they ordered a certain one (saying) : "Go in (to the city) and say : These who have come are the descendants of the Holy Mustafa** (on whom be God's mercy and peace). Their Holy names are Imám Násiru-'d-dîn, Imàm Mu‘inu-'d-dîn, Imám Zuhúru-'d-dîn, and Imám Qiwámu-'d-dîn. They have come from Máwara an-Nahar in order to conquer the land of Káshqar, with an army of a hundred and forty thousand. They have ordered me (saying), go in and say to those infidels, hang your bows about your necks, come into my presence and become Musalmáns professing the Faith. If they do not profess the Faith, beginning with Juqta Rashîd,

* Lit. "By head of man."
$\dagger$ A similar story was told me at Qizil itself, where also I obtained my copy of the Tazkiratz-'l-Bughra (see Shaw's High Tartary, page 240).
$\ddagger$ The story here returns to the time when Yusuf Qádir had obtained assistance from the Imáms of Madaïn, while Hasan Bughra Khán had gone on ahead (Ext. XXII) to recover Káshghar, and had been killed (Ext. XXV). We seem to have here a slightly different tradition.
§ Lit. "There is to us. ......... .........."
|| Lit. "Seeing will they take the town, will they also take it not seeing?"
" Lit. "Standing showing itself."
** The Prophet Muhammad.
we will kill all the infidels, capture their children, and destroy their towns."*

Having heard these words, the light of the world became darkness to the infidels. The infidels considered and said: "We will not turn back from our faith." They pitched a green tent on (the roof of) the palace. The Shamàn leading, one and forty magicians became occupied in theis' magic.

They (the Musalmáns) prepared to fight. The city disappeared. They recognized that the magician must have exercised (his) enchantments. Morning broke, the city became visible again. They beat a roll on the battle drum. At the moment when the bold soldiers were urging their horses to the battle, the city disappeared.

In the same manner for forty years they fought together at (this) town of China. Finally one night Juqta Rashîd with all his army, fled.

## Extract XXIX.

The Holy Imáms started in pursuit of Juqta Rashîd and Nuqta Rashîd.
..............The Imáms were at prayers, The infidels, finding the occasion opportune, slew $\dagger$ the Holy Imáms like sheep, at the time of prostration.

Then they all fought for the standard, $\ddagger$ and cut off its bearer's hand. Without giving up the standard, he seized it between his knees. They cut off his (legs at the) knees also. He (then) held on to it with his neck (and shoulder). They severed his neck. The standard, soaring into the air, disappeared. The sun was eclipsed, § the world became darkened. A voice came from the sky, a murmur came forth from the earth ; the infidels went off towards China. One man fled and went to Káshqar, and gave the news to the King Yuisuf Qádir Khán.

Yúsuf Qádir Khán at once rode forth; (riding) night and day he arrived, and (when) he saw the sacred heads of the Holy Imáms severed from their bodies, his senses left him. Again, he became conscious, and saw that the bodies of men were lying scattered like the stones of the wilderness. He wondered at not being able to distinguish between infidels and Musalmáns.||

[^21]He prayed, a thunder-storm arose, water flowed down from the mountains, rain fell from Heaven ; it placed the faces of the Musalmáns in the direction of the 'Qibla'; it turned the infidels' bodies face downwards, and carried away the heads of the unbelievers.

Then they took the Holy Imáms' sacred bodies, and placed them in coffins. The other Musalmáns' bodies they buried.

The date was five hundred and ninety-six [a. d. 1199]. On the 10th day of the month Zi-'l Hajjah, a Monday, the Holy Imáms drank of the sherbet of martyrdom.
"But God knows best what is the truth." "

## Note on the Ujatliqs.

[In the " Report of a Mission to Yarkand under Sir D. Forsyth, K. C. S. I." (Calcutta 1875) in the History of Káshghar, p. 127, Dr. Bellew on the authority of the "Tazkira Bughra Khan" with reference to a passage translated in Extract XV (above), says: "A poor and aged Játlic=Christian priest.........came forward as a candidate for the offered reward." Now although it is not to be denied that there were Nestorian Christians in Eastern Turkistán at that time and later, I cannot think that there is any reference to them in the present text. The word in my copy of the work (Tazkiratu-’l-Bughra) is distinctly اوجاتليقي " Ujàtliq", and besides the evidence given in the note at the place (see above), I find the name "Ujat" occurring as the name of a town or village in a modern song called "the Maids of Turkistán," extending to 22 lines and celebrating the peculiarities of the maidens of different towns of Kashgharia. The following, will suffice as a specimen:


Which may be rendered thus:
"Straight and slender-waisted are the maids of Káshghar.
"Short, with sack-like figures, are the maids of Yangi-Hisár.
" A goitre above, fat below, (such) are the maids of Yárkand.

* This saving clause is added by the transcriber. It is of course Arabic.
" Arranging apples on saucers are the maids of Khotan-Ilchi.
" Eating many currants and grapes are the maids of UJAT (of the Ujàt-
[liqs).
*     *         *             *                 *                     * 

"Wearing felt caps, with foreheads wide (or high) are the maids of [Sariqol.
"Snub-nosed, (but) sweet-tongued are the maids of the Kirghiz."
The reference to the foreheads of the Aryan Sariqolis is interesting.
After the foregoing portion of this note was in the press, I came across a passage in the later part of the Tazkiratu'l-Bughra which settles the question about the religion of the Ujàt-liqs, and shows them to have been Musalmáns, though bad ones. It is as follows :
"He said: 'Oh my ling! there is a set (?) of people called Ujàt. The people of that (? place or tribe) are Musalmáns with their tongues, but their (real) religion is spying. Having spied they have sent letters after the infidels who have fled (lit. they are in tongue Musalmáns, in faith doing espial, they have sent \&c.).' Thus he represented to the holy Imáms."

This character of spies and traitors is that in which the Ujàt-liqs are represented each time in the Tazkiratu'l-Bughra. With this agrees the popular saying regarding them recorded in the note to the original passage (Ext. XV. above).

In a versified account of the same events as are recorded in the latter part of the Tazkiratu'l-Bughra, I find the following parallel passage :
"He said: 'Oh king (possessed) of good qualities, we have a village which they call Ujàt;
In appearance they assent to the (true) Faith, but in their hearts they have much enmity.'
They (the Imàms) replied: 'If the people of Ujàt be so, they will not obtain salvation in both worlds." "
In the same book I find the following verses which identify the my-
thical personages Juqta Rashîd and Nukta Rashîd as the then chiefs of Khotan :


"Two infidels were kings of Khotan, the people of Khotan were their soldiers;
"One of them they called Juqtá Rashîd ; the name of the other dog Nukta Rashîd."
In the Tazkiratu 'l-Bughra we hear of Juqta Rashîd and Nukta Rashîd from a little later than $429 \mathrm{~A} . \mathrm{H}$. (A. D. 1037) until 596 A. H. (A. D. 1199). They are probably mere representative names. Khotan seems here to be considered as belonging to China. R. B. S. 1877.]

STORIES
From Forbes' Persian Grammar translated into Turki.
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+ This was found between the leaves of books purchased in Káshghar.



Copy of a Leqal Opinion given in Káshailar.*
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 טيـب شرط قيلغان بولسه اوشبو صورته| شّرع شريغ
 فلل
 *

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { الهعلق بالشُرط علهم قبل وجوله }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^22]
##  

EXTRACTS FROM THE "TAZKIRATU-'L-BUGHRA." Extract I.
 يا بززگگار شوز
















> * This is to be read upwards and downwards from the middle.
† اكيّتّيلر for آيديلا






 ششول چهr

Extract II:
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 غازي


 ;
 اليزلابب تركس

Extract IIT.
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Extract IV.

 نیا



## Extract V.






## Extract VI.










## Extract VII.











## Extract VIII.

حضروت ابو النصر مساماني




 بعضهـ



















## Extract IX.


 اگر مصلئت شايد كه خداويتعالم بيزك ظفربركالي ديديلار ...............

## Extract X.





 لا,


## Extract XI.









 حضرت سالطان ستوت بغرا




 هـرنيّه هج




















## Extract XII.

هضرت سلطان ستون بغرا خان اور ايكع ياشلاريدا غزالتعه هشغول









## Extract XIII.
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## Extract XIV.













Extract XV.










 الأَّورزب إيلياللا بر










## Extract XVI.









 جانولي

## Extract XVII.

 تجنال كافرلا,





## Extract XVIII.








 ج

Extract XIX.



 خير قيلميلار جهعيي



## Extract XX.





 بوللهي جهان. بإروغلوت بولمي باقسهلار شهيهلار زنكـ اوستونيKا قوم تو



 يغلاب هانتم توتتيلار




## Extract XXI.





 ليت

## Extract XXII.










;" اطاءشت قيلمهر

 لارغه باغيشّهلاديلا

 يولW טريامى قلزوم

 كا

لشنكر جیع بولا بلالر x




 بغرا خال غازعي پاضشا







$\qquad$
Extract XXIII.

 all


 تزعالم خلالي




Extract XXIV.

 بولنى تمام لشنكر آلتونلموت اییغي اورزدز

 تها تيور ديلك بوزقوراب جنا


Extract XXV.




 زي شهالدت

$$
\text { بـولن ي } \times \times \times
$$

Extract XXVI.






Extract XXVII.




 يتّي كنـت * $\times$ جيلالي


 حافعقال


## Extract XXVIII.






 وقتـنا
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جil مالّتى قوت


Extract XXIX.
حضرت بقول人听 3x $\times$ "



 غريوّى



 زіا

 زil كفر,
 دفّ
 2 المه اءلم با الصوواب
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II.-The Pálif Text of the Vuttodaya, or 'Exposition of Metre', by Sangilarakifitit Thera, witif Translation and Notes.

## Introduction.

The Vuttodaya ('Exposition of Metre') is the second metrical treatise written in Ceylon during the twelfth century by the Páli grammarian Moggallána, under his priestly title of Sañgharakkhita Thera. It is the only work on Páli prosody extant, and is founded on the Vrittaratnákarca (Ocean of Metres), a Sanskrit work on post-vedic metres by Kedára Bhatta. It contains 136 stanzas or portions of stanzas, divided into six chapters which treat of the following subjects:

Chap. I.-The technical terms, and symbols in prosody.
Chap. II.-Metres regulated by time.
Chap. III.-Metres with quarter verses similar.
Chap. IV.-Metres with half lines similar.
Chap. V.-Metres with quarter verses dissimilar.
Chap. VI.-The six problems.
The work opens with the usual adoration to Buddha. Then follow an explanation of the technical terms, and a description of the various metres in Páli prosody. In the second, third, fourth, and fifth chapters, each variety is illustrated in its own metre. The sisth chapter enunciates the
six problems, and the work closes with a tribute of regard to the author's instructor, Síla Thera.

The text has been collated from several Burmese MSS. and compared with two other valuable copies, one, a transcript from a Singhalese MS. in the India Office, kindly presented to me in 1870 by the late Professor Childers ; the other, a tract, derived from two Singhalese M.SS. by Professor Joh. Minayeff, published in 1869 by the Imperial Academy of Sciences of St. Petersburg. Mr. Childers' copy has 138 verses, but the last two are merely portions of postscripts to other treatises of the author. Mr. Minayeff's text from wrong numbering has only 119 verses, though the matter forms 136. In this sketch C stands for the first of these, and M for the second.

There are several Páli commentaries on Vuttodaya, and glosses on the commentaries. It is believed, the following comprise all that are to be met with in Burma.

1. Tuttodaya Ṭiká,
2. Chandosárattha,
3. 
4. Cha-ppaccaya,
5. Vacanatthajotiká,
6. "Tiká, by the same author.
7. Kavisára,
8. " the same period.
Tikć, by the same author.
by the same author. period. A. D.
by Nava Vimalabuddhi at Pugan during the reign of the Burman king Kyatswá, circe 1212 A. D.
by Sadhamma ñáṇa at Pugan during
by Vepulla at Pugan during the same
by Dhammananda at Pegu during the reign of the Pegu monarch Dhammarájádhirája, circa 1385-1421,
y Buddhadháta daring the same period.

Of these, the three principal commentaries, viz., the second, fifth, and seventh have been consulted, and are referred to under the abbreviations of Chando., Vac., and Kav.

My grateful acknowledgements must here be made to the subjoined works from which I have derived great assistance ; C. P. Brown's Sanskrit Prosody Explained; Weber's Ind. Stud., Vol. VIII; Colebrooke's Life and Essays, Vol. III; and the translation of the Vrittaratnákara now passing through the pages of the Pandit at Benares.

A table of the prosodial feet is given at page 391, they are denoted in these pages by the initial letter of their symbols in capitals. A single long syllable is marked G, a spondee Gá ; a breve is marked L, a pyrrhic Lá.

## TEXT.

## NAMO TASSA BHAGAVATO ARAHATO SAMMÁSAMBUDDHASSA.

1. nam' atthu janasantánatamasantánabhedino, dhamm' ujjalantarucino, munindodátarocino.
2. Pingalácariyádíhi chandạ̣ yam ${ }^{1}$ uditaṃ pưá suddhamágadhikánan tạ̣ na sádheti yat' icchitaṃ, ${ }^{\text {² }}$
3. tato Mágadhabhásáya, mattávaṇ̣̣avibhedanaṃ, lakkhyalakkhanasaṃyuttam., ${ }^{3}$ pasannatthapadakkamam,
4. idaṃ Vuttodayaṃ náma, lokiyacchandanissitaṃ, árabhissám' ahaṃ. 'dánǐ, tesaṃ sulkhavibuddhiyá.
5. sabba-gla mn', ádi- ${ }^{5}$ ga-lahu bhyá, majjhanta garí ${ }^{6}$ ja sá. majjhanta-la ra t', ete 'țtha ${ }^{7}$ ganá ; go garu, lo lahu.
6. bha-ja-sá sabbaga-lahu pañc' ime, saṇṭhitá gaṇá ariyádimhi viññeyyá : gano idha catukkalo.
7. samyogádi ca, dígho ca, niggahítaparo ca, yo. garu vañko pádanto ${ }^{8}$ vá ; rass' añño ${ }^{9}$ mattiko l' uju.
8. pare pádádi-saṃyoge, yo pubbo garuk' akkharo, ${ }^{10}$
lahu sa kvaci viñ̃neyyo ; tad udáharaṇa ṃ yathá :-
" dassanarasánubhavane ${ }^{11}| |$ nibaddhagedhá jinasss' ayaṃ janatá.
" vimhayajananí saññatc-||| kriyá nu kan nánurañjayate."
9. viññeyyá, lokato, sañũá sammuddosurasádinaṃ ;
pádo ñeyyo catưtthaṃso ; padacchedo yati bbhave. ${ }^{12}$
```
1 chanda' yam, C.
2 yath' icchitam, C; yad icchitam, M.
* lakkha, C.
4 árabhissam ahan dáni, C and M.
s ma n' ádi, C : m-nâ 'digalahu, M.
6 guru every where for garu in C.
{ } ^ { 7 } \text { ra te-t' attha, C ; rat' et' attha, M.}
8 padanto, C. and M.
` rasso 'ñño, C.
10 guru-v-akkharo, C.
11 rasânubhavane, M.
12 yati bhave, M.
```

10. samam aḍḍhasamaı̣ำ vuttaṇ, visamañ cáparaṇ tidhá. samá lakkhanato pádá cattáro yassa, tạ̣ samaṃ.
11. yass' antimena dutiyo tatiyen' ádimo samo tad aḍ̣hasamam ;1 aññan tu bhinnalakkhaṇapádikaṇ.
12. pádam ekaklkharárabbha, yáva chabbísatakkhará, bhave pádehi taṇ chandaṇ nánánámoditaṇ tato.
13. daṇ̣akácaṇ̣avuṭṭhyádi, pádehi chahi, tíhi tu, ' gáthá 'ti ca, paratth' evaṃ chandosañinapakásitá.
14. anantaroditaṇ, c' añũam etaṃ, sámañĩanámato ' gáthá 'ice eva, ${ }^{2}$ nidditṭham, ${ }^{3}$, munindavacane pana.
15, visesanámato, kiñci gahetvá sabbathocitaṃ ${ }^{4}$ dassayissám' ahan, ${ }^{\text { }}$ ' t'ettha námán' ávíbhavissare. ${ }^{6}$
iti Vuttolaye chandasi sañ̃̃á paribhásíniddeso náma pathamo pariccheclo.
15. chattho 'khilalahu jo vá ga-yutá, 'ññe chaggaṇá na jo visame ; ariyá y antaḍḍhe ${ }^{7}$ lo chattho 'nte ganá cch' añine.
16. paṭhamaḍḍe chattho ce sabbalah 'etth' ádilahunis bhavati yati tapparako 'nte pi sace carime pi bhavati catuttho 'nte.
17. ariyásámaññañ ce pubboditalakkhaṇạ̣ bhave yassá.
18. ádimam atha pádayugaṃ yassá tyansehi sá pathyá.
19. yattha ganattayam ullanghiyobhayatth' ádimo bhave vipulá.
20. garumajjhako jakáro catutthako dutiyako capalá.
21. capalágatákhilañ ce daládimaṃ lakkhaụạ̣ bhajati yassá pathyá-lakkhạaṃ aññạ̣ mukha-capalá náma sá bhavati.
22. pathyáya ${ }^{9}$ lakkhaṇañ ce paṭhamaḍ̣̣he lakkhạan tu capaláyaṃ. ${ }^{10}$ dutiye dale 'tha yassá pakittitá sá jaghana-capalá.

## Ariyájátiyo.

24. sabbaṇ paṭhamadale yadi ${ }^{11}$ lakkhaṇam ariyáya vuttam ubhayesu yassá dalesu yuttaṃ vuttá sá gíti vutta yati lalitá.
[^23]
[^0]:    * It may easily be caleulated how many separate elements require to be retained in the memory, in order to remember the vast number of forms of a single Eastern Turkish verb. Thus there are about 13 participial (and root) stems, and 25 different syllables or words used in the formation of tenses (ineluding pronouns, auxiliary roots, and partieiples). There are also 6 modifying syllables, making the secondary verbforms. Thus absolutely only 44 verb-elements require to be learned by rote, the combinations and permutations of which suffiee to make up the entire Túrki verb conjugation amounting to nearly 29,000 possible forms applicable to eaeh separate (transitive) verb root. See N. B. at end of "Verbs."
    + In Eastern T'úrki "un-get-at-able-ness" would be a perfectly legitimate form (indeed quite a characteristic one). Vide Yet- al- mas- lik, fe. attain able not ness.

[^1]:    * See post, Specimen pieces (copy of Passport): "Yarkand-ga khidmat-ka." Here $g a$ and ka are the same word, but the initial guttural is softened after the final $d$ of Yarkand, and hardened after the final $t$ of kikidmat.

[^2]:    * See "Phonetic Variation of Vowels." The Yarkandis have a preference for the form nang.
    $i$ See "Phonetic Variation of Consonants."

[^3]:    * As the form $i m$-bár means "there is of mine" or "I have", the above tense is absolutely identical with the English "I have done," which expression is also to be accounted for in the same manner (as above).

[^4]:    * See Max Müller's "Science of Language," Lecture VIII, p. 346 (Fifth Ed.).

[^5]:    * Examplo from tho "Tazkiratu.'l-Bughra": But-khàna-ni "itu-llumish-" Ho is building an idol-tomplo [I bolieve,]" or "He is understood to be building.....".

[^6]:    * Example from the "Tazkiratu.'l-Bughra": Pàdshàh ni-chand bala-lar-ni kabada alip-kelghan ikan-lar. "The king [it is said] had brought several children in bags." (Lo roi aurait apporté. Fr.-Er soll gebracht haben. Germ.).

[^7]:    * Pronounced in Yárkand "qelado-ghan," or "qelàtqùn."

[^8]:    * See also the 2nd Past Potential and the 3rd ditto, and the 2nd and 3rd Past Indefinite Tenses.
    $\dagger$ Bolur-ikan is the 3rd Person Singular of the Indefinite Future Present of the verb bolnaq.

[^9]:    * To these verbal exprossions others might have been added. E. $g$. The following have been found: "Kàshki kimersa qelghä̈ irdl"" $=$ " Oh that some-onc had been about to do ?", also qelghaï ikan $=$ (oh that he) were about to do" or "were yoing to do". But in truth the combinations are endless.

[^10]:    * As least one such instance is actually known where alip bàr-màq is contracted into apar-máq. It must first have been shortened to alipàr-màq and thence (slurring over the $l$ between two vowels) to apar-mà which is now in use.

[^11]:    * In other cases the distinction (between the Passive and Possibility forms) is made by the Phonetic change of vowel in the former as against the retention of the $\grave{a}$ in the latter : e. g. (Pass.) tiòp-il-màq, (Poss.) tìp-ìl-mìq.

[^12]:    * The phrase, "Bàlshíh birla Wazîr tàshqari tur-up irdi-lar" = "The King and Vizier were standing outside," has been found.

[^13]:    * Alip-licl-mate (lit. having taken to come), i. e., to bring; alip-lecl-gali $=$ in order to bring.
    $\dagger$ Contracted from tanu-maï-mu-san. Tanu-mak is " to recognise;" tamu-i-san "thou recognisest" (simple Pres.) ; tamu-maï-san is the negative "thou recognisest not;" tame-maï-mz-san is the intcrrogative "dost thou not recognise." See "Verbs Interrogative."

[^14]:    * All the verbs, excepting those supposed to be spoken by Sufurgi Baï, down to this point, are in the Potential form as being dependent on the statement of the applicant.
    + The negative expressed in the concluding verb applies to the preeeding clause also. The phrase might be rendered "It is not the case that Sufurgi Baï has broken his promise, and that (therefore) Aï Bîbî has beeome divorced."
    $\ddagger$ Lit. "to the injury of."
    § These Arabie quotations are from the books of expounders of the law brought in as authorities for the decision come to. The authorship of the last is entered as "doubtful."
    || Or, "the manifest Lord."

[^15]:    * This barbarous compound exactly represents the equally barbarous compound in the text. The entire phrase is literally: "..some one having been produced, that some-one shall teach me Musalmánism."
    + The oriental name of the Prophet Elias, whose apparition to Sultán Satuq is related in a previous chapter of the Tazkiratu-'l-Bughra.
    $\ddagger$ This clause is followed by the inevitable "de'p" referring to words not spoken but thought.

[^16]:    * Mazlum, lit. "oppressed one," is used in Káshghar, \&c., instead of the word "woman."
    + Lit. "You (acc.) we doubt, saying: from our faith having gone out, he has entered into the faith of Muhammad."
    $\ddagger$ Lit. "drank an oath,"
    § Lit. "running arose."
    || Ita-dur-mish (the Hear-say Compound Present) "he is understood to be about building," or "I believe he is about building."

    था Lit. "Having gone out from the Faith of Islám, I am about entering into infidelity."
    ** Mruhàfizat qelmàq and sàqlamàq are identical in meaning; one expression being half Arabic and the other Turki.

[^17]:    * Probably for kön qish (which has no sense), should be read kön chiqish which has the sense given above. Otherwise it might read "as far as Kun-qish Karik."
    $\dagger$ The whole passage is confused in the original as is shown in the translation.
    $\ddagger$ Answering to A. D. 1037. The dates given agree with the age ascribed to Satuq Bughra Khán when he died, viz. 96 years. He is said to have been born in A. H. 333, and to have died in A. H. 429.
    § Lit. " of the king. . . . there were four sons," \&c.
    $\| Z a^{" i f} a$ is used as a term of respect equivalent to "lady"; and markim is used of the faithful dead.

[^18]:    " Lit. "mistress of certainty."

[^19]:    * As distinguished from the "point of the sword."
    + Lit. "Sewing."
    $\ddagger$ In the manner usual in the Musulmạn's prayers,
    § Lit. "It became as if about to malse the sky the earth, and the earth the sky."
    || Still known by that name, to the South-East of Yangi-Hissari,
    q Lit. "Lamentation and lamentation."
    ** A. D. 1095.
    $\dagger+$ This date of the month and week seems to be ascribed as a matter of course to every event of any importance or solemnity. 'Ashur is really not a month, but the tenth day of the month Muharram,

[^20]:    * Lit. "turban-not-putting on person." Kî-ma-gan is the negative Indefinite Participle of the verb $K 1$-mak.
    $\dagger$ A modern "chárak" of grain is about $15 \frac{1}{2} 1 \mathrm{tbs}$., and a "pul" is about the tenth part of a penny.
    $\ddagger$ Lit. "They gave news to the Maulána....saying: 'one hundred persons....
    § Niháling for Ni hál-ing "what (is) your condition."
    || Lit. "drawn out."
    9ा The town and district called "Hazrat Turkistán" or "Hazrat Sultín" after the Saint "Sultán Ahmad Yasáwí," whose shrine is mentioned below. It lies north of Tàshkand, in what is now Russian Turkistán.

[^21]:    * Lit. "having killed, \&c., ........ ..... he destroys." Here the quotation is not kept to the proper person of the verb.
    + Lit. "cut the throats."
    $\ddagger$ Lit. "saying, let us take the tugh (a standard made of a Yak's tail)."
    § Lit. "seized."
    || Lit. "saying, it is not known whether infidels or Musalmáns, he remained in wonder."

[^22]:    * This was found between the leaves of a book purchased in Káshghar.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ addhasamam, C.
    ${ }^{2}$ gáthá-m-icceva, C.
    ${ }^{3}$ niddiṭṭhá, C. and M.
    ${ }^{4}$ sabbato 'citam, C.
    ${ }^{5}$ aham, M.
    ${ }^{6}$ ávibhavissare, C and M.
    7 ariyá anta, C and M. addhe for aḍ̣he everywhere in C.
    ${ }^{8}$ sabbalahetvádi, C.

    - pathyá, C.
    ${ }^{10}$ capaláya, M.
    ${ }^{11}$ yadi wanting in M.

